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Abstract
The intensity of antibiotic use in hospital settings is recognised as possibly the most important factor for the selection of antimicrobial resistance. Hospitals are therefore being encouraged to undertake surveillance and benchmarking of antimicrobial consumption patterns with a view to identify and rectify possible evidence of overuse or misuse. Use of antibiotics at St. Luke’s Hospital, Malta (SLH) was assessed retrospectively for the year 2001 as part of participation in two pan-European antibiotic surveillance networks. Total antibiotic use during the study period averaged 147 Defined Daily Doses (DDD) /100 bed days with the three most common antibiotic groups prescribed being the penicillins, cephalosporins and macrolides. Combinations of penicillin with beta-lactamase inhibitor were the predominant antimicrobials in use and their consumption was twice the median for other participating hospitals in the European ARPAC network. Differences were additionally seen for second generation cephalosporins and macrolides, where consumption was also significantly higher at SLH. These findings would explain one possible factor behind the high prevalence of resistance, particularly in Staphylococcus aureus, at SLH and suggest the need to intensify efforts for improved antibiotic stewardship programmes in hospital prescribing.
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Introduction
The causal link between antibiotic resistance and consumption has been well established. Various publications have documented that changes in antimicrobial usage are paralleled after a variable lag phase by equivalent changes in the prevalence of antimicrobial resistance, and it is common experience within hospitals, that departments which exhibit the highest rates of antimicrobial resistance, also invariably have the highest levels of antibiotic use. Such evidence has led to the hypothesis that selection of resistance during treatment or prophylaxis is a more important factor in the acquisition of infection caused by a resistant organism, than is transmission from patient to patient. Consequently the intensity of antibiotic use in a population may actually be the most important factor in the selection of resistance. As a result, numerous initiatives in recent years have encouraged hospitals to undertake surveillance of antimicrobial consumption patterns with a view to identify and rectify possible over-use and mis-use. Against this background, a pan-European study entitled ‘Development of Strategies for Control and Prevention of Antibiotic Resistance in European Hospitals’ (Acronym: ARPAC) funded by the European Commission’s Research Directorate General within the Fifth Framework Programme was undertaken from 01 January 2002 to 30 June 2005 [http://www.abdn.ac.uk/arpac]. One of the major achievements of this project was to enable participating hospitals to calculate their antibiotic consumption, to undertake benchmarking with other participating hospitals having a similar case-mix and in the process allow a clearer understanding at a local level of what drives current resistance problems and, importantly, what might be done to control them. We report on the results of our ARPAC findings for St. Luke’s Hospital (SLH), an 800-bed hospital providing all public tertiary care in Malta, together with information also arising from our participation in another European Commission funded antibiotic surveillance network – European Surveillance of Antimicrobial Consumption (ESAC) [www.ua.ac.be/esac].

Methods
Antibiotic consumption statistics were obtained from the database maintained by the Government Pharmaceutical Services (GPS), the sole supplier to government dispensaries. This database includes all antibiotics procured by the SLH in-patient dispensary from GPS and therefore provided a comprehensive source for determining the complete antibiotic
use at SLH for the 12 months of the year 2001. The data was retrieved from its original SAGEline 100 software (version 5.20a, Sage UK) and converted to a text file. The file was then opened and subsequently saved in an Excel format (Microsoft Excel 97, Microsoft Corp.) to allow adaptation of the in-house codes to the Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) classification and, by further processing, converted to Defined Daily Doses (DDD). This methodology has been developed, validated and is maintained by the WHO Collaborating Centre in Oslo, Norway [http://www.whocc.no]. The ATC/DDD 2002 version was used as a reference, as set by the ARPAC protocol.

Bed day statistics were obtained from the hospital Data Management Unit thus allowing consumption to be reported in DDD per 100 bed days, a standardised figure allowing comparison between different institutions. Statistical analysis was undertaken by means of the one-sample t-test using SPSS software version 13 (SPSS Inc, USA).

Results

Total antibiotic use at SLH during the study period averaged 147 DDD/100 bed days. The results indicated that the three most common antibiotic groups used at SLH in 2001 were penicillins, cephalosporins and macrolides (Table 1). Heaviest utilisation was evident for the penicillin group of antibiotics which accounted for almost a third of all antimicrobials used, with a consumption rate of 47.3 DDD/100 bed days. Of these, more than 80% were broad-spectrum penicillin plus beta-lactamase inhibitor combinations (PBLI), which consisted almost exclusively of co-amoxiclav. The bulk of cephalosporin use was the result of cefuroxime, the only second generation cephalosporin in use at St. Luke’s Hospital; this accounted for more than half of all cephalosporin consumption. Compared to the beta-lactams, macrolide use was considerably less at 8.66 DDD/100 bed days.

Several trends were apparent when the data for SLH was collated within the overall results of the two networks, as
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**Table 1: Consumption of antibiotics within SLH by antimicrobial group**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic</th>
<th>DDD/100 bed days</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Penicillins (all)</td>
<td>47.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Wide spectrum</td>
<td>5.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Narrow spectrum</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Beta-lactamase stable</td>
<td>1.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• With beta-lactamase inhibitor</td>
<td>39.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cephalosporins (all)</td>
<td>16.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• First-generation</td>
<td>3.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Second-generation</td>
<td>9.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Third-generation</td>
<td>3.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbapenems</td>
<td>1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrolides</td>
<td>8.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aminoglycosides</td>
<td>1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinolones</td>
<td>6.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycopeptides</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 1: Total antibiotic use during 2001 within hospital participating in the ESAC project (MT = Malta/SLH)

Reproduced with permission
**Figure 2:** Antibiotic consumption of various classes in DDD/100 bed days for SLH and median consumption for the overall hospitals in the ARPAC study and for the sub-group of hospitals in the South of Europe.

**Figure 3:** Use of penicillin class antibiotics during 2001 within hospital participating in the ESAC project (MT = Malta/SLH)
provided through personal communications from Prof. H. Goossens [ESAC] and Dr. F. MacKenzie [ARPAC]. ESAC data for 2001 (Figure 1) indicated that per capita antibiotic use at SLH was second highest within the network (data from Finland was not directly comparable since it also included consumption in nursing homes).

The local consumption of penicillins was twice as high on a per capita basis than the 22.06 DDD/100 bed days median reported in the ARPAC network (p<0.05) (Figure 2). This was evident when comparing with the overall project median as well as 24.51 DDD/100 bed days reported by the sub-group of hospitals from the South of Europe, which themselves were the group that had the highest consumption patterns. There was no significant difference in the consumption of penicillins with narrow spectrum (e.g. benzylpenicillin); wide spectrum (e.g. amoxicillin) or which are beta-lactamase stable (e.g. flucloxacillin). The difference was almost exclusively the result of the use of PBLI’s, specifically co-amoxiclav, which at 39.26 DDD/100 bed days was more than three times higher than the overall ARPAC median and twice as much as that found in Southern European hospitals. The considerable local emphasis on wide spectrum penicillins was also apparent from the ESAC data which showed the proportion of PBLI’s at SLH to be amongst the highest in all the participating European hospitals (Figure 3).

On the other hand, the overall consumption of cephalosporins at SLH did not exhibit significant differences from the median ARPAC consumption although there was a considerable variation when compared to that reported by Northern European hospitals where 75% of institutions reported use levels at less than 10 DDD/100 bed days. What was however noted was the disproportionate emphasis on second generation cephalosporins in SLH, which, at 9.67 DDD/100 bed days, was almost three times higher than the median reported in the project. Similar differences were also found for macrolide use. On the other hand, SLH consumption of other antibiotic groups such as aminoglycosides, quinolones and carbapenems were either on par with the overall values reported by the ARPAC project team or actually lower, particularly when compared to the medians of Southern European participating hospitals.

Discussion

Data from the European Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance System (EARSS – www.earss.rivm.nl) indicates Malta to have the highest per capita incidence of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) bacteraemia amongst the participating European countries (Figure 4). Whilst the fact that Malta data originates from a single hospital with lower blood culture sampling frequencies may to some extent contribute to skew the data, the results nevertheless point towards a significant incidence of multi-resistant infections, particularly MRSA. In addition, there is little doubt that factors that promote spread of microorganisms within the hospital, particularly overcrowding, are important contributors to the prevalence of nosocomial resistant infections within SLH.

However, it is equally probable that, as evidenced in hospitals in other countries, the high level of antibiotic consumption identified at SLH is an important driver for the advent and proliferation of resistance. This situation is compounded by the indication from our data that this above average consumption of antimicrobials resides predominantly in the wider spectrum formulations that are known to pose a greater risk of the development of resistance than alternatives with a narrower anti-bacterial spectrum of activity. Several studies have identified the development of resistance associated with the three antimicrobial groups showing significant differences in consumption at SLH; namely co-amoxiclav, cefuroxime, and macrolides. More specifically, it would appear that their

---

**Figure 4:** Average MRSA incidence per 1000 patient bed days in European countries participating in the EARSS network (MT = Malta/SLH). Adapted with permission from EARSS Annual Report 2004.
Table 2: Key recommendations of the WHO Global Strategy for Containment of Antimicrobial Resistance

1. Educate all groups of prescribers and dispensers (including drug sellers) in the importance of appropriate antimicrobial use and containment of antimicrobial resistance;
2. Promote targeted undergraduate and postgraduate educational programmes for all health care workers, veterinarians, prescribers and dispensers on accurate diagnosis and management of common infections;
3. Encourage prescribers and dispensers to educate patients on antimicrobial use and the importance of adherence to prescribed treatments;
4. Improve of antimicrobial use by supervision and support of clinical practices, especially diagnostic and treatment strategies.
5. Monitor prescribing and dispensing practices and utilize peer group or external standard comparisons to provide feedback and endorsement of appropriate antimicrobial prescribing;
6. Encourage development and use of guidelines and treatment algorithms to foster appropriate use of antimicrobials;
7. Empower formulary managers to limit antimicrobial use to the prescription of an appropriate range of selected antimicrobials;
8. Link professional registration requirements for prescribers and dispensers to requirements for training and continuing education.
9. Establish effective Antibiotic Committees with responsibility for oversight of antimicrobial use in hospitals;
10. Develop and regularly update guidelines for antimicrobial treatment and prophylaxis, and hospital antimicrobial formularies;
11. Monitor antimicrobial usage, including quantity and patterns of use; and feed back results to prescribers;
12. Ensure on-site availability of microbiology laboratory services which are appropriately matched to the level of the hospital (e.g. secondary, tertiary);
13. Ensure performance and quality assurance of appropriate diagnostic tests, bacterial identification, antimicrobial susceptibility tests of key pathogens, and timely and relevant reporting of results.
14. Ensure that laboratory data are recorded (preferably on a database) and are used to produce clinically- and epidemiologically-useful surveillance reports of resistance patterns among common pathogens and infections in a timely manner and feed back to prescribers and the Infection Control Programme;
15. Make the containment of antimicrobial resistance a national priority through the creation of a national intersectoral task force to raise awareness about antimicrobial resistance, organise data collection and allocate resources to promote the implementation of interventions to contain resistance including appropriate utilisation of antimicrobial drugs, control and prevention of infection, and research activities.
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