



Castledown

 OPEN ACCESS

# Technology in Language Teaching & Learning

ISSN 2652-1687

<https://www.castledown.com/journals/tltl/>

*Technology in Language Teaching & Learning*, 7(2), 103214 (2025)  
<https://doi.org/10.29140/tltl.v7n2.103214>

## Enhancing Reflective Practice in Language Teacher Education: Technology as a Critical Reflective Partner



DANIEL XERRI

*University of Malta*  
daniel.xerri@um.edu.mt

### Abstract

This article explores how technology can serve as a critical partner in enhancing reflective practice in language teacher education. Drawing on recent studies, it examines the potential of AI tools, digital portfolios, video-based analysis, and online collaborative platforms. The argument hinges on the need to move toward a human-centred integration of digital tools that support metacognitive growth, emotional resilience, and professional identity development. The article proposes a five-principle framework for technology-enhanced reflection, emphasising pedagogical alignment, depth, collaboration, transparency, and teacher agency. While acknowledging risks related to over-automation and ethical ambiguity, the article contends that well-designed technologies, when critically embedded in reflective ecosystems, can deepen inquiry, foster contextualised insight, and support more dialogic and sustainable teacher learning. Ultimately, it advocates for technology that amplifies human reflection, positioning language educators as co-constructors of meaning in digitally mediated learning environments.

**Keywords:** reflective practice, language teacher education, educational technology, artificial intelligence, teacher identity development

### Introduction

In an era defined by rapid technological change, language teacher education is undergoing a profound transformation. Nowhere is this more evident than in the growing integration of digital tools, generative AI, and immersive platforms into teacher preparation programmes (Demir & Özdemir, 2025;

---

**Copyright:** © 2025 Daniel Xerri. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial 4.0 International License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. **Data Availability Statement:** All relevant data are within this paper.

Tarantini, 2023). Yet, while much attention has been paid to the affordances of these technologies for instruction and assessment, their role in supporting reflective practice, long recognised as central to teacher development (Farrell, 2022, 2024), has only recently begun to receive adequate attention (Chye et al., 2019; Esfandiari & Arefian, 2024; Hamel & Viau-Guay, 2019).

For language teachers, whose professional work is embedded in communication, cultural mediation, and identity negotiation, reflection is not simply a technical routine but a pedagogical imperative (Ding et al., 2022; García Esteban & García Laborda, 2018). It supports not only the development of meta-cognition and instructional strategy, but also the cultivation of empathy, intercultural awareness, and resilience in multilingual classrooms. Technologies such as AI-based dashboards (Cai et al., 2025), digital portfolios (Hoang, 2021), immersive virtual reality simulations (Pitura et al., 2025), and video annotation tools (Mosa et al., 2018; Yu et al., 2025) are now being used not merely to document practice but to mediate and deepen reflection, offering teachers new ways to revisit, interrogate, and reimagine their professional actions.

This article argues that the integration of technology, particularly AI, video-based tools, and digital collaboration platforms, can meaningfully enhance reflective practice in language teacher education, provided it is scaffolded within a critical, human-centred framework that foregrounds teacher agency, pedagogical purpose, and contextual relevance (Jin et al., 2025; Outamgharte et al., 2025; Zhang, 2024). Drawing on a selection of empirical and theoretical studies, this article synthesises evidence showing that when intentionally embedded within structured pedagogical designs, technology can help future language teachers not only observe and improve their teaching, but also develop more adaptive, critically reflective, and ethically grounded professional identities.

At the same time, the use of technology in reflective practice is not without limitations. Scholars have raised concerns about the interpretative transparency of AI systems (Karataş & Yüce, 2024; Zhang et al., 2024), the superficial reflection pre-service teachers can engage in when using video tools like SETTVEO (Li, 2024), and the emotional detachment that can arise in tech-mediated learning environments (Demir & Özdemir, 2025). These tensions point to a critical crossroads in language teacher education: the challenge is not simply to adopt new tools, but to embed them within pedagogical ecosystems that support dialogue, critical inquiry, and professional agency.

### **Technology as a Critical Reflective Partner: Framing the Shift**

The integration of technology into language teacher education is no longer a question of if, but how. Traditionally, technological tools were treated as neutral enablers of content delivery or classroom management (Geng, 2019). However, a growing body of scholarship reconceptualises technology as a reflective partner: a mediator of pedagogical inquiry, professional identity, and self-directed learning. This shift parallels broader changes in teacher education that move away from transmissive models toward constructivist, inquiry-based, and socially situated paradigms (Pearson, 2025; Tarantini, 2023; Thirumalai et al., 2019). In language teacher education specifically, where teaching is inherently relational and linguistically nuanced, this reconceptualization enables tools like video, AI, and online platforms to scaffold not only instructional strategies but also professional awareness and critical engagement with complex classroom realities (Ding et al., 2022; García Esteban & García Laborda, 2018; Jin et al., 2025).

Reflection has long been central to effective teacher education, framed through models such as Schön's (1983, 1987) reflection-in-action and Mezirow's (1991) transformative learning theory. Yet, in the digital age, the modes and mediators of reflection are rapidly evolving. Tools like AI-powered dashboards (Cai et al., 2025), immersive video platforms (Tarantini, 2023), and collaborative writing

spaces (Powell, 2017; Xue, 2025) allow teachers to re-experience teaching events, surface tacit beliefs, and critically analyse their own practices with a depth and dimensionality that static, text-based journaling can lack. These technologies promote reflection that is not only retrospective but also dynamic and multimodal, enabling teachers to interrogate aspects of their presence, questioning strategies, and language use in ways that align with the communicative demands of language teaching (Demir & Özdemir, 2025; Ding et al., 2022).

Importantly, this shift from tool-as-instrument to tool-as-dialogic space depends on embedding technology within meaningful, pedagogically grounded activities. Studies show that reflection deepens significantly when teachers are supported through scaffolded tasks that link video analysis, AI feedback, or digital journaling to core concepts in second language acquisition, instructional design, or intercultural pedagogy (Moran, 2017; Pitura et al., 2025; Zhang et al., 2024). Without this grounding, technology risks remaining superficial, serving as a procedural add-on rather than a transformative force. The most impactful designs promote dialogic reflection: the active co-construction of knowledge between teacher, tool, and peer, where insights emerge through critical engagement rather than passive review (Ding, 2018; Lantz-Andersson et al., 2022; L'Enfant, 2024).

This reframing also foregrounds teacher agency. Rather than casting teachers as passive recipients of feedback from AI, recent studies emphasise the importance of critical interaction, which allows educators to assess, adapt, or even reject technological outputs in light of pedagogical values and local classroom contexts (Jin et al., 2025; Outamgharte et al., 2025; Zhang, 2024). Such agency is especially crucial in language teacher education, where decisions about code-switching, cultural mediation, and learner positioning must be contextually responsive and ethically attuned. As Xue (2025) and Karataş and Yüce (2024) argue, reflective practice in the age of AI requires not only technical competence but also digital discernment and an understanding of the social, cultural, and affective dimensions of teaching.

Viewing technology as a critical reflective partner reframes the teacher not just as a consumer of digital tools, but as a co-designer of professional knowledge. This shift opens new possibilities for empowering language teachers to engage critically with their own learning processes, to interpret their experiences through multiple lenses, and to sustain a practice of lifelong reflective inquiry in increasingly complex, tech-mediated classrooms (Al-Nofaie & Alwerthan, 2024; L'Enfant, 2024; Phillips et al., 2023; Thompson Long & Hall, 2015).

### **Reflective Tools and Modalities in Language Teacher Education**

The landscape of language teacher education has been significantly reshaped by the integration of digital tools that support varied forms of reflection: visual, dialogic, collaborative, and automated. These tools do more than capture performance; they serve as lenses through which pre- and in-service teachers can revisit, interpret, and reframe their practices. For instance, Wu and Lee (2024) demonstrate how structured technology-enhanced microteaching – featuring peer video analysis, reflective journals, and digital lesson design – can reduce anxiety, deepen pedagogical understanding, and embed reflection into teacher education more effectively than lecture-based models. Zhou et al. (2024) highlight how pre-service teachers reshape their beliefs about technology integration through processes of reflection, dialogue, and applied lesson planning. Their study reveals that belief change often follows non-linear paths, such as confirmation, elaboration, or reversal, and is deeply influenced by contextual and emotional factors. This section explores how key modalities – video-based tools, digital portfolios, and AI-powered feedback systems – support reflective growth when pedagogically scaffolded and contextually grounded.

## Video-Based and Multimodal Reflection

Video has emerged as one of the most widely used and effective tools for reflective practice in language teacher education. Through both self-confrontation and vicarious observation, teachers gain the opportunity to ‘see themselves teach’ with new eyes, often noticing communicative and classroom dynamics that elude real-time awareness (Ding, 2018; Mosa et al., 2018). Studies show that watching classroom footage, whether of oneself or of peers, fosters higher-order reflection, especially when structured around pedagogical lenses such as questioning strategies, code-switching, and learner engagement (Ding et al., 2022; Tarantini, 2023). For instance, Li and Walsh (2023) demonstrate how combining video-enhanced observation with structured dialogic reflection enables teachers to progress from surface-level emotional reactions to strategic rethinking of classroom interaction. Teachers who engage in multimodal analysis can attain a deeper awareness of gaze, tone, pacing, and spatial movement, which are essential factors in multilingual classrooms where meaning is co-constructed through both verbal and non-verbal modes.

Recent innovations extend this modality further. 360° video and virtual reality simulations allow pre-service teachers to re-experience classroom situations immersively, offering a full sensory replay of the teaching event and supporting more embodied, emotionally anchored reflection (Pitura et al., 2025; Tarantini, 2023). When paired with AI-powered systems such as GPT-4 Vision or AI dashboards that generate real-time visualisations of classroom discourse, teachers can link multimodal data to rubrics and instructional goals, thus transforming reflection into a data-informed, dialogic process (Cai et al., 2025; Lee et al., 2024). However, researchers caution that such tools must be embedded in supportive frameworks to avoid reducing teaching to a series of quantifiable ‘moves,’ potentially overlooking affective and cultural dimensions (Demir & Özdemir, 2025; Jin et al., 2025).

## Digital Portfolios, Blogging, and Collaborative Platforms

While video captures practice, digital portfolios and blogs document professional growth over time. These tools allow pre-service language teachers to curate artifacts of their learning, annotate them with critical reflections, and link experiences to theoretical frameworks and pedagogical standards (Cimermanová, 2015; Hoang, 2021; Moran, 2017). Functioning as boundary objects between coursework and school placements, digital portfolios support metacognition, narrative identity development, and evidence-based self-assessment (Impedovo, 2021). In language teacher education, they are particularly effective in helping teachers trace their development in areas such as second language acquisition theory, intercultural competence, and learner autonomy (Powell, 2017; García Esteban & García Laborda, 2018). Besides documenting development, portfolios also actively support the self-regulatory processes that underpin professional growth. Cimermanová (2015) shows that when embedded within structured reflection cycles, digital portfolios enhance teaching efficacy by helping pre-service teachers evaluate their progress, plan improvement, and gain confidence in their instructional decisions.

Collaborative platforms like Google Docs, VLEs, and institutional blogs or forums extend this function by facilitating peer dialogue and shared meaning-making. Studies by Lee and Bonk (2024) and Jones (2014) show that when language teachers engage in structured online discussions, especially during their practicum, they not only feel less isolated but also engage in deeper levels of content, process, and premise reflection. Online forums encourage a reflective stance toward practice, where tensions, successes, and dilemmas can be openly discussed within a community of inquiry. This social dimension of reflection is vital in language education, where teachers navigate diverse linguistic repertoires and cultural identities.

## **AI-Driven Reflective Feedback Systems**

Perhaps the most rapidly advancing modality in reflective practice is the use of AI to scaffold teacher reflection. AI-powered tools such as automated reflective writing feedback systems (Zhang, 2024), teacher dashboards (Cai et al., 2025), and natural language processing analytics (Phillips et al., 2023) provide teachers with instant, targeted, and often rubric-aligned insights into their teaching. These systems can detect patterns in language use, interaction types, and content complexity, offering a new layer of interpretation that complements human judgement. For instance, Sert (2025) demonstrates how an AI-powered tool, QBot, helped pre-service teachers notice patterns in their classroom questioning and reflect critically on interactional habits. By surfacing both overuse and underuse of question types, QBot supported reflection-on-action and catalysed reflection-for-action, which enabled teachers to modify future lesson strategies based on AI-informed insights.

In language teacher education, AI can support the shift from descriptive to critical reflection by prompting teachers to interrogate why they made particular instructional choices and how those choices aligned with learning outcomes (L'Enfant, 2024; Zhang et al., 2024). AI voice journaling tools, for instance, have shown promise in supporting well-being and identity development, especially when human feedback is limited (Demir & Özdemir, 2025). Importantly, however, studies emphasise that teachers rarely accept AI suggestions uncritically; instead, they engage with them dialogically, often modifying or rejecting them based on contextual appropriateness and pedagogical philosophy (Karataş & Yüce, 2024; Outamgharte et al., 2025). This highlights the need to design AI systems that encourage, rather than replace, teacher judgement and interpretation.

## **Collaborative and Socially Situated Reflection**

While individual reflection is foundational to teacher growth, a significant body of research highlights the power of socially situated and dialogic forms of reflection, particularly in language teacher education. The inherently communicative nature of language teaching, alongside the emotional and cultural labour it often entails, makes collaborative reflection not only beneficial but essential. Technologies that support peer dialogue, community-building, and shared inquiry create spaces where reflection is co-constructed, enabling teachers to interrogate practice more deeply and develop a stronger sense of professional agency (Lantz-Andersson et al., 2022).

Online professional learning communities (PLCs) have proven particularly effective in this regard. Studies by Xue (2025) and Jin et al. (2025) show that both novice and experienced language teachers benefit from engaging in sustained, AI-focused PLCs, where they collaboratively explore teaching strategies, critique classroom experiences, and experiment with tools like ChatGPT and collaborative journaling. In these communities, knowledge is not transmitted top-down but co-constructed through dialogue, mentorship, and shared experimentation. Participants reported heightened digital literacy, pedagogical confidence, and cross-generational learning, all being essential for adapting to AI-mediated classrooms. Notably, teachers adopted a range of roles, from 'careful critics' to 'engaged explorers,' underscoring the diversity of reflective engagement in socially networked environments.

Video-based collaborative reflection, particularly via peer-reviewed story circles or structured discussion protocols, has also shown powerful results. For instance, the R-NEST framework (Reflection, Narrative, Engagement, Sociality, and Technology) enables teachers to reflect not only on what they did, but how their actions felt, what assumptions were operating, and what alternative paths they might consider (Thompson Long & Hall, 2015). In Ding's (2018) and Ding et al.'s (2022) work, peer dialogue around multimodal teaching videos helped language educators notice previously invisible patterns, such as code-switching cues, student disengagement, or interactional breakdowns.

This collaborative analysis deepened teachers' awareness of their pedagogical presence and communication strategies, insights less likely to emerge in isolated reflection. Echoing these findings, Hoang (2021) reports that teachers saw peer and student feedback as one of the most valuable features of the reflective process, reinforcing the importance of social presence and responsive dialogue in teacher learning.

AI can also play a supporting role in collaborative reflection when integrated ethically and intentionally. Yu et al. (2025) demonstrate that hybrid intelligence systems, combining AI-generated prompts with human peer feedback, enhance the depth and criticality of teacher responses during online video analysis tasks. These systems increase the frequency of justified critiques, alternative instructional proposals, and exploratory questioning, suggesting that AI can scaffold more rigorous reflection without replacing the human interpretative process. Similarly, Pearson's (2025) work on activity-based, collaborative online teacher professional development (ABCOTPD) underscores how educators, when working in digitally mediated social learning environments, co-construct knowledge through iterative cycles of application, feedback, and shared reflection. This model fosters agency and mutual support, an especially valuable outcome in the emotionally and cognitively demanding field of language education.

At the heart of these findings is a shared emphasis on relational learning, where the act of reflecting is not done in solitude but through conversation, narrative, and the negotiation of meaning with others. This mirrors the dialogic core of language teaching itself and aligns with sociocultural theories that view teacher knowledge as constructed within communities of practice. For reflective technologies to be truly transformative, they must facilitate rather than fragment these communities, promoting trust, mutual critique, and shared pedagogical inquiry (Al-Nofaie & Alwerthan, 2024; Jones, 2014; Outamgharte et al., 2025).

### **Teacher Identity, Well-Being, and Emotional Dimensions**

In addition to shaping pedagogy, technology-mediated reflection also plays a critical role in supporting teacher identity development, emotional regulation, and psychological well-being. These aspects are particularly salient in language teacher education, where teachers frequently navigate intercultural tensions, linguistic insecurities, and the pressures of high-stakes communicative performance. Reflective tools, especially those designed with emotional insight in mind, can offer teachers spaces to process experience, articulate values, and develop sustainable professional identities (Xerri, 2018). In fact, pre-service teachers reported increased confidence and autonomy through guided, low-risk opportunities to reflect critically on their teaching (Wu & Lee, 2024), highlighting how emotionally safe environments are vital for building reflective teacher identities. Complementing this, Satvati et al. (2025) explore AI's broader influence on language teacher identity, emphasising how it reshapes roles across micro (classroom), meso (institutional), and macro (societal) levels, suggesting that AI is not merely a tool but a force that redefines pedagogical and professional landscapes.

Research into AI-supported journaling and self-reflective voice tools has underscored their dual function as both pedagogical and emotional supports. Demir and Özdemir (2025), for instance, explored how an AI-powered voice journaling app helped pre-service English language teachers manage stress, set goals, and maintain a sense of balance during the practicum. Teachers reported that the app's motivational prompts and emotional feedback felt supportive and affirming, creating space for reflection that was not just cognitive but affective. Similarly, Al-Nofaie and Alwerthan (2024) demonstrate how AI-integrated microteaching experiences, framed through appreciative inquiry, boosted pre-service teachers' confidence, creativity, and ownership over lesson planning, thus fostering a more empowered sense of professional self. This aligns with Xerri and Block's (2024) research on the potential of

generative AI in supporting writing instruction and fostering professional learning and experimentation, especially when educators engage in practitioner inquiry.

Digital portfolios and narrative reflection tools also support identity work, especially when teachers curate materials that tell the story of their development over time. As Xerri and Campbell (2016) note, portfolios are deeply personal reflective spaces where teachers express and explore their evolving professional identities. When teachers are given control over how portfolios are structured and used, they are more likely to experience ownership and affirmation in their reflective journey. Montgomery (2003) and Powell (2017) highlight how these tools help teachers track evolving beliefs, compare aspirations with real-world experiences, and reflect critically on mismatches between theory and practice. When language teachers use digital portfolios to explore their own positioning as multilingual speakers, cultural mediators, or instructional designers, they begin to articulate more coherent, situated professional identities. Such narrative work is particularly powerful when shared with peers in dialogic settings, enabling teachers to locate themselves within broader communities of practice (Jones, 2014; Moran, 2017).

Emotional awareness and identity formation are also deeply connected to teacher well-being, which several studies suggest is bolstered by the thoughtful use of technology. In L'Enfant's (2024) investigation of AI as a reflective coach for graduate ESL student-teachers, participants noted that AI feedback offered timely, non-judgemental prompts that helped them process confusion, navigate uncertainty, and grow in self-efficacy. Similarly, Ding's (2018) work with video-based reflection showed that teachers who engaged in structured video journaling and collaborative peer discussions reported feeling less overwhelmed and more prepared for classroom challenges. The ability to 'see oneself' with both critical distance and supportive framing contributes to psychological resilience, an outcome increasingly valued in language teacher education programmes concerned with retention and burnout.

Nonetheless, these emotional affordances must be balanced against potential risks. Several scholars caution against over-reliance on emotionally neutral AI systems that may miss contextual subtleties or exacerbate teacher isolation if not complemented by human support (Karataş & Yüce, 2024; Outamgharte et al., 2025). The most effective reflective technologies are those that acknowledge teachers as whole persons, blending cognitive insight with emotional resonance, and offering reflective space that is both rigorous and humane.

### **Risks, Limitations, and Ethical Considerations**

While the pedagogical and emotional benefits of technology-mediated reflection are increasingly evident, the growing use of AI, data analytics, and immersive platforms in language teacher education also introduces a host of ethical, pedagogical, and epistemological concerns. Hubbard (2024) highlights several persistent challenges to technology integration – including inertia, ignorance, and infrastructure – and warns against seeing technologies like AI as a complete solution. Teachers must be prepared not only to use AI but to question and adapt it critically (Xerri, 2024, 2025a, 2025b). This is why Buendgens-Kosten (2024) proposes that language teacher education should move beyond basic AI literacy to develop digital text sovereignty, a framework that equips teachers to critically assess not only how large language models work, but also the intentions, veracity, and sociocultural implications behind AI-generated texts. Similarly, Dilek et al. (2025) argue that AI literacy in teacher education should go beyond technical skills to foster critical, collaborative reflection on the ethical and societal implications of AI, including bias, stereotyping, and teacher agency. As these tools become more sophisticated and more deeply embedded in teacher development programmes, it is vital to engage with their limitations, not only to safeguard educators and learners, but to ensure that technology serves, rather than supplants, reflective practice.

One of the most frequently cited concerns is the risk of over-automation and reduced teacher agency. While AI feedback systems such as dashboards (Cai et al., 2025) and hybrid intelligence platforms (Yu et al., 2025) can scaffold reflection with remarkable precision, several studies show that teachers may begin to defer too readily to algorithmic suggestions unless scaffolded to critically evaluate them. Karataş and Yüce (2024) and Outamgharte et al. (2025) found that while many pre-service teachers appreciated AI's efficiency and practicality, they also expressed unease about becoming overly reliant on it, particularly when AI responses were overly generic, lacked pedagogical nuance, or failed to reflect the emotional complexity of classroom interactions. Such findings suggest a need for training that frames AI as a reflective partner, not an oracle, and that cultivates critical digital literacy alongside technical fluency.

Another major issue is interpretability and transparency. AI technology like GPT-4 Vision and transformer-based writing assessors are often 'black boxes' (Zhang et al., 2024), offering output without revealing the underlying processes or biases that inform them (Warr & Heath, 2025). This opacity can lead to teacher mistrust or, worse, uncritical acceptance of flawed or biased suggestions. For example, while a Video-based Automatic Assessment System (VidAAS) can provide rubric-aligned observational feedback from classroom video, its accuracy in assessing affective or culturally situated aspects of teaching remains limited (Lee et al., 2024). Without human oversight, such tools risk reducing complex pedagogical judgement to quantifiable metrics that obscure rather than illuminate reflective insights.

Privacy, consent, and emotional safety are also recurrent ethical concerns, particularly in the use of video and AI-generated data. Teachers must often share sensitive recordings, written reflections, or biometric data to benefit from these systems. If not handled with care and transparency, these practices can compromise trust and participation. Demir and Özdemir (2025) note that even AI voice journaling designed to support well-being raised anxiety among some teachers about who might access their entries and how the data might be stored or repurposed. Ethical integration of such tools requires robust data policies, informed consent, and ongoing dialogue about boundaries and agency.

Finally, there is the broader risk of technocentrism, the idea that educational improvement stems primarily from better tools rather than from better questions, better relationships, or better pedagogy (Papert, 1988). As Pearson (2025) argues, any technology used in teacher education must be embedded within principles of adult learning and reflective inquiry, or it risks reducing professional development to content acquisition or compliance. Without careful mediation, tools like video tagging apps may encourage procedural rather than pedagogical reflection. Li (2024) indicates that student teachers often remain in non-reflective or surface-level modes unless prompted to move through levels of noticing and interpretation, reinforcing the need for guided scaffolds over technocentric assumptions. Similarly, researchers like Kimmons et al. (2015) and Jin et al. (2025) warn against prioritising efficiency over depth, noting that critical reflection cannot be accelerated without sacrificing its transformative potential.

While technology offers significant promise for supporting reflection in language teacher education, its integration must be ethically sound, pedagogically intentional, and dialogically grounded. Affordance theory reminds us that meaningful technology use depends on access, relevance, and cultural fit (Seeletso, 2022). Without local adaptation and support, even well-designed tools can fail to meet reflective or instructional objectives. The goal is not merely to make reflection more efficient, but to make it more authentic, inclusive, and responsive to the complex realities of language teaching.

### **A Framework for Technology-Enhanced Reflection**

The promise of technology in language teacher education lies not in its novelty or efficiency, but in its ability to deepen reflection, foster professional growth, and connect teachers meaningfully to



**Figure 1** *A Framework for Technology-Enhanced Reflection.*

their practices and each other. Across the literature, a consistent theme emerges: the impact of any digital or AI tool depends on the intentionality of its integration: how well it is aligned with reflective goals, embedded in dialogic processes, and sensitive to contextual realities. A sustainable framework for reflective practice must consider structural and institutional readiness, as Hubbard (2024) stresses. Teacher education must balance innovation with support systems and time for intentional, reflective integration. Building on this foundation, the following five interrelated design principles are critical to ensuring that technology meaningfully enhances reflection in language teacher education (see Figure 1).

First, pedagogical alignment is essential. Tools must be selected not because they are innovative, but because they support the specific types of reflection that language teachers need, whether noticing language choices in interaction (Ding et al., 2022), revisiting decisions during microteaching (Pitura et al., 2025), or connecting theory to communicative practice (Ilmi et al., 2022; Moran, 2017). Video analysis, for example, becomes more than a performance review when coupled with structured protocols like R-NEST (Thompson Long & Hall, 2015) or multimodal annotation tools (Tarantini, 2023), which guide teachers to reflect not just on what happened but why and what could be done differently.

Second, scaffolding across reflective depth is key. Reflection needs to progress beyond description to reach evaluative and transformative levels (Hatton & Smith, 1995; Mezirow, 1991). Technologies like AI dashboards (Cai et al., 2025), voice journaling (Demir & Özdemir, 2025), and automated writing feedback (Zhang, 2024) can assist in this progression, provided that teachers are prompted to connect feedback to pedagogical reasoning, context, and learner needs. Hybrid systems that blend peer critique with AI-supported prompts offer particularly strong models for advancing teachers through reflective stages (Yu et al., 2025).

Third, collaboration and community remain essential. Technologies should support dialogic reflection, not replace it. Platforms that enable shared video analysis, online PLCs, or collaborative planning (Jin et al., 2025; Lee & Bonk, 2024; Xue, 2025) help normalise reflection as a collective, relational process, this being especially vital in language teaching, which is inherently social. Peer interaction not only deepens insight but sustains teacher well-being and combats the isolation often reported in digitally mediated environments (García Esteban & García Laborda, 2018; Jones, 2014).

Fourth, design must uphold ethical transparency and emotional safety. This includes clear communication about data use, agency over AI outputs, and privacy in recording and sharing personal or classroom reflections (Karataş & Yüce, 2024). AI and automation should be introduced as supportive rather than evaluative tools, augmenting rather than replacing the human dimensions of trust, care, and professional dialogue that define effective language teaching.

Finally, reflective technology design must affirm teacher agency. The most effective models allow teachers to critique, reinterpret, and adapt digital tools to their own pedagogical values and classroom contexts (Outamgharte et al., 2025). Encouraging such critical engagement rather than compliance with tech-generated suggestions ensures that language teacher education remains anchored in the creative, interpretative, and culturally attuned work of teaching.

These principles do not prescribe specific tools, but rather provide a framework for meaningful integration, one that centres the professional judgement, lived experience, and pedagogical purpose of the teacher. As educational technologies continue to evolve, so too must our approach to designing reflective spaces that are as dynamic, multilingual, and human as the classrooms they serve (Xerri, 2018).

## Conclusion

As language teacher education enters an era shaped by AI, immersive media, and digitally mediated reflection, the question is no longer whether to integrate technology, but how to do so with purpose, criticality, and care (Xerri, 2025b). Drawing on findings from a sample of relevant studies, this article has argued that technology, when thoughtfully embedded in pedagogically meaningful and ethically sound frameworks, can serve as a powerful reflective partner, helping language teachers see, question, and reimagine their professional practices (Cai et al., 2025; Tarantini, 2023; Yu et al., 2025).

Across diverse modalities – AI-enhanced journaling (Demir & Özdemir, 2025), digital portfolios (Hoang, 2021), peer video analysis (Ding et al., 2022; Thompson Long & Hall, 2015), and collaborative AI-supported learning communities (Jin et al., 2025; Xue, 2025) – educational technologies have been shown to deepen metacognitive awareness, foster professional identity development, and support emotional well-being. These tools allow pre- and in-service language teachers to engage in multimodal, socially situated, and data-informed reflection that is crucial in navigating the demands of multilingual, multicultural classrooms (Ding et al., 2022; García Esteban & García Laborda, 2018).

However, this promise is contingent on design. Studies consistently warn that when technologies are implemented without critical scaffolding or ethical safeguards, they risk flattening reflection into procedural compliance, diminishing teacher agency, and reproducing bias or opacity in decision-making (Karataş & Yüce, 2024; Zhang et al., 2024). Tools like AI dashboards and NLP-driven feedback systems can augment insight, but only when teachers are empowered to critique, contextualise, and challenge the outputs they receive (Outamgharte et al., 2025).

The most transformative uses of technology in language teacher education will not be those that automate insight, but those that amplify it and support teachers in cultivating deeper, more dialogic and context-responsive forms of reflection. For this to happen, educators must design reflective ecosystems that are collaborative, culturally attuned, and pedagogically principled, where technology enhances the inherently human work of language teaching. As both digital tools and global classrooms continue to evolve, language teacher education must hold firm to a guiding imperative: to prepare educators who are not only technologically fluent, but critically reflective, ethically grounded, and emotionally resilient (Xerri, 2025a).

## References

- Al-Nofaie, H., & Alwerthan, T. A. (2024). Appreciative inquiry into implementing artificial intelligence for the development of language student teachers. *Sustainability*, 16(21), 9361. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su16219361>

- Buendgens-Kosten, J. (2024). “Just a pocket knife, not a machete”: Large language models in TEFL teacher education and digital text sovereignty. *Technology in Language Teaching & Learning*, 6(1), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.29140/tl.v6n1.1192>
- Cai, H., Lu, L., Han, B., Wong, L.-H., & Gu, X. (2025). Exploring pre-service teachers’ reflection mediated by an AI-powered teacher dashboard in video-based professional learning: A pilot study. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 73, 1129–1154. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-024-10442-1>
- Chye, S., Zhou, M., Koh, C., & Liu, W. C. (2019). Using e-portfolios to facilitate reflection: Insights from an activity theoretical analysis. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 85, 24–35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2019.06.002>
- Cimermanová, I. (2015). Digital portfolio in building teaching efficacy of pre-service teachers. *Journal of Language and Cultural Education*, 3(1), 57–68. <https://doi.org/10.1515/jolace-2015-0005>
- Demir, B., & Özdemir, D. (2025). AI voice journaling for future language teachers: A path to well-being through reflective practices. *British Educational Research Journal*, 1–32. <https://doi.org/10.1002/berj.4174>
- Dilek, M., Baran, E., & Aleman, E. (2025). AI literacy in teacher education: Empowering educators through critical co-discovery. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 76(3), 294–311. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00224871251325083>
- Ding, A. (2018). *Language teachers’ reflections on technology integration through online text-based and video-based tasks* [Doctoral dissertation, Indiana University].
- Ding, A. C. E., Glazewski, K., & Pawan, F. (2022). Language teachers and multimodal instructional reflections during video-based online learning tasks. *Technology, Pedagogy and Education*, 31(3), 293–312. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1475939X.2022.2030790>
- Esfandiari, R., & Arefian, M. H. (2024). The role of online, technology-aided collaborative reflective practice in triggering and maintaining pre-service and novice language teachers’ motivational resources. *Computer-Assisted Language Learning Electronic Journal*, 25(4), 486–509. <https://callej.org/index.php/journal/article/view/475>
- Farrell, T. S. C. (2022). *Reflective practice in language teaching*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781009028783>
- Farrell, T. S. (2024). *Reflective practice for language teachers*. British Council. [https://www.teachingenglish.org.uk/sites/teacheng/files/2024-03/Farrell\\_Reflective\\_Practice\\_Final.pdf](https://www.teachingenglish.org.uk/sites/teacheng/files/2024-03/Farrell_Reflective_Practice_Final.pdf)
- García Esteban, S., & García Laborda, J. (2018). Linking technology and reflective practice in primary ELT teacher education. *Onomázein*, 41, 78–94. <https://doi.org/10.7764/onomazein.41.09>
- Geng, G. (2019). Reflection on ICTs in education. In G. Geng, P. Smith, P. Black, Y. Budd, & L. Disney (Eds.), *Reflective practice in teaching: Pre-service teachers and the lens of life experience* (pp. 109–117). Springer. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-9475-1\\_16](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-9475-1_16)
- Hamel, C., & Viau-Guay, A. (2019). Using video to support teachers’ reflective practice: A literature review. *Cogent Education*, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186X.2019.1673689>
- Hatton, N., & Smith, D. (1995). Reflection in teacher education: Towards definition and implementation. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 11(1), 33–49. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0742-051X\(94\)00012-U](https://doi.org/10.1016/0742-051X(94)00012-U)
- Hoang, D. T. N. (2021). Teachers’ perspectives on the use of digital portfolios in EFL teaching context. *The Journal of Asia TEFL*, 18(2), 711–718. <https://doi.org/10.18823/asiatefl.2021.18.2.26.711>
- Hubbard, P. (2024). Future directions in English language teacher education in a changing world. In J. S. Lee, D. Zou, M. M. Gu (Eds.), *Technology and English language teaching in a changing world: A practical guide for teachers and teacher educators* (pp. 189–201). Palgrave Macmillan. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-51540-8\\_14](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-51540-8_14)
- Ilmi, M., Drajiati, N. A., & Putra, K. A. (2022). Linking the theory and practice: Self-reflections on technology-integrated English grammar teaching. *Reflective Practice*, 24(2), 125–136. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14623943.2022.2146080>

- Impedovo, M. A. (2021). *Identity and teacher professional development: A reflective, collaborative and agentive learning journey*. Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-71367-6>
- Jin, F., Peng, X., Sun, L., Song, Z., Zhou, K., & Lin, C.-H. (2025). Knowledge (co-)construction among artificial intelligence, novice teachers, and experienced teachers in an online professional learning community. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning, 41*, e70004. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.70004>
- Jones, M. (2014). Reflective practice in the online space. In M. Jones & J. Ryan (Eds.), *Successful teacher education: Partnerships, reflective practice and the place of technology* (pp. 153–173). SensePublishers. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-6209-677-6\\_10](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-6209-677-6_10)
- Karataş, F., & Yüce, E. (2024). AI and the future of teaching: Preservice teachers' reflections on the use of artificial intelligence in open and distributed learning. *The International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning, 25*(3), 304–325. <https://doi.org/10.19173/irrodl.v25i3.7785>
- Kimmons, R., Miller, B. G., Amador, J., et al. (2015). Technology integration coursework and finding meaning in pre-service teachers' reflective practice. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 63*, 809–829. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-015-9394-5>
- Lantz-Andersson, A., Skantz-Åberg, E., Roka, A., Lundin, M., & Williams, P. (2022). Teachers' collaborative reflective discussions on technology-mediated teaching: Envisioned and enacted transformative agency. *Learning, Culture and Social Interaction, 35*, 100645. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lcsi.2022.100645>
- Lee, H., & Bonk, C. J. (2024). Fostering self-directed learning competencies among preservice teachers through reflective practice and technology-mediated collaborative learning. *Technology, Pedagogy and Education, 33*(5), 595–611. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1475939X.2024.2362853>
- Lee, U., Jeong, Y., Koh, J., Byun, G., Lee, Y., Lee, H., Eun, S., Moon, J., Lim, C., & Kim, H. (2024). I see you: Teacher analytics with GPT-4 vision-powered observational assessment. *Smart Learning Environments, 11*(1), 48–35. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-024-00335-4>
- L'Enfant, J. (2024). AI as a reflective coach in graduate ESL practicum: Activity theory insights into student-teacher development. *European Journal of Open, Distance and E-Learning, 26*, 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.2478/eurodl-2024-0003>
- Li, Y. (2024). Characterising student teachers' noticing habits in technology-enhanced dialogic reflection. *Education Sciences, 14*(12), 1393. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14121393>
- Li, Y., & Walsh, S. (2023). Technology-enhanced reflection and teacher development: A student teacher's journey. *RELC Journal, 54*(2), 356–375. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00336882231161153>
- Mezirow, J. (1991). *Transformative dimensions of adult learning*. Jossey-Bass.
- Montgomery, L. A. (2003). Digital portfolios in teacher education. *Computers in the Schools, 20*(1–2), 171–186. [https://doi.org/10.1300/J025v20n01\\_12](https://doi.org/10.1300/J025v20n01_12)
- Moran, E. (2017). Balancing theory and practice: Developing competent, reflective CALL practitioners. In J.-B. Son & S. Windeatt (Eds.), *Language teacher education and technology: Approaches and practices* (pp. 77–89). Bloomsbury.
- Mosa, E., Panzavolta, S., & Storai, F. (2018). Videorecording in classroom: The reflective practitioner in the mirror. *Form@re, 18*(2), 130–139. <https://doi.org/10.13128/formare-23188>
- Outamgharte, B., Yeou, M., & Zyad, H. (2025). Teacher-AI collaboration for reflective practice: Exploring perceptions, practices, and impact among Moroccan EFL teachers. *Reflective Practice, 1–15*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14623943.2025.2494319>
- Papert, S. (1988). A critique of technocentrism in thinking about the school of the future. In B. Sendov & I. Stanchev (Eds.), *Children in the information age: Opportunities for creativity, innovation and new activities* (pp. 3–18). Pergamon. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-036464-3.50006-5>
- Pearson, J. A. (2025). *Evaluating educator experiences: The meaningfulness, relevance, and pedagogical impact of activity-based, collaborative online teacher professional development* [Doctoral dissertation, University of California, Los Angeles]. <https://escholarship.org/uc/item/51m791zx>

- Phillips, T. M., Saleh, A., & Ozogul, G. (2023). An AI toolkit to support teacher reflection. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education*, 33(3), 635–658. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40593-022-00295-1>
- Pitura, J., Kaplan-Rakowski, R., & Asotska-Wierzba, Y. (2025). The VR-AI-assisted simulation for content knowledge application in pre-service EFL teacher training. *TechTrends*, 69(1), 100–110. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11528-024-01022-4>
- Powell, G. (2017). Blogging as a form of Web 2.0 technologies for reflective practice. In A. Marcus-Quinn & T. Hourigan (Eds.), *Handbook on digital learning for K–12 schools* (pp. 271–291). Springer. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-33808-8\\_16](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-33808-8_16)
- Satvati, N., Kamali, J., Safian Boldaji, F., Khodadadi, M., & Akhondi, S. (2025). AI integration into language education and teacher identity: An ecological perspective. *Language Teaching Research Quarterly*, 47, 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.32038/ltrq.2025.47.01>
- Schön, D. A. (1983). *The reflective practitioner*. Jossey-Bass.
- Schön, D. A. (1987). *Educating the reflective practitioner: Toward a new design for teaching and learning in the professions*. Jossey-Bass.
- Seeletso, M. K. (2022). Teacher education in the digital age: Opportunities and challenges. In J. Olivier, A. Oojorah, W. Udhin (Eds.), *Perspectives on teacher education in the digital age* (pp. 11–23). Springer. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-4226-6\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-4226-6_2)
- Sert, O. (2025). Partnering with AI in teacher education? Using an automatic question detection tool to reflect on classroom interaction. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15391523.2025.2504355>
- Tarantini, E. (2023). Reflective teacher education in the digital age: 360° video reflection and AI-based developments. In D. Ifenthaler, D. G. Sampson, & P. Isaías (Eds.), *Open and inclusive educational practice in the digital world* (pp. 213–231). Springer. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-18512-0\\_13](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-18512-0_13)
- Thirumalai, B., Ramanathan, A., Charania, A., & Stump, G. (2019). Designing for technology-enabled reflective practice: Teachers' voices on participating in a connected learning practice. In R. Setty, R. Iyengar, M. A. Witenstein, E. J. Byker, & H. Kidwai (Eds.), *Teaching and teacher education: South Asian education policy, research, and practice* (pp. 243–272). Palgrave Macmillan. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-26879-4\\_11](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-26879-4_11)
- Thompson Long, B., & Hall, T. (2015). R-NEST: Design-based research for technology-enhanced reflective practice in initial teacher education. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 31(5), 572–596. <https://doi.org/10.14742/ajet.2535>
- Warr, M., & Heath, M. K. (2025). Uncovering the hidden curriculum in generative AI: A reflective technology audit for teacher educators. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 76(3), 245–261. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00224871251325073>
- Wu, J. G., & Lee, K. W. (2024). Teach less, learn more: Empowering pre-service language teachers with technology-enhanced microteaching. In J. S. Lee, D. Zou, M. M. Gu (Eds.), *Technology and English language teaching in a changing world: A practical guide for teachers and teacher educators* (pp. 173–185). Palgrave Macmillan. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-51540-8\\_13](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-51540-8_13)
- Xerri, D. (2018, Spring). Reflective spaces for English language teachers. *The English Connection*, 22(1), 7–8.
- Xerri, D. (2024, Winter). Prompt engineering in language education: A practical guide. *ETAS Journal*, 41(2), 34–36.
- Xerri, D. (2025a). Beyond AI literacy: The future skills to thrive in an AI-powered world. In C. Lindade, J. Rodrigues & J. Fiúza (Eds.), *The future of education: EFL Challenges: 37th annual APPI conference: Book of proceedings* (pp. 9–18). Associação Portuguesa de Professores de Inglês.
- Xerri, D. (2025b, January). Critical thinking as a cornerstone of a human-centred mindset towards AI use. *Learning Technologies SIG Newsletter*, 9–12.

- Xerri, D., & Block, J. (2024). Learning to be a writing instructor in the age of generative AI: The role of research-practice partnerships. *Language Teacher Education Research*, 1, 64–78. <https://doi.org/10.32038/iter.2024.01.04>
- Xerri, D., & Campbell, C. (2016). E-portfolios in teacher development: The better option? *ELT Journal*, 70(4), 392–400. <https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccw032>
- Xue, L. (2025). Urgent, but how? Developing English foreign language teachers' digital literacy in a professional learning community focusing on large language models. *European Journal of Education*, 60, e12899. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ejed.12899>
- Yu, J., Yu, S., & Chen, L. (2025). Using hybrid intelligence to enhance peer feedback for promoting teacher reflection in video-based online learning. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 56, 569–594. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13559>
- Zhang, C. (2024). *Fostering pre-service teacher reflection through AI-based feedback: From understanding AI acceptance to developing effective AI-driven feedback* (Doctoral dissertation, Friedrich-Alexander-Universität, Erlangen-Nürnberg).
- Zhang, C., Hofmann, F., Plößl, L., & Gläser-Zikuda, M. (2024). Classification of reflective writing: A comparative analysis with shallow machine learning and pre-trained language models. *Education and Information Technologies*, 29(16), 21593–21619. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-024-12720-0>
- Zhou, J., Huang, T., & Chen, S. (2024). Pre-service teachers' changing beliefs in a digital humanity course: Three cases of ELT teachers. *Digital Applied Linguistics*, 1, 2258. <https://doi.org/10.29140/dal.v1.2258>