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ABSTRACT
The object of this presentation is to give an overview of how the southeast of Malta comprising the Cappella of Sancta Catherina, that is the Parish of Żejtun, was geared for enemy attacks before 1530 and after the arrival of the Order of St John until the last invasion of 1614, whose fourth centenary is being commemorated. It is shown how the coastal watch, the so-called Mahras, for this district, originally organized by the Mdina Universitas came to be dependent on St Angelo towards the mid-fifteenth century and how, at about the same time, fortification of the old Parish Church was undertaken to defend the villagers, in view of the distance of the parish from Mdina. The dependent hamlet of Zabbar was one of the identified Dejma (land force as opposed to the coastal Mahras) centres. It is suggested that one reason why the Port of Marsaxlokk appears to have been singled out by the Moorish corsairs for special attention was retaliation for its use as the hub of Christian provocation, especially after 1530.

INTRODUCTION
In the wake of the Muslims’ expulsion from these islands in the mid-XIIIth century these shores became frontier territory – in frons ferox barbarorum – with Moorish North Africa and never ceased to bear the brunt of onslaughts from that direction. Nor, however, were they spared the damaging attention of Christians who were the enemies of the powers that happened to be ruling them at the time. Often it was Gozo, the relatively undefended island, that was dealt the most harmful blows. Thus, in 1274, the smaller island was sacked by the Genoese who were then enemies of the Angevins. In 1283, a fierce naval battle raged in Malta’s Grand Harbour between the navies of Anjou and of Aragon, battling each other for domination of these islands. In 1298, then, destruction reigned in these islands when they were involved in the internecine struggle between the two reigning brothers, Jaime King of Aragon and Frederick III King of Sicily. The following century was witness to escalating Moorish attacks. The Hafsids of Tunisia took revenge on Gozo in 1389 following Manfred Chiaramonte’s assault on Jerba with vessels which had left from these islands. The damage effected then was nothing compared to the devastation left behind by Qa‘id Ridwan’s tragic invasion of 1429, when a horde of 18,000 Moors pushed their aggression to the walls of Mdina and carried off into slavery no less than 3,000 islanders; this, again, was in retaliation for the Aragonese attack on Kerkenna. This tit-for-tat repeated itself right down to the assault on the southeast of the island in 1614, the event we are commemorating this year, culminating in the massive invasions of 1551 and 1565 intended to take over these islands completely.

It is for these reasons that, in 1375, King Frederick IV of Sicily took action to
defend the realm’s shores by organizing a coastal watch which, for this island in that year, was entrusted in the hands of Malta’s Castellan. Thereafter, defence became less decentralized and it passed into the hands of the Municipal Council, or Universitas, of Mdina headed by its Hakem, four Jurats and other officials. It is worth remarking that the terminology used in the documentation for the organization of the coastal watch (known as maœras) for the various districts of the island was precisely by amalgams of villages into cappelle or parishes, which is ecclesiastical language. This shows that the ecclesiastical organization of the island into distinct districts preceded the civil. Thus among the earliest militia lists and rosters, dating to 1417 and later, published by Professor Wettinger, one encounters the population around Rahal Dingli being referred to as Cappella Santa Dominica Tartarni et Dinkili. The same can be said for lists of c. 1450 related to the importation of wheat, wherein well-to-do islanders from the south-east of the island are grouped together under headings such as Santa Catharina. This Church of St Catherine, precisely where this symposium is being held today, from very early times was the principal church of the district so-called Ġż-Żejtun, so that when the parish network was set up it became the Parish Church of this district or capella. Before embarking on the subject-matter proper a few definitions and clarifications are in order.

**CAPPELLA SANCTA CATHERINA**

The name Ġż-Żejtun for the village as we know it today, both as an ecclesiastical and as a civil entity, does not go back further than the mid-XVII century. Thus, in the censuses of the population taken by the Order in 1590, 1614, 1617, 1632, reference is always made to the Parish or Cappella of St Catherine. The appellative Casal Zeitun only begins to appear after 1650, both in the status animarum records and in other collections of demographic data, although even here, it is often qualified as being composed of the villages of Hal Bisbut, Hal Bisqallin and Hal Gwann. Even for the historian Gio Francesco Abela, who produced his magnum opus in 1647, Zeitun is merely a contrata or district of the southeastern corner of Malta, by contrast with the two other main districts, also named for trees, Il-Għarqar and Ġż-Żnuber. One cannot but help connecting this information with what is known about the constituent elements of Malta’s Mediterranean forest in the XIIth century as described by the Arab geographer Al-Himyari (whose text was certainly not available to Abela). For a detailed illustration of the occurrence of Żejtun as a contrada, the reader is referred to Professor Wettinger’s paper read during another symposium, similar to this, held in 2001, where the documentation is taken back to 1419. Documents being published in the Documentary Sources of Maltese History series push back these references into the XIVth century such as the concession of 1372 made to Joannes de Peregrino by King Frederick IV of Sicily of land in contrata de lu Zeituni, and a similar grant a year later of the fief of Petralonga (a Sicilian surname) in contrata de Lu Zayduni prope Putheum Salsum (near Bir il-Mielah) and of the other fief of Bullumeni (Buleben). In medieval times, this district of Malta counted within its boundaries a fair number of villages and hamlets which together formed the ecclesiastical entity, called Cappella, with its Rector, il-Kappillan, under the name of Santa Katerina, the patron saint and titular of the principal church, the one we are occupying now. Among these satellite villages one finds Rahal Bizbud (nowadays referred to as Ir-Rahal ta’ Fuq), Rahal Bisqallin (which name, incidentally, has nothing to do with Sicilians but is merely the diminutive
of Baskal, Baskal īz-żghir) – this is Ir-
Rahal t’Isfel, – Rahal Gwann (near Bir id-
Deheb), Rahal Bajda (or Bajjada), Rahal
Ghaxaq, Rahal Temim Assant (today, Hal
Tmin), Rahal Harrat (near the Church of St Cajetan on the road to Ir-Ramla ta’
San Tumas), Rahal Zabbar, and close to it
Rahal Sajid, Il-Biċċieni and Il-Bidni, Rahal
Ghadir at San Gorg ta’ Birzebbuga, Tal-
Ginwi (later referred to as Hal Ginwu) and
Hal Risqun. A number of other minor
hamlets only known by their name are
also found here, including Rahal Arrig and
Rahal Għabdirżeq, near Bin Ghisa, and
Rahal Spital (Has Saptan). In this fairly
extensive territory, there were important
fiefs like Il-Marnisi, documented already
in the mid-XIVth century, Buleben,
already noted, and Dejr l-Imara (Delimara)
which appears towards the beginning of the XVth century. These latifundia were
worked in Norman and Swabian times
by serfs of the Curia Regia, who, for the
Church, meant souls that had to be cared
for by the Kappillan of the Parochial
district. This spread of villages and hamlets
(often not embracing much more than
a farmstead or two) reflected a medieval
demographic profile very different from
what we are used to today, an extremely
dense conurbation centred on Grand
Harbour accounting for two-thirds of the
entire population.

Before the coming of the knights the
whole population, with its agricultural
orientation, was very homogeneously
spread all over the island. This is well
illustrated by the spread of the population
of the Cappella S. Catherina (with which
we are concerned here) whose 110 men
liable for militia duty (representing a
total population of some 550) in 1419
are known to have come from Temim
Assant (10 men), Pasqualinu (14 men),
Johanni et Buzubudi (taken together
because fairly close to each other) 40
men, Zabar (18 men), u Axac (28 men);
five years later Il-Bidni is included with
Zabar.

It was important to go into this detail
in order to understand why the Church of St Catherine was chosen as the Parish
Church, whence the sacraments were
administered, in spite of the fact that
it was not located in any of the villages
mentioned. The church was sited, as it
were, at the barycentre of the population
(Fig. 1), minimizing the sum of the
distances the Parish Priest had to travel
to the several people’s habitations. Similar instances can be cited in the case
of Birniftah and Birkirkara’s Santa Elena
at Il-Gharb.

Another point that needs to be stressed
is that, although in Malta there were two
distinct parishes dedicated to St Catherine
of Alexandria, namely Żejtun and Zurrieq,
references to the latter are always in the
form Casalis Zuric (or similar) so that
Capella Sancta Catherina always meant
Żejtun (Fig. 2). As a corollary, we have
that a reference to Santa Catherina
dating back to 1270 and Angevin times
alludes to Žejtun. More solidly based,
because specifying land descriptions, are
references of a century later such as the fief
of Petralonga, that is on the way to
Santa Catherina, as we know of the same fief
in contrata dilu Zajduni prope pheudum
Bullumeni, which is none other than
Buleben, near īż-Zejtun. The coincidence
in time – the Angevin period – of the
earliest reference to Santa Catherina with
the first ever mention of the Cathedral’s
Precentor – Alexander mentioned in 1274
– may not be due entirely to chance as it
is known that the prebend of St Catherine
belonged precisely to the Precentor, as
evidenced by the fact that the right to
celebrate Mass at the end of the yearly
votive procession of St Gregory belongs
precisely to the Precentor. From this
association also stems the presence of
depictions of the Precentors’ coats-of-
arms displayed in the church sacristy.
These start with Don Bartholomeus Axac
(1366-1375), Don Paulus Nani (1388-
1393), Don Bartholomeus Gatt (1419-
Figure 1: The Old Parish of St Catherine, Żejtun in the 1940s. Courtesy of Ruben Abela.

Figure 2: Reference to Parrochia S. Caterina and Parrochia Zurricho on P. Coronelli Map, 1689. Courtesy of Heritage Malta.
1428), Don Michael de Fabro (1431), Don Henricus de Bordino (1441-1480), Don Rogerius de Bordino (1480-1496) and their successors of the XVIth century and later.18

At this juncture, a little aside would not be out of order, as this Church of St Catherine is habitually referred to as St Gregory’s on account of the votive procession, mentioned, that originally started at Mdina and ended here. Much has been surmised about the possible origin of this procession. Without citing any documentation, Dusina would have it associated with some Turkish attack.19 Abela suggests the invasion by Qa’id Ridwan in 1429 or the visitation of plague in 1519.20 A document is, however, cited by Fr Mikiel Fsomni O.P. who refers to a notarial act of 1543 which gives details of the procession supposedly ordered by Bishop Cubelles in that year.21 But the procession could not have originated in 1543. It must be remarked that St Gregory the Great is habitually invoked in Church history on the recurring occasions of plague, doubtlessly because he had ordered the organization of a votive procession when the plague visited Rome in 590.22 This would suggest the genesis of the Malta procession to have been connected with the plague, as Abela hinted. However, the present author has identified a document dated 1535 (eight years before Cubelles’ order) related to this procession which describes how the parishioners of Naxxar and of Birkirkara clashed over precedence at the start of the procession at Is-Saqqajja, Rabat. Heads and processional crosses were broken and the fracas led to court proceedings before the Bishop (with appeals to Rome), yielding important details. In summary, Cathedral Treasurer and Parish Priest of Naxxar, Don Domenico Dimech [died 1541], claimed in his evidence that ab antiquissimo tempore the right of precedence belonged to Naxxar.23 The qualification of time ab antiquissimo tempore must have signified at least a century; otherwise, someone older than Dimech would have been found to contradict him. Although this argument does not preclude the invasion of 1429 as the motivating event, the association of St Gregory with votive processions of the plague would suggest some visitation of plague at the time. The most likely event would have been the Black Death of the 1360s which is known from Vatican sources to have visited also these islands.24

THE PARISH CHURCH OF ST CATHERINE

Coming now to the Parish proper it is useful to consider the Parish Priests, Kappillani, in order to create a framework for events, actually related to defence. The earliest reference to a Parish Priest does not go further back than Bishop Senator de Mello’s rollo of 1436, mentioning La Cappella di Sancta Catherina, 25 even if parishes were certainly in existence before that date. Recall Cappella Sancta Dominica Tartarni et Dinkili (1419) and Sancta Helena of Birkirkara already established in 1402. Furthermore, the cappella of the Castrum Maris is already in evidence in 1361 and later.26 It follows that the establishment of a parish network must be pushed back at least to the XIVth century, even if for Sancta Catherina the earliest known Parish Priest remains Don Paulus Branchel noted in 1436. Branchel is actually encountered before – in 1428 – not, however, as a Parish Priest, but as someone owing money for tithes due to the Cathedral Dean.27

Many authors writing about Maltese Church History mention a certain Don Zullu as Branchel’s immediate successor, citing the oft-quoted inscription reproduced in Abela.28

Hoc opus fieri fecerunt Venerabilis Czullus dictu Baldu, et Honorabiles Paulus Dalli, et Jacobus Bonnici, Procuratores S. Catherine...
de Zeytun MCCCCLXXXII. Vltimo Februarii X. Indictionis.

This writing, dated end of February 1492, declares that the unspecified work on the church was performed in the days of Don Zullus, nicknamed Baldu, and of the church procurators Dalli and Bonnici. Although Don Zullu is not stated to be the Parish Priest, one may presume he was in that year as he is known to have been at the Mdina Council meeting of 1474. We do not know how long Don Zullu remained as Parish Priest, but a Vicecapellanus, a certain Don Antonius de Nicolachio, appears in 1496, on behalf of the church, commissioning a silver chalice for the church from the silversmith Antoni Mazzuni of Syracuse. Returning to the inscription, the appellative dictu Baldu for Don Zullu only occurs here, whereas Don Zullus de Theobaldo is well documented elsewhere. It is not improbable that even in Abela’s days the inscription was already not very clear and Abela may have misread ‘de Theobaldo’ as ‘dictu Baldu’.

From researches in the Vatican Secret Archives another Parish Priest of Sancta Catherina occurs between Branchel and De Theobaldo. This was Don Andreas Catalano, described as familiaris et commensalis of Pope Pius II, by whom he was very well-favoured. Suffice it to mention that when the Birkirkara rectorship became vacant upon the resignation of Don Matheus Galea, Pius II ordered the Archdeacon Lanceas Inguanes to have the pretender Don Michael Falzon removed and have Catalano instated in his stead. Three weeks later, on 23 March 1462, when Catalano offered to pay his first annate, this was waived by the Camera Apostolica. By September of that year, Catalano had already moved up to more lucrative positions, while still deriving the 12 ounces of Tours from the Birkirkara prebend, the payment of which was waived for a second time. On 22 December 1463 he was given the tithes prebend of the Matrice Collegiate Church of Gozo – prebenda decimarum Collegiate Ecclesie Beate Marie Terre Gaudisii Milevitane Diocesis – which was vacated by Don Raymondus Navarra on his demise; (it appears from this that the Gozitan collegiate was no XVIIth-century foundation!!!). This prebend earned for Catalano another 24 ounces of Tours, over and above an income of another 100 ounces from other benefices (including Birkirkara to which he had held on). As if this was not enough, in April of that year he was also made Parish Priest of Sancta Catherina of Žejtun. According to Gianfrancesco Abela, who cites Rocco Pirro, in 1467, Pius II’s successor, Pope Paul II, appointed him Archdeacon of the Catania Cathedral. We may conclude that Catalano’s connexion with Žejtun ended here, some four years after his installation.

DEFENDING THE SOUTHEAST OF MALTA

This longish ecclesiastical apparent detour was quite intentional and does have its purpose, precisely because the defence of the south-east of Malta – the subject matter of this presentation – is intimately tied with the church and with Catalano, specifically. An interesting document related to the defence of the south-east of Malta can likewise be recovered from the Vatican Secret Archives, dating precisely from the years of Catalano’s rectorship. It transpires from this document that Catalano’s parish of Žejtun is described as sita in confinibus infidelium qui illam pluries invadunt atque spoliant – that is, is located on the frontier with the infidels who often attack it and despoil it – and for this reason, the (unnamed) predecessor of Catalano in the Žejtun rectorship had begun constructing a tower close to this church in order to defend his parishioners and the many islanders who flocked there out of devotion. It was for this reason that...
Pope Pius II was granting indulgences – *decem annos et totidem quadragenas* – to all who contributed – *manus porrexerint adiutrices* – towards the completion of this tower. One asks: Where could this tower have been located? I do not think one needs to search very far afield. If we look at the elevation of the church from its south-east end it does not take much to conclude that the architectural style is quite military rather than ecclesiastical. This argument was proposed by Professor Mario Buhagiar himself in 1979 even before the first mention of medieval towers in this locality. The suggestion was later taken up by Quentin Hughes, who had said nothing about it in his first book, *The Building of Malta 1530-1795* (London: Tiranti 1967), but took it up later in his last writing on the subject towards the end of his life. Here he suggested that the church was given a military garb in order to serve as a lookout post to control the south-east coast of the island, adding (without citing sources) that it was also used to send signals to Mdina.

My contention is that the tower was located at the south-east corner of the back of the church (Fig. 3) and it was encroached upon by the extension works of 1492 mentioned in the inscription. These were, possibly, an elongation of the main axis towards the east and the addition of the transepts (Fig. 4a) and the dome in 1606 (Fig. 4b), judging by the present-day inscription in the dome (Fig. 4c). This explains the so-called ‘secret passageways’ (Fig. 5a) above the sacristy with look-out windows facing towards Marsascala and Marsaxlokk (Fig. 5b), which would have

---

Figure 3: The south-east elevation of the Old Parish Church, Żejtun. Photograph: Ruben Abela, 2014.
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Figure 4a: One of the transepts which were added to the original structure of the church in the early 17th century, Old Parish Church, Żejtun. Photograph: Christina Dalli, 2014.

Figure 4b: The dome which was built in 1606, Old Parish Church, Żejtun. Photograph: Ruben Abela, 2013.

Figure 4c: The inscription in the dome, Old Parish Church, Żejtun. Photograph: Ruben Abela, 2013.

Figure 5a: The ‘secret passageway’, Old Parish Church, Żejtun. Photograph: Christina Dalli, 2014.

Figure 5b: Look-out window facing Marsaskala, Old Parish Church, Żejtun. Photograph: Christina Dalli, 2014.
been part of the original tower and which continued to serve that purpose even after the tower was half destroyed, or better, incorporated within the rebuilt church structure. The purpose of the tower was to raise the alarm in case of enemy sightings on the south-east shoreline. One cannot help pointing out the connexion with the event being commemorated when, as late as 1614, the last such landing occurred at *Il-Gżira tal-Šan Tumas, Wied il-Għajn*. One should also keep in mind that the Parish of *Sancta Catherina* was the furthermost district from Mdina, unprotected by the high cliffs of the south, with several coastal indentations offering excellent cover to the enemy, and with the village of Żabbar (within its territory) being one of the few villages for which its *Dejma* contingent (distinct from *Il-Mħbras*) is documented at this time. In this connexion, I would like to point out that we may even be in possession of a contemporary representation of the tower. Reference is being made to the Buondelmonti map of the island dating to c. 1470, recently published by Soler and Ganado, exhibiting fortifications in this corner of the island; the authors, unaware of the Vatican documentation discussed above, opine that this representation must have been mistaken.

In connexion with this tower one cannot help remarking about the large quantity of bones that were found in the ‘secret passageways’ at the top of the tower. This material constitutes a very important archaeological element that should help date the structure. Admittedly, the bones have been under the scrutiny of anatomists of the University of Malta, the Indian Dr Ramaswamy and the Maltese Dr Pace, who performed a valuable palaeopathological examination of them. They conclude that the bones were, at some time interred, and, as suggested by Professor Buhagiar, it is very likely that they were disturbed when the new foundations for the structure were laid. But historically they are bound to remain hanging in vacuo before a precise dating for them is obtained. This can easily be determined by the use of carbon dating, a simple, albeit perhaps costly, procedure that has not been availed of yet. It is interesting to note that anatomists Ramaswamy and Pace found no traces of syphilis, so that one may possibly infer (even if negative arguments are not conclusive) that they predate the XVIth century as the *morbo gallico* only entered the island after 1500 and the discovery of America. I strongly urge Wirt iz-Żejtun to find means of having this examination performed to enhance the local history of Żejtun.

Returning to our subject of the coastal defence, it appears that, years before our tower was constructed, the Żejtun district had been the responsibility of the Mdina *Universitas*; this was certainly the case till 1425. After the Moorish invasion of 1429, however, for some reason that is still unclear – possibly the devastating effect this attack had had on this area – the men of Żejtun began to disappear from the Mdina documentation. We find that in 1460-61 there was a protest by the Żejtun villagers against the payment of Mdina taxes as they claimed that they belonged to the jurisdiction of the *Castrum Maris* (St Angelo) for defence purposes. It does not appear that the matter was settled there and then. The Żejtun Parish Priest, Don Zullu (de Theobaldo) attended a meeting of the Council in 1474, and Żejtun representatives were, likewise, present at another meeting in 1472 which discussed the contribution of the several parishioners towards the cutting of a ditch at the Mdina land-front for the defence of the city at a time of a threatened Turkish attack; this attack actually took place and was directed on Birgu and St Angelo. Yet, at the meeting of 7 April 1474 on taxation the Żejtun representatives were conspicuous by their absence. It is also true that the
men from the *Cappella Sancta Catherine* did not participate at all in the cutting of the Mdina ditch in the 1480s.\(^{50}\) It took a long time to resolve this issue as matters came to a head towards the end of the century with a confrontation between the St Angelo Castellan, Pedro de Nava, and the Mdina *Universitas* who took the issue before the *Magna Regia Curia* in Sicily where proceedings dragged on into the early decades of the XVIth century.\(^{51}\) A kind of compromise was reached in the codification of the *capitula* of 1494, whereby the right of the City’s *Ḫakem* was acknowledged by the Viceroy to send the Ḫejtun men to the *Castrum Maris* in time of crisis, but should he fail in this obligation, then the Castellan was entitled to order them.\(^{52}\) The building of the tower behind the church in the 1460s is best viewed as another rung in the escalation of this confrontation between the Zwieten and Mdina.

**CONCLUSION**

During the XVIth century, and especially after the arrival of the Order of St John, Moslem attacks on Marsaxlokk and surroundings increased rather than abated. The author E.B. Vella, citing the Order’s historian, Giacomo Bosio, lists an attack by the Jewish corsair on 26 October 1533, and two others by Dragut on 21 July 1547 and in 1556. It must be said that there may be a valid reason why Marsaxlokk attracted so much attention of the enemy, and that is, because there was no lack of provocation from the Christian corsairs who were using precisely the south-east harbour as the hub of their operations. Thus, the same author Vella notes how not much before Dragut’s attack of 1547, that is, on 10 August 1545, Prior Gattinara had landed at Marsaxlokk no less than 400 Moslem slaves captured on the high seas.\(^{53}\) Furthermore, if one goes to the original sources embodied in the Notarial Archives, one notes how Notary Placido Abela records in the 1550s several corsairing contracts, described as *ad pyraticam exercentam*, drawn up in Marsaxlokk. Some indicate the locality with more precision, such as the Church of St George at Birżebbuġa, and that is precisely because the Christian corsairs’ vessels were berthed precisely there. Many other interesting details emerge from these notarial contracts. Party to these contracts on behalf of the knights one encounters very often someone no less prominent than Mathurin Lescaut Romegas. Among Maltese corsairs and financiers one finds Salvu Mamo, Paulu Tonna and Orlandu Magro. An interesting episode involves Paulu Tonna who, having looted and landed a Moorish vessel, he changed its name to *Sancta Maria della Gratia* before re-selling it in 1558.\(^{54}\) This is very significant because it shows how the Ŗabbar sanctuary, *sub parrochia Sanctae Catherinae*, at the time inspired these activities. Dusina himself, in 1575, records how in that year the sanctuary was decorated with a multitude of votive offerings, ‘hanging high up on the walls lest they be touched’.\(^{55}\) The present-day well-equipped Sanctuary Museum gives details of so many stories behind these offerings, many of which are related to corsairing.\(^{56}\)

In the wake of the Great Siege, the Order took up in earnest the defence of the island in general and of the south-east in particular, prominent among which fortifications is the St Lucian tower built by Grand Master Alof de Wignacourt in 1610. Doubtlessly, this formidable deterrent made the attackers of 1614 think twice about entering Marsaxlokk Harbour and kept circling until an unprotected landing place was sighted. This was *Il-Gżira ta’ San Tumas* where they did land. After the event, the same Grand Master saw to it by erecting St Thomas Tower on that site in that same year of 1614.
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According to Hughes (pp. 48 [fn. 121], 119, 207) it was added later in the mid-XVIth century, possibly from the hand of Gerolamo Cassar. For the addition of the transepts, cf. L. Mahoney, 5000 years of architecture in Malta (Malta: Valletta Publishing 1996) 171. The inscription of 1606 in the dome sheds light on this matter. It reads: [S[UMMO] P[ONTIFICE]] D[OMINO] N[OSTRO] PAVLO V, ANTISTITE / N[OSTRO] THO[MA] GARGALLO, D[OMINO] MATTH[EO] / BVRLO RECT[ORE], PROCVR[ATORIBUS] LEONA[RDO] ET / MARIO TABVNI, THO[MA] BONICIO, / PAVLO ABELA ET FRAN[CIS]CO XAVER[IO] F..., / OFFICIOSVS HVIVS PAROCHIÆ / POPVLVS / ETVS / VVSTIOREMQUE FORMAM REDEGIT. / ANNO D[OMINI] M.D.CVI. (During the pontificate of Paul V, when Thomas Gargallo was our Bishop [of Malta], when the church procurators were Leonardus and Marius Tabuni, Thomas Bonici, Paulus Abela and Franciscus Xaverius F..., the devoted parishioners, with their contributions, changed the narrow construction of this temple into one that is wider and more attractive, in the year of the Lord 1606). The reference to the widening of the templum angustum suggests that the transepts were added at this later date. The year 1606 is very much in keeping with the primitive saucer-like dome.

Details are given in the Ÿejtun Parish Archives, Liber Baptizatorum II. These are repeated in the inscription at the right side of the main altar.
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Capitulum #XIV of 1494. Cf. DSMH III/2, Doc. 37.
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