Mario Azzopardi is the *enfant terrible* of contemporary Maltese poetry. A maelstrom of debate surrounds his imaginative and iconoclastic verses. He is fearless in his attempts to mock tradition or push it to the limits of this passion for life and passion for words. His poetry is verbal pyrotechnics sprawling in a phantasmagoria of images of a tortured mind.

In the mid-sixties, the Island of Malta severed ties with the British Crown and achieved independence after 160 years of colonial rule. Political freedom coincided with a period of internal social upheaval; new socio-economic forces traumatized the new-born nation.

Azzopardi was among the most outspoken activists; he was at the time committed to social and cultural change free from rigid traditional systems. He protested vehemently against an alienated silent majority that had been manipulated far too long by opportunistic political regimes and a church he considered backward and hypocritical. He jolted and shocked Maltese consciousness by his manifestos satirizing popular customs and beliefs.

A non-conformist, Azzopardi was at the vanguard of a crusade for innovative literary forms that were free from the archaic influences of the Italian *Risorgimento*. He was largely instrumental in bringing about the most radical changes in poetic thinking and composition in modern Maltese literature.

Azzopardi's poetic success, though largely the result of a unique talent, reflects cross-cultural forces and influences. He was exposed and sensitized to contemporary overseas literary trends. He felt a special affinity with American poets; he consciously aligned himself with the American ideogrammic stream of poetry, instigated primarily by the Projectivist and Beat poets. He considered the American influence vital for his own experimental mode in poetry.

The Beats erupted into the American literary scene in the late
fifties. They rebelled against “square” society and rejected its unimagination and restrictive modes and ideas.

About a decade later, Azzopardi burst on the island scene in impetuous, rebellious verses. A sort of “drop-out” himself, he readily identified himself with the Beat poets. He was equally intolerant of inhibited social behaviour; he denounced bourgeois society and the establishment it represented and helped perpetuate.

In true “beat” fashion, Azzopardi adopted improvised style and syncopated jazz beat in his poetry. Like jazz players, he favoured spontaneity and strident, discordant juxtaposition of subjects.

mitt holma li 'holm — elf demgħa li ġbart fi kwies
fondi bla qiegh irfist fuq dell l-imghoddi
u qsamt gharqubi ma’ kull pass li tajt
nohlom eternità
fil-bogħod — fil-bogħod
jixghel neon gumi yam yam
u nerga’ nibki dmugh lumija
(“Gumi Yam Yam”)

Azzopardi also transplanted the “field-approach” of projective verse to Maltese literature. He followed the method expounded in the fifties by Charles Olson, chief exponent of the Black Mountain poets.

Projective verse is “composition by field”. The finished poem corresponds to the natural situation of things and happenings as perceived by the poet. The principle at work behind the process is that, to use Creeley’s phrase: “form is never more than an extension of content.” Olson describes the poem “kinetically” as “a transference of power”. Such composition, he says, involves feeling the poem as “at all points a high-energy construct.”

Following Olson’s “open form”, Azzopardi discarded metre and verse forms in favour of a free placement of lines and phrases over the page. Through this arrangement, the poet conveyed rhythms of thinking and breathing. Working primarily by ear, he suggested the spacing of movements and silence, sweeps of breath and their pauses. The poem became an intellectual and emotional complex held together by a magnetic tension of diverse components.

An azzopardian poem is analogous to a piece of music by Schönberg where jazz rhythms, folk melodies and atonal phrases are abruptly juxtaposed:

pala tas-shab tittratieni
xemx impotentji bajja tixraq fir-ramel
qamar krexxent nofs qalb
sakemm int
tibda tobgħod dix-xemx dal-qamar
dit-temperatura perversa
The particulars are inter-related not simply by their proximity but also by the imagination, which can form relationships between the most heterogeneous elements if placed together. Azzopardi wove a poetic tapestry which the reader sees jumbled from behind. But to the conscious poet it is a private algebra of words and symbols.

The meaning of the poem resulted from the co-inherence of the particulars in their mutual interpenetration. This technique is not unlike Cezanne’s structuring of one colour against another, which the viewer’s inward eye harmonizes with the sensations of experience.

This juxtapositional mode or ideogrammic method (to use the name given to it by its inventor Ezra Pound) appears as gratuitous heaping of incongruous elements. Indeed, reality itself appears chaotic.

Pound built his poetic theory from Chinese script which is mimetic of nature itself. From this juxtaposition of unconnected things, the Chinese written language can draw more pictures and can thus imply further symbols, concepts, and immaterial relationships.

This “heaping of pictures” is especially important in the case of a language like Maltese, which has very few terms for abstract or intellectual concepts.

Azzopardian poetry is essentially an enactment of process and structure of nature’s own chaotic juxtapositions fused into compactness by the poet’s perception. Behind the shocking contours of Azzopardi’s forms there loom primitive shapes and patterns. His “poiesis” reveals the oneness of nature, its all-togetherness, its “jewelled net of interconnectedness” to use Gary Snyder’s description.

Azzopardi the poet is a “savage” not according to the meaning given by a pseudo-civilized society, but in a simple primitive sense. The jungle of modern reality serves this untamed poet as nature did his ancestral neolithic man. His poetry reflects the rugged beauty of cliffs and dark blue water below his hideaway in the north of the island. His visions are interplays of mediterranean lights and shadows; his sounds are harsh-edged, like rock-music.

The conceptual basis of Azzopardi’s work does not differ markedly from that of French surrealism.

Azzopardi’s “tama koncentrika” and “dirghajha ċimiteru” are reminiscent of Eluard’s “nuit hermaphrodite” and Breton’s “revolver à cheveux blancs”.

Azzopardi is akin to Breton in his agenda: subversion of the
existing order and restoration of the rights of the imagination. Both poets aimed at destroying the social man in the individual by liberating imagination, desire, and expression. They believed that truly creative forces are to be looked for in the depth of the irrational self. In his first manifesto of 1924, Breton wrote that surrealism’s intention was to expose the inner experience of the self free from established criteria be they rational, esthetic, or moral.

Azzopardi liberated the self from outside reality by demolishing normal and logical relationships between objects, words, and images; in doing this he also created a surreality of fresh images and associations:

u l-eteru nqasam b’hoss ta’ gallettina:
kemm hu isfar il-qamar il-lejla!
hares ‘il fuq u lemsu ahdar
bhal halq l-armla
tigrez il-gawwi mejjet
(“Ghaxar Varjazzjonijiet fuq l-Imhabba’’)

He reaches a sphere of universal correspondence where, in Eluard’s terms: “tout est comparable à tout.” A surreal serendipity floats between the arbitrary and the determined:

qtar-gharaq i xoqq żibeg f’nofs dezert afrikan
u l-metafiżika t’arlogġi mdendlin
mal-blat minutieri bla sahha mitluqa
jittewbu
elf holma ta’ soqba
elf hsieb mhux imwettaq
u trapjantat
l-gharaq mibdul stallattiti w stalagmiti
(“Passività”)

In Azzopardi, objects, memories, associations, projections erupt in counterpoint against a reflective structure. An undercurrent of rhetoric makes his poems cohere densely as reasoned objects of thought. His poetry is a vortex of energies revolving around a calculated centre; it is a torrent of images that people itself in the free manner of a fugue.

Azzopardi can be compared to Aimé Césaire for whom surrealism embodied an aesthetic and political “engagement”. It was a medium with which to smash all forms of foreign domination. Césaire struggled to liberate Martinique “cette île désespérément obturée à tous les bouts” from French control; Azzopardi dreamed “il-helsien” from Britain of a “gens miskin imghattan”.

Both poets attacked the official language that sanctified bourgeois ideas and values in politics and literature. They each considered their respective linguistic hypocrisy as symptomatic of a schizophrenic
society, where stated values were poles apart from "actual" values.

Azzopardi is equally disillusioned and sceptic of political systems. He seems all but lost in an island-world hopelessly manipulated by political forces. He inveighs against the pervasive power of the regime that oppresses and exploits the spirit of its citizens.

The psyche of the poet is inseparable from its intuitions of the nation's psyche. The political conflicts and absurdities are internalized by the poet. The poems register the personal implications of the predatory drives of political leaders, the face-saving ruses, the sufferings and ignorance of the little people at the expense of smug upper classes.

The socio-political poetry of Azzopardi is part diatribe, part satire, part dream-vision. He rebukes his country: "j'art parassita li nishet u nhobb":

O l-bandli ppirdkalkom
kull min kewwes biex jixtrikom
fit-turtiera tat-tparit
f'isem Kristu tal-krućjati
jew il-ligi tal-padrun.

("Maltija")

The poet was ready to: "nissallab biex nifdi gens miskin/imghattan" but the reaction of his own people crushed his spirit. His people looked down on him and:

bnew hitan trasparenti bejniethom u bejni
u ghaddewni b'mignun

("Ghanja ta' Settembru")

The poet's angry political lines of the turbulent sixties have lost their timeliness; but they did rock Maltese consciousness at the time. Azzopardi dismissed the country's historic heritage as irrelevant against the poverty and ignorance of the people. He crusaded for a creative patriotic love of mother country.

fuq kull kampanar il-landi mtaqqba
tat-tradizzjoni jqanпmu
l-assedju tal-elfdisamija
minn zaqq il-kitarri
minn madwar roulettes amerikani
mit-tabernakli tal-pilloli
tan-nervi n-nies ixxennaq
    it-tmiem
ta' l-assedju modern –
    fl-arena
l-alla tal-bierah jew hemm alla gdid?

("Assedju – stil 1967")

Azzopardi's revolt and its sylistic correlatives also parallel those
of the "novissimi" literary movement in sixties' Italy. Azzopardi echoes Antonio Porta’s criteria of shock and provocation. Both poets break up language patterns and use violent images and discontinuous syntax to produce intensely personal compositions.

Azzopardi's world is a maze of mirrors that reflects, magnifies, and fragments his image. His poems are uncompromisingly narcissistic.

The poet strips away a palimpsest of externally imposed selves in order to uncover a self which turns out to be not the real self but his idealized self. Echoes of secret dimensions trail his poems:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{jien} \\
\text{il-battista bla ras fuq lajlo tal-lastku} \\
\text{jew san pietru gharwien imgebed rasu 'l isfel} \\
\text{johlol imqajjem iżżigx fuq xmarə} \\
\text{jew naggar ibaqqan il-blat tal-enimmi} \\
\text{("Mirage x")}
\end{align*}
\]

Like a spider, the poet spins from his own life and his work glimmers with the tension between disclosure and concealment. He writes candidly but elliptically. The gap between poet and reader becomes a space in which familiarity, awkwardness, estrangement, timidity, honesty, and duplicity all co-exist.

His language is both hermetic and transparent, exposing and shrouding him simultaneously. His shadows enhance his art. Rent by shafts of light, all his poetry seems lit from within by the tension between the visible and invisible, presence and absence, proximity and distance.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Hemm orizzont incert.} \\
\text{Hemm rahal jistenna.} \\
\text{Hemm l-eklissi tax-xemx} \\
\text{Ihaffru gandotti rotof fl-ilibies skur tan-nisa.} \\
\text{Dan-nahat hawn il-ksieh.} \\
\text{Ir-riha tiegehek ghandha riha vjolenti;} \\
\text{donnok mara taf tirbah kollox u tidhaq.} \\
\text{Hemm rahal jistennieni} \\
\text{naqsam pont jistrieħ} \\
\text{fuq saqajn aghsafar spulpjati.} \\
\text{Jekk tasal s'hawn ir-riha taghha} \\
\text{tghidulhiex b'das-suwiċidju.} \\
\text{Lanqas m'għandkom tghidulha bil-pont.} \\
\text{("Il-Pont")}
\end{align*}
\]

There are silences in Azzopardi's poetry. The syntax breaks down, the sentence is suspended. A single word reverberates in the surrounding muteness. The unsaid intrudes in the said. Yet the poet, ever mobile, makes his lines move with a manic intensity.
The subtlety of syntax often articulates the curve of a perception or the morphology of an emotion. Unable to confide in the official language, he contorts and twists what is given; he coins and borrows words at will. His contrivances are intended to outwit language and impress the establishment.

Serene sights or pleasurable sounds rarely disturb the sombre mood of the poet; he prefers his poetry to be etched in pain:

Issummat
l-ugigh interzjat
fil-lirika tieghi
("Fi-Ghabex")

The sun rarely if ever illuminates Azzopardi’s landscape. Rather, a haunting moon casts a melancholy glow over his mindscapes. Images of dark and night palpitate with enduring pathos:

... il-qamar
bhalma nesa jixghel
il-pjaga tieghi mohbiija
("Nisa taż-Żerniq")

Azzopardi’s “ugigh” comes close to the pain experienced by American Confessional poets that flourished in the early and mid-sixties. Although Azzopardi’s suffering verges on paranoia, it does not reach the extremes of Lowell, Plath, or Sexton.

In one of his latest “confessional” poems, Azzopardi, is overwhelmed by an unbearable depression:

Ruh tieghi qabar tan-niket;
x’qed jistona fil-vers notturnali
f’dal-habs tieghi assedjat?
(“Lunatorju”)

His anguished poetry has roots in his sensitivity to the human predicament. It leads to a sharp sense of the pain of existence under even “normal” conditions. “Where but to think is to be full of sorrow”: this line of Keats reflects Azzopardi’s sensitivity.

The poet tries to transcend his “purgatorju twil” and exorcise the “blat tal-lava jiddewbu” from his head; but he knows that his poetry “miftuqa . . . dejjem tnixxi” from a heart that “ma fieqet gatt”.

Azzopardi’s sorrow is that of a lyrical existentialist. He is intensely concerned with the condition of the self, its limits, its freedom, its choices, its responsibility, its enduring angst. He is trapped in his “habs assedjat”. He is a man in despair clinging to nothing. He has no nostalgia either collective or personal; neither is he hopeful of the future. Hope, “tama bla riflessi”, he dismisses as a lie:
The poet stands aloof from his world, lost in his freedom. He asks his son to remember: "Int innifsek u wahdek", a truth the father has lived and is deeply conscious of:

... tarmi hwejgek
u timxi hiemed u wieqaf,
gharwien.
lest tingazza fil-kesha
ta' min jaghzel li jkun wahdu
("Bhall-Poezija")

The poet suffered a Götterdämerung, a twilight of man-made idols. He has lost faith in the gods of his childhood. Now he does not fit in anywhere. He is exiled from the sacred world of fixed values; he has been cast out of paradise and cannot return.

He must choose for himself. He must invent for himself his own meaning. There is no one to provide him with values; he must create them or else he is helpless and forlorn.

In his anger, he tries to shock. He "sins" in public. He tries to horrify. He strives to be the "poète maudit"; as such, he can possess a character that is fixed and sacred:

Hemm rigment isus warajh
bil-kanni sserrati bil-fanali
bin-nerfijiet u l-vaguni tal-habs.
Hadd ma jintebah bl-immunità tieghu.

L-iskrataċ ma jinfdux.
U l-ġebel ma jferix.
("Il-Poeta")

The poet wants to shape his life freely; but at the same time he is tormented as he apprehends his freedom and the awesome responsibilities it entails. He sees his life as suspended; in his predicament between a past he is bitter about and a future he dreads. The present is "ezistenza ghamja u truxa" made up of "mumenti spissi/tal-mewt tieghi bla konsum"; the future reduces itself to "il-mewt dghajsa bla qlugh/iċċajpar fl-orizzoni".
The way to flee the anguish is to deny the freedom and adopt some form of psychological determinism. One symbol that the poet adopts is that of stone, "haġar". Azzopardi is fascinated by stone and rock. Rimbaud was too.

Stone symbolizes the sartrean "en-soi", the in-itself. Stone is solid, impenetrable, consistent, and simply there. "L-ahjar nibagħu maqfulin fil-gebla" dreams the poet. He longs for the interiority and the restfulness of stone. He longs to identify himself with the "rassa samma" and the "dewmien etern" of "haġar". It is a vain desire. The "en-soi-pour-soi", the in-itself-for-itself is the perfect being, both consciousness and substance. The poet is obsessed by an ideal which is a contradiction. As such, he is condemned to suffer an impossible dream.

For Azzopardi, life is a self-deceiving existence "imtertra bil-mewt". Life "t'fur bil-mewt" and draws oppressively toward an inescapable end. The poet is haunted by the tragic absurdity of death, the nothing that is, "ix-xejn tax-xejn assimult".

Il-Bniedem l-ibghad pjaneta
torbita
fl-ispażju tal-mewt.
(="Zodjak")

In his thanatological poems, Azzopardi comes closest to the tragic vision of life. The dark breeze of death lurks behind many an azzopardian composition. Death is an ever-watching presence, implacable, both fascinating and horrifying. It is rarely peaceful. Images of death resemble the ghoulish imaginings of Bosch:

u mill-ibghad egħriem naqħrafl
iz-zeghir ta' zwiemel morda
ġejjin ikarkru wrajhom id-dell tal-mewt
("Sitwazzjoni 32")

And in "Il-Lejla l-Qamar qed jitwerreç" the poet, impersonating a living-corpse in a glass coffin, is traumatized by:

... l-qamar, qed jinghi dmugh id-demm
u d-dwiefer ta' zkuk is-sigar qed jcarrtu
miċċer il-firmament
u l-weraq isfar qed jinghasar
u l-wirdien sajjfl selah gwinhajh
inhhabbat mal-ghatu fuq wiċċi

Faced with the sudden death of a friend after a heart attack, Azzopardi is stunned:

il-margini qadima
iżda rqiqā bhall-ostji
bejn il-lum u l-bierah;
Religion cannot provide comfort to Azzopardi. It is, for him, an ambivalent demon. His attitude is particularly scathing against structured religion, against values dictated by self-righteous ecclesiastics, and against pious superstitions of the common people “mohhhom ghar tas-santi” and “saqajha mniggsin”.

Azzopardi rails against the forces of organized religion that have forged him:

araw ħuti dak hruq li hemm fl-infern
ma nitqangalx
b’qalb(i)na safja ma’ l-angli tas-sema (sejjer
ground –
viva maria
x’gisem ghandha
l-andress –) maghhom mittewbu taht in-navi
jnemmsilna hemm alla tal-karattlesa msammar
b’imsiemer
finta ram illustrat – mhux hekk mhux hekk –
sallbu(ni): b’idejjja miftu . . . h . . . a
bejn żewġ kampnari
w Ħarqu mhohi jdaħħan sagriffiċċju fi’incensier
erħuni nissawwab bejn il-kunistlij he ta’ kurċifiss
kontemporanju.
(“Mażżliega tax-xemgħa tinharaq”)

On the occasion of his 24th birthday, the poet blows out symbolic candles of church indoctrination:

dawn huma x-xemgħat li bellghuli oppju niexef
u saddewli ħalqi bil-biza’ tal-mistqsija
din ix-xema’ fiha riha ta’ mikrofni
fuq il-pultu jgħajtu mitologiija mistika
mingħajr konvijzjoni

. . .

din bassritli żwiemel ikarkru mewt spiritedi

. . .

u din qieghda tteşt pent allied-trianglu jmeslah sikkina
u jxammem fuq mhohi żibeg tal-għaraq
(“24 xema’ f’gieh il-poeta f’egħoluq sninu”)

In “Orbita 12” the poet longs to take off in outer space and drift faraway from cupolas erected “f’gieh il-vangeli morda’.

He refuses to compromise with “allat imniggsin” or “allat bla fattizzi”. He is sceptical about a priest-fabricated god that “ilahham u jghaddam” and “imeri l-ġjometrija”. This same god:

. . . hu l-assenza tal-ward
meta int tixtieqhom l-aktar.
He views Christ and His redemption in an equally stinging imagery:

... il-kurcifiss ta' fuq is-sodda jittewweb
in-nghas u n-nola ta' redenzjoni bla siwi.
("Meta jibtaxxa d-dawl")

After losing two of his children within a three-week period, the poet, in a moment of utter grief, rejects the offer of grace:

u mill-ghanqbuta ta’ smewwiet ghajjiena
alla mejjet-haj inewwel idu
‘l isfel ‘l isfel
jilghab l-ego sum
u jien ma nahtafhiex
("Sa l-ghanja tinxef 2biba mummja")

Some of Azzopardi’s lines are blasphemous. On closer study, one senses his interior crisis of an essentially God-haunted mind in search of lucidity, meaning, and innocence. Behind the poet’s tantrums against priests, dogmas, and God, there lurks a prodigious complex of a childhood obsessed with sin and guilt.

Hounded by inner voices, the poet wavers between blasphemy and prayer, agnosticism and penitence. He longs to unburden his conscience from the “piż tad-dnub” and heal the “wegghat antiki” that throb inside his brain. Deeply conscious of “rezonanzi mwahhlin/ mal-kuxjenza” he feels he is “l-iskerz indemonjat” and indeed “l-espansjoni tal-infern”.

In “Preghiera”, in a contrite mood, he turns to God:

ddewwibli mohhi
meta s-sigra tas-suppervja
tkun riflessa f’ghajnejja
ćcajparli d-dinjita
ta’ min jaf jitbikkem wahdu.

Characteristically torn in his spiritual neurosis, the poet enters “il-lejl oskur” of the soul, evoking the “noche oscura de l’alma” of San Juan de la Cruz in his search for a mystical union with God. The mediaeval saint experienced the dark night of the soul caused by the painful consciousness of human limitations and the apparent absence of God. The poet goes looking for God in a cathedral where “navi t’umdul/kaverni tremendi jaħbu ‘l Alla”. And on the verge of disbelief, he is saved from utter faithlessness by an inner voice of conscience:

Ma jkellmikx
lanqas meta ddeffsli
l-isbah fjura fil-kustat miftuh.
("Mewta taqta’ l-fjuri")
Azzopardi appreciates the symbolic visuals of church liturgy that have punctuated his impressionable young years. Cross, chalice, rosary, nails, fire, blood, heaven and countless other religious images and references recur throughout the poet’s oeuvre; they enrich significantly the dynamics of azzopardian vision and art.

Azzopardi cannot altogether forgo a belief in a supreme being, a principle of universal cohesiveness. In his latest works he turns increasingly to symbols and images from oriental philosophies and religions. He is eclectic in his interests; he will experiment with any idea with which he identifies or which responds to his present mood.

Azzopardi is fascinated by contours and edges. This sense of physical configuration reflects his consciousness of an object or event that is most truly revealed only at the border of its outline or form.

The circular form, "it-tond", appeals intensely to Azzopardi; deep psychological motivations are at the root of his attraction to "simmetrija taċ-ċirku". The circle is the symbol of the self; it expresses the totality of the psyche in all its aspects. The circle symbolizes the ultimate wholeness of life, whether it appears in primitive sun worship, or modern religions, in myths, in Aztec art, in mandala drawn by Tibetan monks.

The mandala is the magic circle symbolic of the transcendent self, encompassing all sides of man’s nature and forging opposing forces into a unity. In the poem by the same name of "Mandala", Azzopardi communes not with an anthropomorphic deity, but with "il-milja tal-vojta tond", the void of inexhaustible contents, the flow of a timeless cosmic configuration. In a fusion of Christian and Buddhist imagery, the poet is drawn as if by a spell to a "sagrament tal-holm":

\[
\begin{align*}
U \text{ kien hemm wesgha tonda} \\
\text{lesta tilha’} \\
\text{dal-kwadranglu} \\
\text{minn gos-shab.}
\end{align*}
\]

But after the celestial spectacle, he is disenchanted; he cannot believe wholeheartedly and typically, spurns the oriental ritual:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Miljun sena u sebgha l-istess holma.} \\
\text{L-istess spazji l-istess tond frustrat.}
\end{align*}
\]

Looking at the spectrum of Azzopardi’s thematic preoccupations, one is struck by the frequency and importance of female figures in the poet’s field of vision.
Females dominate the azzopardian cosmos in myriad and subtle ways.

Azzopardi's heroines are akin to his own existence devoid of absolute values: they exemplify the poet's ethic of fullness of life. They are victims, outsiders, outcasts, sinners. Yet they are at the same time innocent and free, exuding mystery and fascination.

Azzopardi is irresistibly drawn to these females. He is on their trail throughout his wanderings; he seeks them out in the hope of companionship, a love relationship. The meetings turn out to be apparent chances; they are doomed to failure. The female he craves is generally unresponsive, elusive, unattainable. Love, like God, remains a mirage beyond his grasp.

The poet's unfulfilled love with its exasperation of desire recalls Goethe in "Xenien", extolling "das Gift der unbefriedigten Liebe" which burns and cools.

After an encounter on a train across Italy, the poet finds himself alone that night:

mank nista' niftakar ghajnejk
f'dal-limbu kjarskurat
fejn hlomt li sibtek
("Fuq ferrovija")

Females in Azzopardi's poetry are like apparitions that flash and soon vanish but that shimmer on long after in the inward eye. All that lingers on the page is a sensual image of a mysterious absence. The passage of a female is transmuted into reverberations of dream and desolation, reminiscent of René Char's "le silence de celle qui laisse rêver".

Azzopardi's torment of the unattainable loved-one echoes Pablo Neruda's own despair in "Poésia XV":

y me oyes desde lejos y mi voz no te toca
y me oyes desde lejos y mi voz no te alcanza
("Veinte poemas de amor")

In Azzopardi's situation, the male-female space is hardly ever a bridge of exchange, or "un espace translucide" to use Paul Eluard's terms. Reciprocal "visibilité" is inextricably bound-up with eluardian love. The act of seeing across a transparent milieu is the means par excellence to communicate and share love. In the case of Azzopardi, the male-female space remains an infertile chasm. Is Azzopardi's experience a metaphor for the difficulty of being with another person and the impossibility of love?

A woman he noticed one evening remains a twilight image, an erotic thought:
Elsewhere, the poet is bewitched at the sight of a female undressing and about to swim nude under cover of night. The poet falls in love with the vision, but:

... taht il-harsa 'nfinita tar-ragel li habbha
it-tfajla ta' l-adrijatiku
dabet f'dell bla gomêrija.

("Ghaxar Varjazzjonijiet fuq l-Imhabba")

Many a poem tinged with pathos evokes a loved-one in an inaccessible beyond, forever distant. In "It-Tfajla tal-Muntanja", the poet remembers a tender encounter. The bliss was short-lived; the poet abandoned the stranger and promised her he would love her, characteristically, from a distance: "Se nibqa' nhobbok kif naf jien mill-boghod". After another encounter with another female, he finds himself alone, in love with an absent loved-one: "... nibqa' wahdi/ nisma' lehnek".

Erotic images of female hair, eyes, breasts, thighs, link these nameless and enshrined loved-ones with night, sea, moon, heaven:

Kienet safja malli rajtha tholl xuxitha
tinza' nuda fuq il-blat.
U saret lejl u saret bahar xtaqt nintreha
mmut f'gisimha.

("Trinoctium Castitas")

Through temporal and spatial separation the females he loves meld sensuously with images of earth. The persistence of his doomed loves echoes the eluardian "harmonie de l'absence" in which loss fashions images more intense than physical presence:

illum ghandli lura xufftejja u ruhi
tieghi biss
u nara kemm hu sabih li niftakrek biss
bla rridek wisq.

("Bahrija")

The sensuous lyric "kannizzata" unveils another nameless female but leaves her all her mystery and intangibility. The voyeur eyes of the poet net their prey through a latticed space:

Minn gos-slaleb tal-kannizzata
nizlet mara mnezzgha bhall-ilm

Transfigured in marine transparency, the female enlarges the contours of the poet's imagination. Nevertheless:

... f'ruhna mera
lmaht, kull ghenna t'art li tlift
The encounter reduces itself to a one-sided gaze. The vision fades out and the poem trails off in silence.

Besides considering a female as potential love-mate, the poet is conscious of woman as the yin force, symbolizing warmth, fertility, darkness, mystery.

The poet associates the females element with elemental matter and natural phenomena. Water and land become feminoid: "... u saret lejl u saret baḥar". Twilight, clouds, seasons, time, life revolve subtly around the female: "fl-ghabex t'ghajnejk" and "il-ḥarifa ta' qalbek".

Fire, a prime transformative element is associated with feminine inwardness; it is also related to the capacity for réverie which is implicit in most of Azzopardi's women.

The moon symbolizes a heavenly earth, a female presence. Women's reality is akin to cyclical metamorphosis and movements of a changing moon:

... u tiftakar kemm-il lejl
ghax hi kienet saret il-lejl
u l-lejl kien jafha sewwa
("Lapida")

On one occasion, the moon is transformed into a fantasy of a male in the night and a female "halliet il-qamar xitwi jhobbha".

The principle of nature inherent in the female ties to the pain of becoming and dying. The female principle stands for sorrow, but also the peace of the grave, "diraghajha ćimiteru".

The plethora of images and symbols emanating from nature distill the essence of woman and transform it into a myth of femininity. This acts like a deep reservoir of creative mystery for the poet.

According to Jungian psychology, the "anima", which is the feminine constituent of the male psyche, suggests an interiorizing movement toward private sensibility. In this introspective role, the feminine orientation is at the basis of Azzopardi's artistic approach; it becomes the cornerstone of his consciousness. The "anima" feminizes the poet's experience of reality and his interpretation of it.

The focus of Azzopardi's femininity is anarchistic, liberating, imaginative. His art revolves upon an unceasing unwillingness to allow ossification of a fixed centre or rigid boundaries. The poet's very surrealism and juxtapositional style point to a feminine orientation, a mind unshackled by absolutes and systems. The poet creates personal stories. The non-sacred aspects of such tales is also closer to
the poet’s feminine bent than the religious or ideological nature of collective myths.

The act of composition itself becomes a kind of epiphany. For Azzopardi the writing of a poem becomes a means of empathetically experiencing an alternate mode of consciousness. The poem becomes a sublimation of Baudelaire’s “femme fatalement suggestive”: an esthetic female counterpart and her lover, the poet who desires her, are enclosed vicariously together within the space of the written poem. This perhaps accounts for the unique passion and intensity that characterize Azzopardi’s work.

A host of other figures recur with an unusual psychic resonance throughout Azzopardi’s poetry. Among the most frequent figures are: moon, night, sky, sea, and bird.

The moon dominates azzopardian cosmology; it communicates a variety of images and associations.

The moon’s presence adds a surreal, mysterious, or sensual dimension to the drama enacted in the poem. In “Ghanja ta’ Settembru”:

hekk ghajtu t-trombi
meta l-qamar kien ghoddu sar kankru demm
ghajtu l-helsien.

In “Suite 345”, one finds the villagers waiting and waiting for the fullness of chance “taht qamar żlugat”. In “Kemm hi wiesgha din ix-xtajta” the moon “tghatta bl-istrixxa tal-vistu”. The epic poem “Unfinished Suite 869” opens under a moon “żverghnat bla protesta”.

In the poem “Wara nofs inhar fil-bajja” a nocturnal ritual will unfold under an eerie full moon:

il-lejla jmissu jitla’ qamar kwinta
taht il-harsa hamra tieghu
ha tohroq l-armla maghluba
b’uliedha suddjakni
jsawtu l-ilma bil-qasab tal-gnejna
taht dal-qamar
hallu l-mara tintelaq ghat-traxxix
forsi tindâf
bla tixtieq iehor
iḥabbilha

In the nightmarish poem “Il-lejla l-qamar qed jitwerreċ”, a cross-eyed moon catches the poet lying in a glass-coffin:

u l-qamar qed jinghi dmugh id-demm
u d-dwiefer ta’ zkuk is-sigar qed iċarrtu
mbiċċer il-firmament
u l-weraq isfar qed jinghasar
u l-wirdien sajfi selah gwinhajh
ihhabbat mal-ghatu fuq wiċċi

When the moon disappears, darkness conspires with night. In
“Legġenda”, a young woman “b’kawtiela skura trid tisfida l-lejli”
ends up surrendering her body to a wintry night. The woman in
“Lapida” recalls many a night for “hi kienet saret il-lejli/u l-lejli kien
jafha sewwa”. And in an erotic sequence of “Ghaxar Varjazzjonijiet
fuq l-Imħabba”, a nameless woman:

nizlet ghall-ilma
sabiha daqs il-lejli
u l-lampi tal-genna ntfew sabiex tghum nuda.

The sky is mostly an awesome “sema” where a “temperatura
perversa” is charted; where there is “mahżuża s-sentenza ta’ hajti”
and where clouds drift “jisfolja mewt war’ohra”.

The sky is “bahl ta’ wesghat” recalling the “espaces infinis” of
Pascal. The immensity of space “bla ħjiel ta’ dijametu” intensifies
the immense solitude of the mind, and dwarfs the ego to “ix-xejn tax-
xejn assolut”.

On rare occasions the sky can be an exhilarating sensation as in
the opening lines of “Vjagg”:

f’immifsejja hlewwiet is-saghtar
hekk kif il-lożor tal-harir jithallew
jaqghu jitmewgu pezez mahlula
mis-sema

For Azzopardi, seawater is a plurivalent metaphor in which
varied facets and moods merge. The sea is an image of the flowing
unity of the cosmos; it is a symbol of the unconscious life of the self.
The sea connotes sensual images; it is alive with spirits. It is an ever-
receding horizon; it is the infinite, timeless beyond. The sea is the
primal source, the womb of life. But it can also be a tragic tomb.

In “Marinara”, a nameless fisherman died on the water, unwept,
unremembered. And:

il-gawwi sallab karba mal-lejli
l-istilel ghattew wiċċhom
u l-ilma kellu l-ghatx
u l-bahar hassu jeghreq

The poet wonders in “Epifaniża” how many oarsmen “issallbu
mas-sigar taht l-ilma”, or where their people rowed “meta stadu l-
qamar tar-riżurrezzjoni”. In the poem “Ghoddom waslu l-angli”
water nymphs collected the bones of “kull xebba li salpat wehiedha”.

The bird is a haunting image in Azzopardi’s universe. In “Nikta”
a blind pigeon is found shot dead. A cry of a bereaved mate rends the sky:

romol il-leħen tat-tajra
maqbud fuq l-issab
afflittat
leħen bla vuċi.

Elsewhere, a sick dove “tferfer gwinhajha misluha/tokrob l-ennwi taghha”. Seagulls “sallab karba mal-lejl” mourning a nameless fisherman who died forsaken on the water. In the poem “Talba ta’ fil-għaxija” the author identifies with the nightingale:

ikanta ghalxejn
ghas-silg
bla tama.

In the memorable poem “Paesagg 2”, birds caught in a wire trap shatter the night sky with their shrieks:

il-lejl imtedd minn tulu fuq l-eghlieqi
u maqbudin mill-gwienah
l-aghfsafar xaffru d-dlam ighajtu
mill-ingassa tal-wajar.

The heart-rending cry of the bird in Azzopardi’s poetry desecrates the rilkian “rond cri d’oiseau” in which “tout vient docilement se ranger”.

Is then the shriek of the bird an intimation of the horror of the void, the “xejn tax-xejn”, the nothingness that threatens to submerge the human? Or is it emblematic of Azzopardi’s existential predicament, his freedom, with its preciousness and its ineffable anguish?

As an inhabitant of a small island, Azzopardi is extremely conscious of the surrounding sea that isolates him and confines him inexorably. Consequently the thematic motif of “évasion” is inherent in his work. Wandering beyond the shores of the island expands the poet’s world; nevertheless, the “elsewhere” becomes only another experience of loneliness and sadness. Wherever he escapes, he drags his “mal” with him.

Paris, the city of life becomes a soulless wasteland:

Jien l-aridità li qed tnixxef dil-belt:
l-id ingazzata tal-lejl
minghajr is-sider tax-xebba
(“Notre Dame”)

Venice is for the poet nothing but “ċimiteru ta’ gondli”; Prague is a city that “nixfet tibki”; it is a “belt tad-dmugh” and “pjazzi
where "xemx tal-bronż/irhiert mewt divina". In Berlin, the poet is distressed by:

\[
\begin{align*}
 \text{wiċċa abjad in-nies} \\
 \text{ftit tintebah bid-differenzi ta' bejnietna} \\
 \ldots \text{jien ukoll wiċċi abjad bhalhom.} \\
 \text{("Bahnhofstrasse")}
\end{align*}
\]

After wandering across the capitals of Europe, the poet confesses his sense of disenchantment and interior desolation:

\[
\begin{align*}
 \text{ġbart hafna frak} \\
 \text{u ruhi saret katalgu ta' nies bla fattizzi.} \\
 \text{("Tao Te Cing")}
\end{align*}
\]

The poet escapes from his insular microcosm ever seeking to free his self "prigunier tal-verità" and his conscience "fgata fl-alka". His quest is futile; it is vitiated by an enduring "malinkonija trägika".

Ultimately, the creation of the poem itself seems to provide a cathartic release for the poet’s neurosis. Poetry affords access to the interior faraway of the unconscious where the poet is reborn as his instinctive and passionate self.

The poems presented here are moments of Azzopardi’s artistic itinerary from his initial self-conscious studies to his later more mature sublimations.

Encounter with Azzopardi’s rebellious mind may be troubling, but it accomplishes what it aims to do: to subvert our commonsense and complacencies, to challenge our imagination, to remind us that life begins, in the words of Sartre, "de l’autre côté du désespoir".

* * *

In my translations I have tried to approximate the original poems as faithfully as possible. I have aimed to convey a sense of the poet’s emotion and style and to achieve a final composition that would stand on its own as poetry.

Translation is a difficult and risky task. I am aware of the danger of transmuting poetry into another tongue. A poetic translation can never reproduce the original poem. Each language has its own particular structure, sounds, images, and allusions.

Azzopardi’s poems present unique problems for a translator. As with all poets, Azzopardi’s art is inseparable from his language and style.

The earthly sounds inherent in the original Maltese language are lost in English. Furthermore, Azzopardi’s syntax is particularly difficult to translate because of its distorted patterns of word-order, ambiguous juxtapositions, and personal usage of words.
A translator must grapple with his own sense of the structure and inner voices of a poem. The experience of a poem resides in its totality not only in each of its words and their sounds, but also in the relations among those words, the connotations, the images, the pauses, the interior immensity of words.

I should like to thank my wife Judith for her invaluable assistance in the final version of the translations.