

A Basic Number Theoretic Result

Peter Borg

B.Sc. 2nd Year

Abstract: We are going to give a new proof that if the greatest common divisor of any two integers a and b is an integer t , then there must exist two integers x and y such that $t = xa + yb$.

First of all we are going to use the following symbols:

\exists	-	there exist/s
\forall	-	for all
$\gcd(a,b)$	-	greatest common divisor of a and b
s.t.	-	such that
\mathbb{Z}	-	set of integers
\mathbb{Z}^+	-	set of positive integers

Putting the above statement in a more mathematical form we have:

If $\gcd(a,b) = t$, where $a, b, t \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $t = xa + yb$.

The following is the proof broken down into small parts:

- By definition $\gcd(a,b) = t$ means that there exist two integers λ and μ such that $\lambda t = a$ & $\mu t = b$.

Now we claim - or rather we can show - that $\gcd(\lambda,\mu) = 1$.

Suppose $\gcd(\lambda,\mu) = \alpha$ and $\alpha > 1$.

$\therefore \exists \lambda_1, \mu_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $\lambda_1 \alpha = \lambda$ and $\mu_1 \alpha = \mu$

But $\lambda_1(\alpha t) = \lambda t = a$ and $\mu_1(\alpha t) = \mu t = b$

$\therefore \gcd(a,b) = \alpha t$ and $\alpha t > t$.

This is a contradiction because t is the greatest common divisor.

Hence, we have proved that $\gcd(\lambda, \mu) = 1$.

- We can reduce the problem to the following:

To prove that $\exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $1 + y\mu = x\lambda$.

This is because

- if we are going to try to prove that $t = xa + yb$, we might as well divide throughout by t and try to prove that $\exists x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $x\lambda + y\mu = 1$, and
- since y is any integer we can replace y by $-y$ and get the modified statement.

- Suppose that $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ $x\lambda + y\mu \neq 1$. This means that $1 + y\mu = x\lambda + r$

$\forall x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $r \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Now, for any value of y we can find an x and an r such that $0 < r < \lambda$ (the basic division algorithm).

This means that there are at most $(\lambda - 1)$ different remainders.

- First, we should consider the special case $\lambda = 1$.

In this case, put $x = 1$ and $y = 0$. Therefore $r = 0$. Hence, in this case, we have proved the original statement.

- Consider the following sequence of equations:

$$1 + 0\mu = 0\lambda + 1$$

$$1 + 1\mu = x_1\lambda + r_1$$

$$1 + 2\mu = x_2\lambda + r_2$$

...

$$1 + n\mu = x_n\lambda + r_n$$

...

We have solved the case for $\lambda = 1$. Now we should consider $\lambda \neq 1$.

Since there are at most $(\lambda - 1)$ different remainders:

$$\exists i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+, j - i < \lambda, \text{ s.t. } r_i = r_j$$

$$\therefore 1 + i\mu - x_i\lambda = 1 + j\mu - x_j\lambda$$

$$\therefore \mu(i - j) = \lambda(x_i - x_j)$$

Since λ and μ have no common divisors (except 1) and $\lambda \neq 1$, the prime factorisation of λ should be contained in that of $(i - j)$. In other words, λ should divide $(j - i)$. But we know that $(j - i) < \lambda$. Hence λ does not divide $(j - i)$, and this gives a contradiction.

\therefore there must exist a remainder which is equal to 0, i.e. $\exists r_k = 0$.

$$\therefore \exists x_k, y_k \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ s.t. } 1 + y_k\mu = x_k\lambda$$

$$\therefore \text{let } y = -y_k \text{ and } x = x_k$$

$$\therefore 1 = x\lambda + y\mu$$

\therefore multiplying throughout by t we get: $t = xa + yb$

\therefore PROOF IS COMPLETE!

Extension: We can now go on to prove that if the greatest common divisor of two integers λ and μ is 1, then there exists an infinite number of pairs of integers x and y such that $x\lambda + y\mu = 1$.

Stated in mathematical terms, we have:

Suppose $\gcd(\lambda, \mu) = 1$, where $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{Z}$, and that $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ represents any pair of integers s.t. $x\lambda + y\mu = 1$. There exists an infinite number of pairs (x, y) s.t. $x\lambda + y\mu = 1$.

Note: The proof will consider only one infinite class of pairs:

- claim: $\gcd(x\lambda, y\mu) = 1$
Suppose $\gcd(x\lambda, y\mu) = \alpha > 1$
 $\therefore \exists \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $\alpha_1\alpha = x\lambda$ and $\alpha_2\alpha = y\mu$
 $\therefore x\lambda + y\mu = \alpha_1\alpha + \alpha_2\alpha = \alpha(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) = 1$
 $\therefore \alpha$ divides 1. This is a contradiction since $\alpha > 1$.
 $\therefore \gcd(x\lambda, y\mu) = 1$
- $\therefore \gcd(x^2\lambda, y^2\mu) = 1$
 $\therefore \exists p_1, q_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $p_1(x^2\lambda) + q_1(y^2\mu) = 1$
 \therefore Another pair is (p_1x^2, q_1y^2)
- \therefore Similarly we can get other pairs
 $(p_n x^{n+1}, q_n y^{n+1}) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$