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#### Abstract

Background: The male:female ratio at birth (M/F: male births divided by total births) is anticipated to approximate 0.515. The M/F in Micronesia in the Pacific Ocean has been noted to be higher than anticipated. This study analysed M/F in island populations available from a World Health Organization dataset. Methods: The following islands were identified from the dataset as being sufficiently complete for analysis: Bahamas, Barbados, Puerto Rico, Trinidad and Tobago, and Mauritius. Results: There were 5408629 live births available for analysis over the period 1960-2009 with an overall M/F of 0.5106 ( $95 \%$ CL 0.5101, 0.5110). There were no secular trends in $M / F$. Conclusion: The M/F in these equatorial islands is lower than anticipated, and the reason for this is unknown.
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# Reducción de la Razón Hombre:Mujer en los Nacimientos en las Islas Pequeñas V Grech 


#### Abstract

RESUMEN Antecedentes: Se prevé que la razón hombre:mujer en los nacimientos (H/M; nacimiento de varones divididos por el total de nacimientos) se aproxime a 0.515 . Se observa que la razón $H / M$ en Micronesia en el Océano Pacífico es más alta de lo esperado. Este estudio analizó la razón H/M en las poblaciones insulares de una base de datos de la Organización Mundial de la Salud. Métodos: Del conjunto de datos, las siguientes islas fueron identificadas como suficientemente completas para el análisis: Bahamas, Barbados, Puerto Rico, Trinidad y Tobago, y Mauricio. Resultados: Hubo 5408629 nacidos vivos disponibles para el análisis durante el período 1960-2009 con un H/M general de 0.5106 ( $95 \%$ CL $0.5101,0.5110$ ). No hubo tendencias seculares en $H / M$. Conclusión: La razón H/M en estas islas ecuatoriales es más baja de lo esperado, y no se sabe la causa para que esto sea así.


Palabras claves: Tasa de natalidad, tendencias en el nacimiento, infante, recién nacido, razón de sexo

## INTRODUCTION

Gender is determined at conception in humans. Males occur slightly in excess in a ratio that approximates 515 males to 485 females (1). This ratio is conventionally expressed as the ratio of male live births divided by total live births (M/F).

The reason for this discrepancy is undecided, but a very wide number of factors have been shown to influence this ratio (2). These include stress which decreases $M / F$ (3) and long-
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duration warfare (eg the World Wars) which increases M/F (4). The ratio may also exhibit slow secular changes (5).

Micronesia (from Greek mikrós, "small" and nēsos, "island") is a subregion of Oceania that comprises thousands of small islands in the western Pacific Ocean. Some studies have shown that $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{F}$ in the Micronesian islands exceeds 0.521 . (6, 7) and this was attributed to habitually increased coital activity even for couples with high birth orders and parental ages. This study identifies secular trends in M/F in available small islands from a World Health Organization (WHO) dataset that includes the past fifty years.

## SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Annual male and female live births were obtained directly from the WHO. The following islands were identified from the dataset as being sufficiently complete for analysis: Bahamas (1961-87), Barbados (1955-95), Puerto Rico (1955-92), Trinidad and Tobago (1950-94) and Mauritius (1952-2008).

The MF for these countries was also compared with the adjacent countries of Australia and New Zealand, which had totalled 8745183 male and 8290142 female births between them for the period $1950-2009$ [M/F 0.5134; 95\% CI: 0.5131 , $0.5136]$ (8).

Excel was used for data entry, overall analysis and charting. The quadratic equations of Fleiss were used for exact calculation of $95 \%$ confidence intervals for ratios (9). Chi tests as contingency tables and Chi tests for trend were used for annual male and female births. These were performed using the Bio-Med-Stat Excel add-in for contingency tables. This add-in is based on the original work by Cochran and Armitage personal communcatin [Dr Peter Slezák, Institute of Normal and Pathological Physiology, Slovak Academy of Sciences] $(10,11)$. Mater Dei Hospital and the Malta Medical School do not have an Institutional Review Board (IRB), so approval could not be sought from said board. Ethical approval was not required as this study analysed a large and anonymous WHO database.

## RESULTS

There were 5408629 live births available for analysis over the period 1960-2009 (276 1476 boys and 2647153 girls), with an
overall M/F of 0.5106 ( $95 \%$ CL $0.510,10.5110$ ).
Five-year live births and corresponding M/F are summarized in Table 1. The M/F remained relatively low ( $<0.515$ ) throughout and was significantly lower than that of Australia and New Zealand ( $x=127.6, p<0.0001$ ).

There were no significant secular trends in M/F (Table 2). Based on an anticipated $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{F}$ of 0.515 , the expected number of males was 2785444 , implying a deficit of 23968 male births.

Table 2: Chi tests for secular trends in M/F.

|  | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\mathbf{p}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Bahamas | 0.1 | 0.8 |
| Barbados | 1.9 | 0.2 |
| Puerto Rico | 0.2 | 0.6 |
| Trinidad and Tobago | 0.2 | 0.6 |
| Mauritius | 0.7 | 0.4 |

## DISCUSSION

Earlier studies have shown that in Micronesia, M/F is significantly greater than the anticipated rate of 0.515 . It is known that $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{F}$ follows a U-shaped regression on cycle day of insemination, such that female conceptions result most often from conceptions that occur around ovulation, while male conceptions occur more frequently at the beginning and end of the menstrual cycle $(12,13)$. These findings have been confirmed by recent meta-analyses $(14,15)$.

This U-shaped regression is further confirmed by the higher M/F that is found after the failure of rhythm methods of

Table 1: Five-year total live births and M/F for the Bahamas, Barbados, Puerto Rico, Trinidad and Tobago, and Mauritius, 1950-2009

|  |  | 1950-54 | 1955-59 | 1960-64 | 1965-69 | 1970-74 | 1975-79 | 1980-84 | 1985-89 | 1990-94 | 1995-99 | 2000-04 | 2005-09 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bahamas | M |  |  | 8429 | 11098 | 11183 | 11856 | 13218 | 7354 |  |  |  |  | 63138 |
|  | F |  |  | 8162 | 10770 | 11033 | 11514 | 12664 | 7306 |  |  |  |  | 61449 |
| $25^{\circ} 4^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | Total |  |  | 16591 | 21868 | 22216 | 23370 | 25882 | 14660 |  |  |  |  | 124587 |
| $77^{\circ} 20^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | UCI |  |  | 0.5157 | 0.5141 | 0.5100 | 0.5137 | 0.5168 | 0.5098 |  |  |  |  | 0.5096 |
| Atlantic | M/F |  |  | 0.5080 | 0.5075 | 0.5034 | 0.5073 | 0.5107 | 0.5016 |  |  |  |  | 0.5068 |
| Ocean | LCI |  |  | 0.5004 | 0.5008 | 0.4968 | 0.5009 | 0.5046 | 0.4935 |  |  |  |  | 0.5040 |
| Barbados | M |  | 18221 | 17703 | 14684 | 12756 | 11308 | 11381 | 10295 | 10295 | 1806 |  |  | 108449 |
|  | F |  | 17993 | 17027 | 14152 | 12503 | 10957 | 11032 | 9892 | 9892 | 1736 |  |  | 105184 |
| $13^{\circ} 06^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | Total |  | 36214 | 34730 | 28836 | 25259 | 22265 | 22413 | 20187 | 20187 | 3542 |  |  | 213633 |
| $59^{\circ} 37^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | UCI |  | 0.5083 | 0.5150 | 0.5150 | 0.5112 | 0.5145 | 0.5144 | 0.5169 | 0.5169 | 0.5265 |  |  | 0.5098 |
| Atlantic | M/F |  | 0.5031 | 0.5097 | 0.5092 | 0.5050 | 0.5079 | 0.5078 | 0.5100 | 0.5100 | 0.5099 |  |  | 0.5076 |
| Ocean | LCI |  | 0.4980 | 0.5045 | 0.5034 | 0.4988 | 0.5013 | 0.5012 | 0.5031 | 0.5031 | 0.4933 |  |  | 0.5055 |
| Puerto | M |  | 196318 | 197062 | 184282 | 179576 | 189333 | 167410 | 166814 | 32885 |  |  |  | 1313680 |
| Rico | F |  | 187256 | 187662 | 175085 | 170815 | 179317 | 158161 | 159344 | 31596 |  |  |  | 1249236 |
| $18^{\circ} 27^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | Total |  | 383574 | 384724 | 359367 | 350391 | 368650 | 325571 | 326158 | 64481 |  |  |  | 2562916 |
| $66^{\circ} 6^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | UCI |  | 0.5134 | 0.5138 | 0.5144 | 0.5142 | 0.5152 | 0.5159 | 0.5132 | 0.5139 |  |  |  | 0.5132 |
| Atlantic | M/F |  | 0.5118 | 0.5122 | 0.5128 | 0.5125 | 0.5136 | 0.5142 | 0.5115 | 0.5100 |  |  |  | 0.5126 |
| Ocean | LCI |  | 0.5102 | 0.5106 | 0.5112 | 0.5108 | 0.5120 | 0.5125 | 0.5097 | 0.5061 |  |  |  | 0.5120 |
| Trinidad and | M | $63554$ | 75025 | 84602 | 73005 | 66921 | 70139 | 81262 | 74690 | 55905 |  |  |  | 645103 |
| Tobago | F | $61712$ | 71745 | 81096 | 70726 | 64626 | 67174 | 78128 | 72137 | 54263 |  |  |  | 621607 |
| $10^{\circ} 40^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | Total | 125266 | 146770 | 165698 | 143731 | 131547 | 137313 | 159390 | 146827 | 110168 |  |  |  | 1266710 |
| $61^{\circ} 31^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | UCI | 0.5101 | 0.5137 | 0.5130 | 0.5105 | 0.5114 | 0.5134 | 0.5123 | 0.5113 | 0.5104 |  |  |  | 0.5101 |
| Atlantic | M/F | 0.5074 | 0.5112 | 0.5106 | 0.5079 | 0.5087 | 0.5108 | 0.5098 | 0.5087 | 0.5075 |  |  |  | 0.5093 |
| Ocean | LCI | 0.5046 | 0.5086 | 0.5082 | 0.5053 | 0.5060 | 0.5081 | 0.5074 | 0.5061 | 0.5045 |  |  |  | 0.5084 |
| Mauritius | M | 35291 | 61827 | 67653 | 62478 | 53464 | 58893 | 55464 | 49362 | 54945 | 49866 | 48025 | 33838 | 631106 |
|  | F | 34651 | 59849 | 65156 | 60249 | 51401 | 56885 | 53606 | 47546 | 53177 | 48339 | 46229 | 32589 | 609677 |
| $20^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | Total | 69942 | 121676 | 132809 | 122727 | 104865 | 115778 | 109070 | 96908 | 108122 | 98205 | 94254 | 66427 | 1240783 |
| $57^{\circ} 31^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ | UCI | 0.5083 | 0.5109 | 0.5121 | 0.5119 | 0.5129 | 0.5116 | 0.5115 | 0.5125 | 0.5112 | 0.5109 | 0.5127 | 0.5132 | 0.5095 |
| Indian | M/F | 0.5046 | 0.5081 | 0.5094 | 0.5091 | 0.5098 | 0.5087 | 0.5085 | 0.5094 | 0.5082 | 0.5078 | 0.5095 | 0.5094 | 0.5086 |
| Ocean | LCI | 0.5009 | 0.5053 | 0.5067 | 0.5063 | 0.5068 | 0.5058 | 0.5055 | 0.5062 | 0.5052 | 0.5046 | 0.5063 | 0.5056 | 0.5078 |

M/F - male: female ratio at birth; UCI - upper confidence interval; LCI - lower confidence interval
birth control, since such failures would theoretically, on average, occur earlier or later in the menstrual cycle (16).

Thus, couples with increased coital rates inadvertently skew M/F in favour of a male excess. This was proposed as the reason for the increased $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{F}$ noted in Micronesia, and is contrary to the situation found in Europe and North America where a decline in $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{F}$ has been noted with marriage duration and spousal age, implicating a reduction in coital frequency as the cause of the $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{F}$ reduction $(6,7)$.

The high M/F noted in Micronesia is also consistent with studies from Europe that have shown that this ratio increases the closer the location studied is to the equator (17).

The converse was found in the islands analysed in this paper. All, bar one (Bahamas, Barbados, Puerto Rico, Trinidad and Tobago), are located in the western Atlantic Ocean close to the Americas, and span a latitude range of $10-25^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$. Mauritius also lies close to the equator at around $20^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ in the Indian Ocean. The M/F noted in this study is more consistent with the pattern noted in the Americas, where for both the North American and South American continent, $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{F}$ was lower as the equator was approached $(17,18)$.

In conclusion, the small islands analysed in this paper tend to produce lower $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{F}$ than anticipated and the reason for this is unknown.
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