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Abstract:

Purpose: This study aims to examine the interaction effect of motivation to transfer, supervisor support, and proactive learning on training transfer.

Design/Methodology/Approach: Data is obtained from 213 managers of rural banks in Central Java - Indonesia who had participated in management training programs. Structural equation modeling (SEM) is applied to test the proposed hypothesis.

Findings: The results show that motivation to transfer, supervisor support, and proactive learning have a significant effect on training transfer.

Practical Implications: The study gives attention to motivation to transfer, supervisor support, and proactive learning to improve training transfer. It is expected that the recommendations made may encourage the success of training transfer.

Originality/Value: This study contributes to the training literature by showing proactive learning to improve training transfer.
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1. Introduction

Training is an important part of the organizational practice to improve and develop employee knowledge, skills, and attitudes. Good training programs can provide relevant learning experiences and increase employees capability to work effectively (Goldstein, 1986). Training programs are designed to create a win-win situation for organizations and employees to complete tasks within the organization. Organizations and employees are able to achieve the goals set if learning expertise can be transferred effectively to be applied in the workplace.

Employees perceive training as a crucial factor for developing their skills and career advancement, while organizations invest a certain amount of money to develop employees to face global competition. However, training is often criticized for investment because it provides low yields and it is less effective. According to the American Society for Training and Development study, organizations in America have spent more than $125 billion per year on training and development (Paradise, 2007). At the same time, these organizations continue to ask for concrete results from the training expenses. Burke (1997) states that trainees only use 40% of what they have learned after the training program is completed. Holton et al. (2000) show that only 10% -30% had been learned in training programs implemented in the workplace, so the organizations lost 70%-90% of investment training. The researchers suggest that the knowledge and expertise gained from training are not fully applied to the work. There is a training problem that is the lack of transfer from what has been learned during training (Ana-Inés et al., 2014).

Training transfer is an important element in the effectiveness of training that helps employees and organizations to improve performance. The main objectives of training activities are the provision of expertise, abilities, and knowledge of employees to achieve organizational goals. Transfer motivation is a driver in the training transfer process. It is difficult to transfer learning effectively without motivation. In other words, to transfer expertise and knowledge that has been learned, trainees should have transfer motivation, because motivation can affect employee willingness to apply what has been learned in the training program. There are only a few transfer motivation studies that affect training transfer (Naquin and Holton, 2002) if compared to studies of motivation to learn about training transfer. Therefore, transfer motivation is still an unexplored subject of study (Noe and Schmitt, 1986)

2. Literature Review

2.1 Proactive Learning

Knowledge is an important factor to ensure the sustainability of competitive advantage because it is indeed difficult to replicate and becomes the foundation for continued differentiation (Wilkund and Shepherd, 2003). Therefore, organizations
must develop and implement a series of activities to help disseminate organizational capabilities and values adopted, in other words, organizations must practice knowledge management (Grant, 1996). The main objective of knowledge management practices is to gain awareness of the importance of knowledge, both individually and collectively, and shape knowledge management practices more effectively and efficiently. Learning orientation helps employees to develop an understanding of the work environment, improve knowledge and motivate work intelligently (Sujan et al., 1994).

Senge (1990) notes that organizational learning occurs only through individuals who learn. Individual learning is a prerequisite for organizational learning (Kim, 1993). Nanoka et al. (1992) emphasize that learning at the individual level is the foundation, where knowledge is created by individuals. Learning orientation represents a broad set of activities, namely organizations create and utilize knowledge to gain competitive advantage (Calatone et al., 2002). Commitment to learning, shared vision, openness, and sharing of intra-organizational knowledge are the cornerstones of learning orientation (Calatone et al., 2002; Sinkula et al., 1997; Hurley and Hult, 1998).

Individuals who are able to change the environment can appear more effective performance. It can be illustrated that individuals with a proactive personality are relatively not limited by situational forces and have initiatives to create changes in the environment for gaining organizational benefit (Bateman and Crant, 1993). Proactive individuals will be self-starters, show initiative, take future-oriented actions to change work situations to improve organizational effectiveness (Crant, 2000).

2.2 Motivation to Transfer

Motivation to transfer is the direction, persistence, intensity of effort to utilize the expertise and knowledge that has been studied (Seyler et al., 1998; Bates and Holton, 2007). Motivation to transfer is the curiosity of trainees to use the knowledge and expertise obtained from training programs at work (Axtell and Yearta, 1997; Noe and Schmitt, 1986). Learning and motivation are essential for training transfer (Gegenfurtner et al., 2009). Without learning nothing can be transferred and without motivation, nothing can be transferred from learning to work.

Behavior change tends to occur in trainees who succeed in learning and the desire to apply their new knowledge, skills, and abilities in the workplace. The objectives of learning orientation influence proactive behavior. Individuals who have a high learning goal orientation will have the choice to master new aspects (Dweck, 1986) who tend to be more attached to the proactive behavior of seeking feedback (Tuckey et al., 2002). Parker and Collins (2010) investigate how learning orientation predicts proactive behavior in information seeking such as seeking feedback and innovation.
ideas. By obtaining diagnostic information, individuals will evaluate the gap between the current and ideal level of proficiency to provide guidance on how to sharpen knowledge and skills. Thus, the research hypothesis is:

**H1: Motivation to transfer is related to proactive learning.**

### 2.3 Training Transfer

Training transfer is the ability of trainees to absorb the knowledge and expertise gained from training and use it in the workplace (Yamnill and McLean, 2001). If the training material is the same as work actualization, the transfer rate can be maximized which in turn improve performance. Training transfer is understood as a change produced by employee behavior caused by training activities that are followed (Segers and Gegenfurtner, 2013). Blume et al. (2010) suggest that training transfer is the consistency of the application of knowledge, skills, and abilities that have been obtained during training in the workplace.

Some studies suggest that learning is a prerequisite for training transfer that takes place in the workplace (Pineda, 2010; Baldwin and Ford, 1988a; Goldstein and Ford, 2002; Thayer and Teachout, 1995). If employees acquire new knowledge, skills, and abilities from training, they will positively apply training results as in several studies conducted in insurance companies (Leach and Liu, 2004), banking (Liebermann and Hoffmann, 2008), hospitality (Tracey et al., 2001), electronic and electrical industry (Xiao, 1996). Proactive employees are able to create and influence their environment to conduct training transfer. Likewise, the opportunity to use the knowledge and expertise obtained from training will affect training transfer. Employees who have proactive behavior are able to identify opportunities that bring positive changes to the work environment (Crant, 2000). Therefore the research hypothesis is:

**H2: Proactive learning is related to training transfer.**

### 2.4 Supervisor Support

Supervisor support is supervisor behavior that is able to optimize employees to use the knowledge, expertise, and attitudes obtained from training in the workplace (Nijman et al., 2006). Supervisors support encouraging trainees to use acquired expertise, providing assistance to identify situations where expertise can be used, provide guidance in the application of expertise, and provide feedback which overall facilitates positive training transfer (Leonard Karakowsky, 1999).

The findings of a study conducted by Baldwin and Ford (1988b) concluded that the results of training namely learning and retention was directly influenced by three training inputs: training design, trainee characteristics, and climate transfer. Supervisors have the potential to influence training transfer during the training process phase, namely before, during and after training (Machin, 2002). Supervisor
support is one of the crucial variables that have an impact on training, attention implementation and training transfer (Ford et al., 1992).

Blume et al. (2010) indicate that the supervisor's support has a strong relationship with training transfer. The ability of supervisors to allocate time and encourage employees to take part in training and apply new learning obtained from training in the workplace is able to produce positive training transfer (Ng et al., 2011). In other words, the supervisor encourages employees to apply what they have learned from workplace training, which significantly affects the level of employee training transfer. Therefore the research hypotheses are:

\[ H3: \text{Supervisor support is related to proactive learning.} \]
\[ H4: \text{Supervisor support is related to training transfer.} \]

3. Research Methodology

The sample of this study is managers of rural banks (Bank Perkreditan Rakyat) in Central Java - Indonesia. Out of 256 questionnaires distributed, 213 were returned and could be analyzed (response rate = 83.2%). Socio-demographic respondents were 122 men (57.3%) and 91 women (12.7%). The average age is 25-35 years with work experience of fewer than 5 years. The majority of respondents were university graduate (59.6%), diploma (39%) and others (0.9%).

Motivation to transfer is measured by 3 items developed by Noe and Schmitt (1986) and it has been used in a previous study by Martijn et al. (2013). Training transfer is measured by 4 items which adapted from Velada et al. (2007). Supervisor support is measured by 4 items which adapted from Xiao (1996).

Data were analyzed using confirmatory factor analysis with AMOS 22.0 software package. The measurements used in the goodness of fit are $\chi^2$/degrees of freedom, the minimum sample discrepancy function (CMIN/DF), goodness-of-fit index (GFI), adjusted goodness of fit index (AGFI), Trucker Lewis Index (TLI), comparative fit index (CFI) and root mean square error approximation. Sobel-test is also used to assess the significance of the mediating variable.

4. Findings and Discussion

The results of the goodness of fit indicate that the model meets the fit index criteria: $\chi^2 = 121.148$ (cut off value $\leq \chi^2=257.76$), df=99, $p = 0.065$ (cut off value $\geq 0.05$), GFI = 0.933 (cut off value $\geq 0.90$), TLI = 0.979 (cut off value $\geq 0.90$), AGFI= 0.907 (cut off value $\geq 0.90$), CFI = 0.983 (cut off value $\geq 0.90$), RMSEA = 0.032 (cut off value $\leq 0.08$), $\chi^2$/df = 1.224 (cut off value $\leq 2$). Reliability testing is used to test the extent to which instruments can be categorized to be reliable if they provide consistent results. Table 1 presents the composite reliability of all constructs exceeding 0.7 and the factor loading exceeding 0.6. Moreover, the AVE of all
constructs exceeding 0.5. The result of structural model along with the path coefficients and significance values is shown in Table 2.

Table 1. Result of Measurement Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct and indicators</th>
<th>Factor loadings</th>
<th>Composite reliability</th>
<th>Average extracted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Motivation to transfer (MT)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.809</td>
<td>0.585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT1</td>
<td>0.793</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT2</td>
<td>0.745</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MT3</td>
<td>0.756</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proactive learning (PL)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.825</td>
<td>0.543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL1</td>
<td>0.720</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL2</td>
<td>0.695</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL3</td>
<td>0.711</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL4</td>
<td>0.695</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL5</td>
<td>0.837</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training transfer (TT)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.820</td>
<td>0.533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT1</td>
<td>0.773</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT2</td>
<td>0.735</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT3</td>
<td>0.703</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT4</td>
<td>0.707</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisor support (SS)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.834</td>
<td>0.558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS1</td>
<td>0.816</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS2</td>
<td>0.715</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS3</td>
<td>0.728</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SS4</td>
<td>0.725</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Hypotheses Testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypotheses</th>
<th>Standardized coefficients</th>
<th>t value</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H1 Motivation to transfer Proactive Learning</td>
<td>0.302</td>
<td>3.555</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2 Proactive Learning to Training Transfer</td>
<td>0.309</td>
<td>3.655</td>
<td>0.006*</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3 Supervisor Support to Proactive Learning</td>
<td>0.224</td>
<td>2.766</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4 Supervisor Support to Training Transfer</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>2.367</td>
<td>0.000*</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: * Significant at $p < 0.05$; $t \geq 1.96$.

Table 2 shows that all hypotheses proposed in this study are supported. Motivation to transfer is significantly related to proactive learning ($\beta = 0.302, p < 0.05$) and ($t =$
3.555 > 1.96). Sobel test for mediation shows that the mediating effect is significant (Z=2.343, p < 0.005). Proactive learning is significantly related to training transfer (β= 0.309, p < 0.05) and (t = 3.655 > 1.96). Supervisor support is significantly related to proactive learning (β = 0.224, p < 0.05) and (t = 2.766 > 1.96). Supervisor support is significantly related to training transfer (β= 0.200, p < 0.05) and t = 2.367 > 1.96.

The results of the study showed that there is a significant positive relationship between motivation to transfer and proactive learning. This finding is consistent with several previous studies (Kenny et al., 2016; Podsakoff et al., 2000). It can be concluded that employees who have the objectives of learning orientation like challenges and are motivated to learn and master new skills. These employees become proactive to manage and responsible for learning and better performance. In other words, goal-oriented employees have a tendency to show proactive behavior by showing their competence to fellow organizational members.

The results of the study showed that proactive learning has a significant effect on training transfer. This relationship confirms the study of Srikanth (2013) that employees with proactive personality are in a better position to training transfer results. This indicates that individuals who are proactive are able to create and influence the environment, which allows conducting training transfer. Liebermann and Hoffmann (2008) suggest that learning has a direct effect on training transfer. Employees feel that they can work better through the utilization of knowledge gained. When more knowledge is learned from training, more behavioral changes can be found in the workplace (Maister, 2008).

The results also found that supervisor support has a significant positive effect on training transfer. This finding supports several previous studies (Facteau et al., 1995; Lim and Johnson, 2002; Khin and Sujinda, 2014). Employees expect a supportive environment where they get supervisor support to apply the knowledge that they have. This shows that positive training transfer is highly dependent on supervisor support at work. The results also found that there is a significant relationship between supervisor support and proactive learning. This confirms the study of Buch et al. (2001) that supervisor support is important to facilitate knowledge among organizational members. Sharing knowledge will not be value to employees or organizations unless they need the knowledge to accept and apply it. For this reason, supervisor support will help employees to become motivated, get encouragement to obtain, disseminate, transfer and apply existing knowledge (Riege, 2005).

5. Conclusion

The results of this study emphasize that training as an employee development strategy contributes to improving performance. Motivation to transfer can be increased by providing training materials that are appropriate to employees so that they can add and expand their knowledge and expertise. This will make employees
become motivated and increase their self-confidence to develop and improve their careers. The organization can also encourage trainees to actively share and brief employees in order to develop their knowledge, abilities, and expertise so that they can improve their competencies.

Further studies can be carried out by including other factors that influence the success of training transfer such as the characteristics of trainees, design training and work environment to be able to strengthen the results of this finding.
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