The Gemin Associates of Survival Motor Neuron Are Required for Motor Function in *Drosophila*
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**Abstract**

Membership of the survival motor neuron (SMN) complex extends to nine factors, including the SMN protein, the product of the spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) disease gene, Gemin5–8 and Unrip. The best-characterised function of this macromolecular machine is the assembly of the Sm-class of uridine-rich small nuclear ribonucleoprotein (snRNP) particles and each SMN complex member has a key role during this process. So far, however, only little is known about the function of the individual Gemin components in *Drosophila*. Here, we make use of the *Drosophila* model organism to uncover loss-of-function phenotypes of Gemin2, Gemin3 and Gemin5, which together with SMN form the minimalistic fly SMN complex. We show that ectopic overexpression of the dead helicase Gem3<sup>ΔN</sup> mutant or knockdown of Gemin3 result in similar motor phenotypes, when restricted to muscle, and in combination cause lethality, hence suggesting that Gem3<sup>ΔN</sup> overexpression mimics a loss-of-function. Based on the localisation pattern of Gem3<sup>ΔN</sup>, we predict that the nucleus is the primary site of the antimorphic or dominant-negative mechanism of Gem3<sup>ΔN</sup>-mediated interference. Interestingly, phenotypes induced by human SMN overexpression in *Drosophila* exhibit similarities to those induced by overexpression of Gem3<sup>ΔN</sup>. Through enhanced knockdown we also uncover a requirement of Gemin2, Gemin3 and Gemin5 for viability and motor behaviour, including locomotion as well as flight, in muscle. Notably, in the case of Gemin3 and Gemin5, such function also depends on adequate levels of the respective protein in neurons. Overall, these findings lead us to speculate that absence of any one member is sufficient to arrest the SMN-Gemin complex function in a nucleocentric pathway, which is critical for motor function *in vivo*.
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**Introduction**

Spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) counts among an expanding ensemble of motor neuron degenerative disorders resulting from mutations in genes that encode proteins with crucial roles in RNA metabolism. The correct handling and processing of RNA from its transcription to eventual translation is emerging as key to the function and survival of the motor unit, relative to other tissues, for reasons as yet unclear [1,2,3,4]. A common genetic cause of infant death, SMA is recessively inherited and is characterised by loss of lower motor neurons as well as progressive muscle weakness and wasting [5]. In the majority of cases, this devastating disorder is the result of low levels of the ubiquitously expressed survival motor neuron (SMN) protein [6]. SMN forms oligomers [7,8,9] that associate with a core group of proteins including Gemin2–Gemin8 [10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18] and Unrip [19,20] to form the large macromolecular SMN complex (reviewed in [21]).

Across metazoa, the SMN complex is particularly concentrated in several prominent cellular bodies, called gems within the nucleus [22,23] or U bodies if they dot the perinuclear periphery in the cytoplasm [24,25]. The exact function of these organelles is not yet known though they share several characteristics (reviewed in [21]), including crosstalk with other compartment-specific organelles [22,26,27] and response to metabolic changes in the cell [22,28]. The best-characterised function of the SMN complex revolves around the biogenesis of uridine-rich small nuclear ribonucleoproteins (snRNPs) which are the central elements of the spliceosome and, hence, crucial for yielding mature spliced mRNAs [6,21,29]. All SMN complex members bar Unrip are indispensable for the uploading of a heptameric ring of Sm proteins onto small nuclear RNAs (snRNAs) to form the core structure of Sm-class snRNPs [16,20,30,31,32].

Details about the role of each member of the SMN complex in the assembly process are scant. Sm proteins are thought to be recognised by Gemin2, which wraps itself around a pentamer formed of Sm D1/D2/E/F/G to contact all five Sm proteins. Importantly, the N-terminal tail of Gemin2 reaches into the snRNA-binding pocket on the Sm pentamer to occlude RNA binding, presumably until the delivery of bona fide RNA substrates, snRNAs [33]. Gemin5 is the factor that identifies snRNAs through the stringent recognition of a code formed of sequences as well as structural motifs [34]. Newly exported snRNAs are captured by SMN complex-independent Gemin5 via its N-terminal WD-repeat domain [35], which then docks into the SMN complex, most probably proximate to Gemin2, to deliver its load for Sm core assembly [36]. Gemin3 is a functional DEAD-box RNA helicase [37], and might be necessary for chaperoning RNA and, eventually, RNP complexes during the assembly
reaction with the intimately-associated Gemin4, possibly acting as a co-factor for such activities. Regarding SMN and considering its oligomeric nature within the cellular milieu, it is highly probable that SMN, aided by other SMN complex components, acts as a scaffold on which more than one assembly reaction are occurring simultaneously.

Whether a decreased capacity to assemble snRNPs and, consequently, defective splicing, accounts for the selective neuromuscular phenotype in SMA is still a passionately debatable topic in the field. An alternative view proposes that SMN has a motor neuron-specific function that is secondary to its housekeeping activities, and it is loss of this critical function in the context of sufficient snRNP assembly that might explain the profound tissue-specificity observed in SMA patients [6,30]. However, it is still an open question whether all or select members of the SMN complex participate in such a non-canonical function if at all present. In part allured by the presence of a functional minimalistic complex composed of only SMN, Gemin2, Gemin3 and Gemin5 [21,25,39,40,41], we have exploited Drosophila as a genetic systems model to investigate the workings of the SMN complex in vivo and, hence, shed light on these conundrums. Recently, we reported that Gemin3 null larval mutants exhibit phenotypes that were strikingly similar to those observed for Smn mutants including a decline in mobility and defects at the neuromuscular junction [40,42]. Interestingly, overexpression of a truncated Gemin3 mutant lacking the helicase core (Gem3\textsuperscript{AN}) or Gemin3 knockdown, in muscle but not neuronal tissue, both have a drastic impact on adult viability. Remarkably, when pan-muscular overexpression is interfering at some level with the activity of the Gemin3 protein or neuronal tissue of the same organism is lethal. These findings suggest that Gemin3 is essential for viability and flight not only in muscle but also in the brain. Intriguingly, we uncover a similar requirement for Gemin3, hence its enhanced knockdown in either brain or muscle tissue gives rise to viability and flight defects. We finally tackle the function of Gemin2, which we also find to be important in the motor unit for motor behaviour including flight. Placed within the context of previous studies, our results lead us to hypothesise about the presence of a common nucleocentric pathway or process for SMN and its Gemin associates that is critical for motor function in vivo.

Results

Gemin3 Knockdown in Muscle Tissue Results in Adult Age-progressive Climbing Defects

According to Muller’s 1932 classical classification of mutations, an antimorph is defined as a mutant allele that antagonises its co-expressed wild-type gene product, thereby reducing its functional activity [45,46]. In an era where the molecular basis of Muller’s antimorphs could be comprehended, Herskowitz [47] coined the term dominant-negative which has been used synonymously with antimorph ever since. Helicase-inactivating mutations can exert a spectrum of dominant-negative phenotypes [48]. DEAD-box RNA helicase Gemin3 is present in all metazoans and high levels of amino acid conservation are observed within the N-terminal helicase motifs [40,49]. We recently reported on the generation of a truncated version of Gemin3 lacking the helicase domains, Gem3\textsuperscript{AN} [FIG S1A]. Whereas expression of this catalytically inactive mutant fails to rescue the lethality associated with a Gemin3 null background, its ubiquitous or pan-muscular-specific overexpression in wild-type organisms, is lethal [40] and data not shown). A milder pan-muscular overexpression is however associated with a flightless phenotype [40].

We attempted to investigate the mechanism through which overexpression of the Gem3\textsuperscript{AN} mutant confers a dominant-negative or antimorphic phenotype. First, we asked whether loss of Gemin3 function through RNA interference (RNAi)-mediated knockdown gives rise to an identical phenotype. To this end, making use of the versatile GAL4/upstream activation sequence (UAS) system [reviewed in [50]], we expressed an inducible RNAi transgene targeting Gemin3 (Gem3\textsuperscript{IR\textsuperscript{MCD}}; FIG S1A) in muscle tissues using the pan-muscular Myo2-GAL4 driver. The specificity of this transgene was demonstrated previously [40], and co-expression of Dicer-2 (Dcr-2) was also performed in order to enhance knockdown efficiency [51]. Flies were then subjected to a climbing assay at 5, 15, 25 and 35 days post-eclosion. The first parameter measured was the time taken for the first fly in the sampled population to climb a height of 8 cm. At all days measured, the first fly in the Myo2-GAL4\textsuperscript{+}\textgreater Dcr-2\textgreater Gem3\textsuperscript{IR\textsuperscript{MCD}} fly population took, on average, significantly longer to reach the target height compared to controls [FIG 1A]. To better reflect the climbing ability of all flies in a sampled population, we counted the number of flies that reached a height of 8 cm by 10 seconds to determine the second parameter, namely the climbing success rate. Similar to the data on the time for first fly, flies with reduced levels of Gemin3 had a significantly lower average success rate at all time points when compared to controls [FIG 1A]. Furthermore, their success rate showed an age-dependent progressive decline in climbing ability; hence, the worst result was obtained on the last time point measured, namely, day 35 post-eclosion. Notably, these results are similar to those obtained by flies with a GAL4/UAS-mediated pan-muscular overexpression of Gem3\textsuperscript{AN}. Indeed, compared to controls, top performers in the Myo2-GAL4\textsuperscript{+}\textgreater Gem3\textsuperscript{AN} population took progressively longer, on average, to reach the target height in contrast to controls. The average climbing success rate in this population was also significantly lower than that of controls, and showed an age-dependent deterioration [FIG 1B].

Therefore, Gemin3 attenuation in muscle leads to mobility deficits that are similar to those resulting from ectopic Gem3\textsuperscript{AN} overexpression in the same tissue.

Gemin3 Knockdown in Muscle Tissue Leads to Age-dependent Flight Defects

Metazoans invest substantially in muscles that power movement and this is especially true in insects where flight muscles alone can
make up as much as 65% of the total body mass [52]. Some insects including Drosophila evolved a remarkable muscle that is capable of generating high power at high frequency, hence generating sufficient force to offset gravity [53]. At the same time, there remains substantial conservation from insects to mammals in the basic cell and developmental biology of muscles [54,55]. In addition to a powerful yet lightweight engine supplied by flight muscles, an animal capable of active flight must also possess wings that are capable of generating sufficient aerodynamic forces as well as a control system, in which motor neurons are pivotal, to keep the organism from plummeting to the ground [53]. Deficiency of a protein with an indispensable function in either or all three elements – muscles, wings and sensory-motor circuit – is predicted to have a negative impact on flight. In this context, besides climbing ability, we wanted to determine the flight performance in a sampled population of flies. To this end, we developed the Droso-Drome, a device that enables systematic quantification of flight defects based on the sensitive ‘cylinder drop assay’ described previously [56]. Basically, the height a fly falls determines its flight performance. Fliers tend to stick to the upper sectors whereas non-fliers drop to sector 1, the lowest sector. On day 5, the percentage of flight-impaired organisms in the Gem3 knockdown population is not significantly different to that of controls. This situation changes on the day 25 and day 35 time points whereby a significant difference is obvious. At day 35, the percentage of flightless flies in the Gem3 knockdown population is in the majority such that significant differences with flies overexpressing Gem3DN (Mef2-GAL4> Gem3DN) do not persist at this time point. Data presented are the mean ± S.E.M. and statistical significance was determined for differences between the Mef2-GAL4> Gem3DN genotype and other genotypes, which are indicated by the respective colour. For all data, ns = not significant, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.001. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0083878.g001

Figure 1. Pan-muscular Gem3 knockdown or Gem3\textsuperscript{DN} overexpression gives rise to identical motor phenotypes. (A) Compared to controls (Mef2-GAL4> Dcr-2 and Gem3\textsuperscript{IRmun/+}), the time taken for the first fly to climb 8 cm increases progressively over the course of 35 days in sample populations having reduced levels of Gem3 in muscles (Mef2-GAL4> Dcr-2+Gem3\textsuperscript{IRmun}). The latter genotype also exhibits an age-dependent progressive decline in the total percentage of flies that successfully reached the 8 cm mark within 10 seconds when compared to the same controls. (B) An identical progressive change in climbing behaviour is observed between flies with pan-muscular Gem3\textsuperscript{DN} overexpression (Mef2-GAL4> Gem3\textsuperscript{DN}) and the uncombined driver (Mef2-GAL4/+ or transgene (Gem3\textsuperscript{IRmun}+/+). The day 25 and day 35 time points were excluded from the chart due to a lack of difference with the driver control (Mef2-GAL4/+). (C) Flight behaviour was determined using the Droso-Drome, in which the height a fly falls determines its flight performance. Fliers tend to stick to the upper sectors whereas non-fliers drop to sector 1, the lowest sector. On day 5 and 15 post-eclosion, the percentage of flight-impaired organisms in the Gem3 knockdown population (Mef2-GAL4> Dcr-2+Gem3\textsuperscript{IRmun}) is not significantly different to that of controls. This situation changes on the day 25 and day 35 time points whereby a significant difference is obvious. At day 35, the percentage of flightless flies in the Gem3 knockdown population is in the majority such that significant differences with flies overexpressing Gem3\textsuperscript{DN} (Mef2-GAL4> Gem3\textsuperscript{DN}) do not persist at this time point. Data presented are the mean ± S.E.M. and statistical significance was determined for differences between the Mef2-GAL4> Dcr-2+Gem3\textsuperscript{IRmun} (A, C) or Mef2-GAL4> Gem3\textsuperscript{DN} (B) genotype and other genotypes, which are indicated by the respective colour. For all data, ns = not significant, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.001. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0083878.g001
we did not observe large differences in the fly population induced to have pan-muscular knockdown of Gem3N (Mef2-GAL4>Dre2+Gem3N-IRmun). Furthermore, at these time points, such flies behave differently from the Mef2-GAL4> Gem3AN fly population indicating that they fly well. Although the latter difference remained, we started to notice differences in the percentage of non-fliers between Gem3 knockdown flies (31%) and controls (Mef2-GAL4>Dre-2, 11% and Gem3-IRmun/+ , 7%) on the day 25 time point. However, a dramatic difference was observed on day 35 post-eclosion whereby the distribution of Mef2-GAL4>Dre2+Gem3N-IRmun flies was significantly different to that exhibited by the control populations and similar to that of Mef2-GAL4> Gem3AN flies. In this regard, at this time point, flight-defective organisms that tumbled straight to sector 1 are in the majority within the Mef2-GAL4>Dre-2+Gem3N-IRmun (57%) and Mef2-GAL4> Gem3AN (80%) populations (FIG. 1C). This indicates that similar to Gem3AN overexpression, Gem3 knockdown leads to a flight defective phenotype, albeit age-dependent.

**Gemin3 knockdown And Gem3AN Overexpression, in Combination, Lead to Lethality**

If Gem3AN overexpression mimics a loss-of-function, we predicted that Gem3AN overexpression in combination with Gem3 knockdown within the same organism would lead to a phenotype that is more severe than that resulting when both genetic manipulations are applied singularly. To test this hypothesis, we generated organisms with an Mef2-GAL4-driven Gem3 knockdown and Gem3AN overexpression. Remarkably, in combination within muscle, both genetic manipulations result in lethality or total loss of adult viability (FIG. 2A). This phenotype contrasts heavily with that observed by both elements, distinctively. In this regard, pan-muscular Gem3AN overexpression alone has no effect on adult viability though flight is impaired (FIG. 2A and above). Furthermore, RNAi-induced depletion of Gem3N levels in muscle, by itself, is not lethal, and only results in an age-dependent progressive decline in adult survival (day 5, 100%; day 15, 75%; day 25, 66%; and day 35, 62%) as well as flight ability (FIG. 2A and above).

Although overexpression of full-length Gem3N in muscle of wild-type flies has no effect on adult viability or flight ability, transgene levels were adequate to rescue the flight defects associated with RNAi-mediated Gem3N knockdown or Gem3AN overexpression. Furthermore, when Gem3N was added back to a background having both genetic manipulations, transgenic protein levels were capable of rescuing lethality but they were not enough to revert flight performance to normal (FIG. 2A). Finally, we show that overexpression of SMN in a Gem3AN background does not rescue the associated flight defects indicating that these do not arise due to downstream events that result in deficient SMN function. Taken together, these findings first confirm that Gem3AN overexpression mimics a strong loss of Gem3N function. Second, a drastic reduction in Gem3N function within muscle results in lethality whereas low levels are not enough to sustain normal motor behaviour. Third, Gem3N has an indispensable motor function that cannot be adequately fulfilled by a simple expansion in the functional capacity of SMN.

**Gem3AN Localises Primarily to the Nucleus**

Epitope tagging or the fusion of a known epitope to a recombinant protein has revolutionised the characterisation of proteins and their mutant versions, especially those with low immunogenicity [57]. Taking advantage of this technique, we engineered a GFP-tagged Gem3AN fusion protein with the goal of determining the sub-cellular location where the mutant dead helicase protein antagonises or interferes with the function of the endogenous Gem3N protein. We note that overexpression of the GFP:Gem3AN fusion protein in muscle induces the same flightless phenotype observed by its tag-free counterpart, hence, indicating that the insertion of the epitope tag did not alter the antagonistic effects of Gem3AN (data not shown). Importantly, on staining for GFP, we observed that although GFP:Gem3AN is ubiquitously present throughout muscles, its localisation pattern is predominately nuclear (FIG. 2B). In contrast to full-length wild-type Gem3N, which localises to several intensely-stained foci (gems) in the nucleus [23], Gem3AN gives a diffuse nuclear staining pattern.

**Phenotypes Resulting from Ectopic Human SMN Overexpression are Similar to those Resulting from Gem3AN-mediated Interference**

Ectopic overexpression of the human SMN (hSMN) protein in wild-type flies has been reported to antagonise endogenous *Drosophila* SMN (dSMN) function. The presumed mechanism responsible for the resulting dominant-negative phenotype has been ascribed to the binding of hSMN to endogenous dSMN, eventually sequestering the latter into non-functional hSMN/ dSMN hetero-oligomers [44]. Ubiquitous expression of hSMN but not dSMN in an *smn* null background (*smn*717/*smn*717) fails to rescue the lethality associated with this genetic background (data not shown), most probably the result of its divergence from dSMN. To shed further light on the mechanism of action of Gem3N, we probed into the similarities and differences between the downstream events resulting from hSMN or Gem3AN overexpression. To this end, we noted that similar to that previously reported for Gem3N [40], pan-muscular but not pan-neuronal overexpression of hSMN induces lethality or reduced adult viability (FIG. 3A). Furthermore, similar to those with Gem3AN overexpression (see above), flies with hSMN overexpression in muscle exhibited climbing defects (FIG. 3B). Despite these observations, and in contrast to Gem3N, we did not identify any large differences in the flight behaviour between flies with pan-muscular hSMN overexpression and their respective controls (FIG S2). However, overexpression of Gem3AN or hSMN both resulted in an increase in puparial axial ratios (FIG. 4A), a phenotype described previously in Gem3N null mutants [40], and on modulation of *Drosophila* SMN protein levels [56,59]. Interestingly, hSMN was detected in intensely-stained nucleated and, at times, cytoplasmic puncta within muscle tissue. Inside the nucleus, hSMN concentrates in multiple spherical puncta of different sizes, which are restricted to the nucleolus, which is devoid of Hoechst-labelled chromatin (FIG. 4B).
Figure 2. Gem3\textsuperscript{AN} in combination with Gemin3 knockdown is lethal and its localisation pattern is predominantly nuclear. (A) Perturbation of endogenous Gemin3 in wild-type muscle and its consequences on adult viability and flight behaviour. Bottom to Top. Overexpression
of full-length Gemin3 has no repercussions. Reduced levels of Gemin3 induced through the expression of Gem3-IRmun is however associated with a progressive age-dependent decline in flight and adult viability, which can be rescued by overexpression of full-length Gemin3. Overexpression of Gem3SN has no effect on adult viability but has a drastic impact on flight straight after eclosion, which again can be rescued by overexpression of full-length Gemin3. Gemin3 knockdown in combination with Gem3SN overexpression results in lethality. Overexpression of full-length Gemin3 in this background rescues the associated lethality but not the flight defects. SMN overexpression in a Gem3SN background has no influence on the anomalous flight behaviour (assessment at day 5 post-eclosion) associated with this genotype. Co-expression of an unrelated transgene (generic) with Gem3SN still results in a flightless phenotype thereby excluding the possibility of a GAL4 dilution effect resulting from multiple UAS-constructs in the same organism. Pan-muscular expression was driven by Mef2-GAL4 and experiments were performed at 25°C. Protein levels of transgenic Gemin3 are indicated by bar strength. (B) Subcellular localisation of GFP:Gem3SN in Drosophila larval muscles (delineated by a dashed white outline). In flies overexpressing GFP:Gem3SN, the GFP signal is ubiquitous but predominantly nuclear as it co-localises with Hoechst-stained nuclei. This subcellular expression pattern was not visible in the driver control (da-GAL4/+) hence, excluding the possibility that the result is due to the non-specific reactivity of the primary and/or secondary antibodies used to detect GFP.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0083878.g002

We first noticed that similar to that observed when restricted to muscle (above), Gem3SN overexpression in combination with Gemin3 knockdown exclusively within neuronal tissues (via the pan-neuronal elav-GAL4 driver at an incubation temperature of 25°C) also induces lethality. This remarkable observation hinted at a critical role for Gemin3 in the central nervous system (CNS), which only becomes apparent following a severe reduction in function. To confirm this finding, we attempted at markedly attenuating the level of Gemin3 by increasing the dose of hairpin RNAs and, eventually, short interfering RNAs (siRNAs) targeting Gemin3. To this end, expression of two RNAi transgenic constructs (Gem3IRermu+Gem3IRermu) in muscle had a similar or a worse effect to that reported previously using a single transgenic construct (Fig. 5A) [40]. Furthermore, we show that the RNAi phenotype is not due to off-target effects since we observe rescue on co-expression of a full-length Gemin3 transgene. The possibility that this result is due to GAL4 dilution effects arising from multiple UAS-constructs in the background can be excluded since no rescue was observed in Gemin3-transgenic (Gem3SN) flies. Interestingly, we observed that although expression of the two RNAi transgenic constructs in the brain via the pan-neuronal elav-GAL4 or n-syb-GAL4 drivers had negligible effects on adult viability when development was restricted to a temperature of 25°C, a significant reduction (close to 50%) in adult viability was achieved when flies were cultured at a temperature of 29°C to allow for maximal GAL4 activity (Fig. 5A). Furthermore, we show that when the efficiency of knockdown was intensified further by increasing Dicer-2 levels, we achieved a dramatic reduction in adult viability or total lethality (Fig. 5A). Interestingly, we could repeat this result when Gemin3 knockdown is restricted exclusively to motor neurons via the OK6-GAL4 driver (Fig. 5A), a result that indicates a requirement of Gemin3 for viability specifically in motor neurons. Importantly, we show that similar to that observed when restricted to muscle (above), enhanced Gemin3 knockdown explicitly within the CNS leads to age-dependent progressive flight defects (Fig. 5B) and loss of adult viability (day 5, 100%; day 15, 100%; day 25, 72%; and, day 35, 26%) at an incubation temperature of 25°C. This finding indicates that in addition to muscle, sufficient Gemin3 levels in neurons are paramount for normal motor behaviour.

Adequate Muscle and Neuronal Levels of Gemin5 are Required for Viability

We recently showed that as a member of the SMN complex, the Drosophila orthologue of Gemin5 [previously named Rigor mortis [61]] localises to U bodies within the ovarian cytoplasm [25]. Whilst our findings are indicative of a role for Gemin5 in an SMN complex-related activity, Kroiss et al. [39] argued otherwise based on a phylogenetic analysis revealing that Drosophila Gemin5 is evolving significantly faster compared to its orthologues in other organisms as well as a study reporting a function of the then Rigor mortis in ec dysone signalling [61]. In this context, we wished to further clarify the function of Gemin5 and hypothesised that if it is functionally associated with the SMN complex, its loss of function would mimic the key phenotypic outcomes resulting from loss of Gemin3, which itself is an integral SMN complex member. We first noticed that overexpression of a GFP-tagged Gemin5 fusion protein gave a similar sub-cellular localisation pattern to that we previously reported for Gemin3 [23]. Indeed, Gemin5 forms multiple nuclear foci of variable size within the nucleus and several smaller counterparts in the cytoplasm (Fig. 6A). Depending on their cellular location, these structures are most likely gem or U bodies if present in the nucleus or cytoplasm, respectively. We next attempted at addressing the relative requirement of Gemin5 in the two most important elements of the motor unit and asked whether Gemin5 is required in both muscle and neuron in a similar manner to Gemin3.

Enhanced Gemin5 knockdown through the expression of two RNAi transgenes (Gem5IRermu+Gem5IRermu) targeting the first exon of the Gemin5 mRNA transcript (Fig S1B) confirmed a previous report demonstrating that a global reduction of Gemin5 function is lethal [61] (Fig. 6A). We provide evidence for the specificity of the RNAi-based knockdown by showing that co-expression of a full-length Gemin3 transgene (Gem5) but not a version lacking the WD domain-rich N-terminus (Gem5SN) rescues the lethality associated with loss of Gemin5 function (Fig. 6A, Fig S1B). The entire WD repeat domain was found to be both necessary and sufficient for sequence-specific, high-affinity binding of Gemin5 to snRNAs [35]. Interestingly, the lethality associated with ubiquitous Gemin5 knockdown can be recapitulated when knockdown is restricted to muscle lineages via the pan-muscular hosa-GAL4 or C179-GAL4 drivers. Furthermore, a dramatic reduction in adult viability was observed at culture temperatures associated with maximal GAL4 activity (29°C) when RNAi is driven by the pan-muscular Mef2-GAL4 and boosted by elevated Dicer-2 levels (Fig. 6B). Reduction of Gemin5 only in larval somatic muscles via the G7-GAL4 also resulted in a significant decrease in adult viability at an incubation temperature of 29°C. Intriguingly, we show that a pan-neuronal Gemin5 knockdown via the elav-GAL4 driver together with an increase in Dicer-2 levels translates in lethality when flies are cultured at 29°C, and, remarkably, this phenotype can be repeated when knockdown is restricted to only motor neurons via the OK6-GAL4 driver. In summary, these studies underscore that similar to Gemin3, SMN complex member Gemin5 forms nuclear as well as cytoplasmic foci and is required in adequate levels within muscle and neurons for viability.

Loss of Gemin5 Function in Either Muscle or Neurons Impairs Normal Motor Behaviour

Our findings indicating a requirement of Gemin5 for viability in the major constituents of the motor unit posed the question of whether loss of Gemin5 function in either muscle or neurons leads
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to defects in motor behaviour in a similar manner to Gemin3. In this regard, we first assessed the climbing ability of flies with an enhanced Gemin5 knockdown in the CNS, which are viable if their development is restricted to a permissive temperature (25°C).

When we sampled flies with this genotype (elav-GAL4, Dcr-2+Gem5-IRnan+Gem5-IRsac), we noticed that the first fly that was capable of reaching the target height took, on average, significantly longer to do so compared to controls as early as day 5 post-eclosion.
Figure 5. Enhanced Gemin3 knockdown in neuronal lineages leads to loss of adult viability and flight defects. (A) Enhanced Gemin3 knockdown involved the simultaneous application of two RNAi transgenes (Gem3-IRmun+Gem3-IRdwe) targeting Gemin3. Culture at a temperature of 29°C to allow for maximal GAL4 activity and/or Dicer-2 overexpression resulted in a further increase in RNAi potency. Gemin3 knockdown in all tissues
via the da-GAL4, x-Tub-GAL4 or 1032-GAL4 drivers results in lethality, which can be rescued on co-expression of a full-length (Gem3) but not a C-terminal truncated (Gem3AC) Gem3 transgene, hence demonstrating RNAi specificity. When Gem3 knockdown is restricted either to all muscle lineage via GALA4, C179-GALA4 or Mef2-GAL4 or only to the somatic muscle (G7-GAL4), loss of adult viability or complete lethality was observed, a phenotype that is similar or worse to that previously reported with a dose of one RNAi transgene [40]. Remarkably, we note that on pan-neuronal Gem3 knockdown (via n-syb-GAL4 or elav-GAL4), we could also achieve a significant decrease in adult viability when culture temperature was raised to 29°C and, eventually, complete lethality on concomitant overexpression of Dicer-2. Knockdown exclusively in motor neurons was sufficient to markedly impact adult viability or cause lethality at an incubation temperature of 25°C and 29°C, respectively, with or without enhanced Dicer-2 levels. Compared to controls, enhanced knockdown of Gem3 in the eyes via the GMR-GAL4 driver does not lead to a rough eye phenotype (data not shown). Note that, as expected, not all drivers with a similar tissue expression pattern (left panel) give an analogous result with one reason being that they might not drive strong GAL4 levels comparable to their effective counterparts. Bar chart (right panel) shows adult fly viability assayed at 25°C and 29°C. Individual bars represent the mean viability ± S.E.M. of at least 4 independent experiments. Abbreviations: pan-ms, pan-muscular; mes, mesoderm; ms, larval muscles; mn, motor neurons; n, all CNS neurons except motor neurons. (B) Flight behaviour of the population with a brain-restricted enhanced Gem3 knockdown (elav-GAL4→Dcr-2+Gem3-IR\textsuperscript{sm}\textsuperscript{+}+Gem3-IR\textsuperscript{mus}) is significantly different from that of controls starting at day 15 post-eclosion. In this regard, the number of non-fliers that drop straight to the lowest sector (sector 1) exhibits a progressive age-dependent increase that is not obvious in driver-only (elav-GAL4→Dcr-2) or responder-only (Gem3-IR\textsuperscript{sm}\textsuperscript{+}+ or Gem3-IR\textsuperscript{mus}\textsuperscript{+}) control populations. Data presented are the mean ± S.E.M. and statistical significance was determined for differences between the elav-GAL4→Dcr-2+Gem3-IR\textsuperscript{sm}\textsuperscript{+}+Gem3-IR\textsuperscript{mus} genotype and the control genotypes, which are indicated by the respective colour. For all data, ns = not significant, *p<0.05, **p<0.01, and ***p<0.001.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0083878.g005

celosion. In the same vein, at this time point, brain-specific Gem3 knockdown depressed markedly the climbing success rate or the average number of flies that successfully climbed beyond a target height of 8 cm by 10 seconds (FIG. 7F). Furthermore, we did not fail to observe that a small percentage of flies (36%) in this population had curved instead of normal straight wing blades and, less frequently (9%), the wings were crumpled and unopen (FIG. 7B).

The above results spurred us to investigate whether a drastic reduction of Gem5 within the CNS has an adverse effect on flight performance. To this end, we subjected these flies to several Droso-Drome trials and compared the resulting mean to that of controls. Remarkably, the majority of flies with a pan-neuronal Gem5 knockdown (elav-GAL4→Dcr-2+Gem5-IR\textsuperscript{sm}\textsuperscript{+}+Gem5-IR\textsuperscript{mus}, 73%) were flightless by day 5 post-eclosion, a result that is significantly different from that obtained by wild-type (γw, 10%), RNAi transgenes-only (Gem5-IR\textsuperscript{sm}\textsuperscript{+}+; Gem5-IR\textsuperscript{mus}\textsuperscript{+}, 21%) or driver-only (elav-GAL4→Dcr-2, 26%) controls. However, the resulting phenotype did not differ from that of flies with a curly wing phenotype (In2LR/Gal4/Cyo, 57%), which are known to have an impaired flight ability (FIG. 7C). We next asked whether restricting Gem5 knockdown to muscle has a similar effect on motor behaviour. Although, a Dicer-boosted reduction in Gem5 levels via the pan-muscular Mef2-GAL4 driver had no negative impact on climbing behaviour (data not shown), we demonstrate a striking progressive decline in flight ability throughout adulthood (FIG. 7D). Indeed, it is only on the final recorded time point (day 35 post-eclosion) that we get a majority of non-fliers (Mef2-GAL4→Dcr-2+Gem5-IR\textsuperscript{sm}\textsuperscript{+}+Gem5-IR\textsuperscript{mus}, 77%) in contrast to wild-type (γw, 30%), transgenic responder-only (Gem5-IR\textsuperscript{sm}\textsuperscript{+}+; Gem5-IR\textsuperscript{mus}\textsuperscript{+}, 21%), or driver-only (Mef2-GAL4→Dcr-2, 28%) controls, and similar to the curly-positive flies (In2LR/Gal4/Cyo, 74%). Overall, these findings indicate that loss of Gem5 function in either muscle or neurons impairs normal motor behaviour, albeit with a different impact.

**Gem2 is Required in Muscle for Viability and Normal Motor Behaviour**

Gem2 is the only SMN complex component with the most phylogenetically conserved sequence and domain structure [21,33] (FIG S4). Its pivotal role in snRNP assembly has only recently been revealed through an elegant structural study [33]. It is however unclear whether this key SMN complex member is required for normal motor function. In this regard, double heterozygous Gem2 and SMN knockout mice develop an enhanced motor neurodegenerative phenotype, which correlated with disturbed snRNP assembly [62]. However, in zebrafish embryos, knockdown of Gem2 was reported to have conflicting effects on motor axon outgrowth [63,64], which is typically defective on depletion of SMN [65]. We sought to clarify this issue in Drosophila by targeting Gem2 levels via the expression of an RNAi transgene (Gem2-IR\textsuperscript{RNAi}) directed at exon 1 and part of exon 2 (FIG S1C). We note that similar to previous studies in other organisms [62,64,65,66], global depletion of Gem2 driven by the ubiquitous da-GAL4 driver results in lethality at culture temperatures that induce the highest GAL4 activity (FIG. 8A). Importantly, this phenotype is reversed on co-expression of a full-length Gem2 transgene thereby demonstrating RNAi specificity. Furthermore, the lack of rescue by an unrelated truncated transgene (Gem3AC) eliminates the possibility that rescue is the result of GAL4 dilution effects induced by multiple UAS-constructs in the background. Although Gem2 knockdown was not maximal considering that we were limited by the use of a single RNAi transgene, we observed that on restricting its effect in various tissues, we could achieve a drastic reduction in adult viability through the use of the pan-muscular CI79-GALA4 or how-GAL4 drivers (FIG. 8A).

Following up these observations, we asked whether Gemin2 knockdown in the motor unit has a negative impact on motor behaviour similar to that observed on depletion of either Gemin3 or Gemin5 (above). Interestingly, we show that when confined to muscle, Gemin2 reduction enhanced by high Dicer-2 levels interferes with mobility. In this respect, the time taken for the initial fly within the sampled population to cross a target height of 8 cm was significantly longer than that taken by controls at day 5 and day 15 post-eclosion. Furthermore, the climbing success rate is depressed as early as day 5 post-eclosion and remains at the same level throughout the course of adulthood (FIG. 8B). Notably, we demonstrate a progressive age-dependent decline in flight performance within the population of flies having a pan-muscular Gem2 knockdown (Mef2-GAL4→Dcr-2+Gem2-IR\textsuperscript{RNAi}) (FIG. 8C).

In this regard, by day 15, half of the flies with this genotype were on average non-fliers (50%), a portion that was significantly different from that of the RNAi transgene-only (Gem2-IR\textsuperscript{RNAi}\textsuperscript{+}, 6%) or driver-only (Mef2-GAL4→Dcr-2, 18%) controls. On the following two recorded time points, the fly population with a muscle-specific Gemin2 depletion, registered a further increase in the percentage of flies that are flightless. Indeed, the majority of flies (Mef2-GAL4→Dcr-2+Gem2-IR\textsuperscript{RNAi}: day 25, 69%; day 35, 88%) drop straight to the lowest sector of the Droso-Drome in contrast to controls consisting of only the responder RNAi transgene (Gem2-IR\textsuperscript{RNAi}\textsuperscript{+}: day 25, 14%; day 35, 15%) or driver (Mef2-GAL4→Dcr-2: day 25, 11%; day 35, 28%) and similar to the phenotypic outcome of flies homozygous for the hypomorphic Sim\textsuperscript{2} allele (FIG. 8G).
Flies with a muscle-confined Gemin2 knockdown also experience a progressive decline in viability with age (day 5, 100%; day 15, 100%; day 25, 62%; and day 35, 47%). However, we did not detect significant motor defects when knockdown was restricted to the CNS (data not shown) but this outcome most likely reflects the fact that knockdown was not severe enough. In summary, these findings emphasise that similar to its counterparts within the SMN complex, Gemin2 is required in the motor unit for viability and normal motor function.

Discussion

Our study sheds light on the antimorphic mechanism of the Gem3\textsuperscript{AN} overexpression phenotype, and importantly unravels a requirement of all the Gemmin associates of SMN in viability and motor function \textit{in vivo}.

Mechanism of the Gem3\textsuperscript{AN} Overexpression Phenotype

We demonstrate that the loss-of-function phenotype of Gem3 is similar to that resulting from Gem3\textsuperscript{AN} overexpression. In this regard, either Gem3 knockdown or Gem3\textsuperscript{AN} overexpression in muscle disrupt normal motor performance. Because overexpression mimics a loss of function, Gem3\textsuperscript{AN} presumably interferes at some level with the function of Gem3\textsubscript{3}, its complex or its RNA substrate, acting as a dominant-negative mutant or a Muller’s antimorph. It is fair to say that in the case of flight ability, phenotypes are not completely identical since those resulting from Gem3 knockdown only develop late in adulthood. This observation highlights the fact that in contrast to RNAi-based methods, the use of inhibitory mutants that act at the protein level generate more severe phenotypes in view of them being more direct.

Several models can explain how overexpression of a catalytically inactive helicase protein antagonises the endogenous wild-type protein to exert a dominant-negative or antimorphic phenotype [46,48]. Overexpression of a mutant RNA helicase protein that has defective ATPase or RNA unwinding activity but retains its ability to stably bind RNA may form a static protein-RNA complex that prevents RNA substrates from taking part in downstream reactions. This model is highly unlikely in our case considering that the Gem3\textsuperscript{AN} protein lacks its entire helicase core and, thereby, it most probably is unable to bind RNA, let alone engage in ATPase-dependent RNA chaperoning activities. Alternatively, a mutant dead helicase protein might have retained its ability to interact with its wild-type counterpart and the mutant/ wild-type helicase dimer or multimer could be defective in its catalytic activity. Again, this model is highly improbable considering that to our knowledge the biochemical evidence to date supports an ability of SMN, Gem2 and Gem3 but not Gem3\textsuperscript{AN} to self-associate [8,9,11,31,67]. This model is however the most probable in explaining the antimorphic mechanism of the hSMN overexpression phenotype ([44] and present study). The resulting high hSMN levels are thought to titrate dSMN into oligomers that might be unable to support the addition of the Gemmin members of the SMN complex and, thereby, incapable of forming functional SMN complexes. Our demonstration of hSMN aggregates corroborates this mechanism though further work is needed to explore their toxic effect on cellular processes especially those confined to the nucleus. In line with a previous study [60], muscle is more susceptible to attenuation of SMN activity, which in our case was induced by ectopic hSMN overexpression.

In another model, Gem3\textsuperscript{AN} may still be capable of binding to its partner and in high concentrations it competes that partner from the wild-type endogenous protein. This model is the most likely scenario in our case whereby the Gem3\textsuperscript{AN} protein, having retained its SMN-binding domain, hijacks SMN and its associates from the endogenous wild-type Gem3, hence, forming a ‘poisonous’ or non-functional SMN complex (FIG. 9A,B). In this regard, although the SMN complex could still bind to target RNAs, it most probably is incapable of processing them due to loss of Gem3 ATPase activity. This competition-based mechanism is supported by our observation that the Gem3\textsuperscript{AN} dominant-negative phenotype can be reversed by co-overexpression of the target protein, Gem3, or aggravated in a background with reduced levels of Gem3. Furthermore, the localisation pattern of the fluorescently-tagged version of Gem3\textsuperscript{AN} encourages us to hypothesise that its antagonistic action is primarily nuclear. It is possible that Gem3\textsuperscript{AN} causes mislocalisation of its associated complex from the cytoplasm to the nucleus, thereby displacing it away from its site of action. Nevertheless, it is still plausible that the smaller amount of Gem3\textsuperscript{AN} found in the cytoplasm could be sufficient to inhibit a cytoplasmic function. Interestingly, Almstead and Sarnow [68] reported that poliovirus-encoded protease 2A\textsuperscript{pol} specifically cleaves human Gem3 between Tyr462 and Gly463 to generate a cleavage product that lacks its helicase core and, hence, is more or less identical to Gem3\textsuperscript{AN}. Notably, poliovirus is the causative agent of poliomyelitis, which is characterised by destruction of motor neurons, a phenotype surprisingly similar to that observed in SMA. Future studies that focus on the interaction profile of Gem3\textsuperscript{AN} via biochemical approaches will help us in further understanding the molecular mode of action of this dead helicase mutant.

Composition of the Drosophila SMN Complex

Throughout evolution, the SMN complex has experienced an increase in membership through the addition of a set of Gemmin...
proteins onto an ancestral core complex formed of SMN and Gemin2 [21]. Focusing on Drosophila, Kroiss et al. [39] used a biochemical approach to successfully isolate an assembly-active SMN complex that surprisingly consisted of SMN and Gemin2 as the only stoichiometric components despite bioinformatic data that predicted the presence of Gemin3 and Gemin5 orthologues in this model organism. Later, we and others presented biochemical evidence showing interaction between Gemin3 and SMN [40,49,69]. In addition, the function of Gemin3 in snRNP assembly is conserved in Drosophila since, similar to that observed for SMN, depletion of Gemin3 significantly reduced Sm core assembly in an in vitro assay [49]. Such findings indicate that the Drosophila SMN complex is more intricate than that present in S. pombe and plants [21]. Taking into account in vivo work making use of genetic and cell biology approaches, we provide further support in this direction. The present study in combination with previous work on Gemin3 and SMN [40,42,43,49,60], unequivocally shows that remarkably similar phenotypes arise from loss of function of

Figure 7. Loss of Gemin5 function in either neurons or muscle impede normal motor behaviour. (A) Flies with a pan-neuronal Gemin5 knockdown (elav-GAL4->Dcr-2+Gem5-IR+/+; Gem5-IR+/+) have a significantly impaired climbing ability compared to wild-type (yw), RNAI transgenes-only (Gem5-IR+/+; Gem5-IR+/+) or driver-only (elav-GAL4->Dcr-2) controls, starting as early as day 5 post-eclosion. In this respect, the top performer in the population that had depleted levels of Gemin5 took significantly longer to climb beyond the 8 cm mark and on a population-level, the number of flies that successfully reached a target height within the required timeframe was, on average, significantly lower compared to controls. (B) Compared to wild-type controls, close to half of the flies, with a CNS-restricted Gemin5 knockdown, had abnormal wing blades with defects ranging from a curved to a crumpled and unopen wing phenotype (arrow). (C) Flies with a brain-specific Gemin5 (elav-GAL4->Dcr-2+Gem5-IR+/+; Gem5-IR+/+) knockdown had on average a different distribution within the Drosophila compared to controls starting at day 5 post-eclosion. In this regard, the majority of flies drop straight to sector 1 indicating that they are flightless, a phenotypic outcome that differs significantly from that of controls but is similar to that of flies with curly wings (In(2LR)Gla/CyO), which are known to be weak fliers. (D) Pan-muscular Gemin5 knockdown leads to an age-dependent progressive decline in flight ability with the worse phenotype observed on day 35 post-eclosion. On this time-point, the majority of flies with muscle-specific depleted levels of Gemin5 are non-fliers and, thereby, fall straight to sector 1 in contrast to controls and similar to curly-positive flies. Data presented are the mean ± S.E.M. and statistical significance was determined for differences between the elav-GAL4->Dcr-2+Gem5-IR+/+; Gem5-IR+/+ (A, C) or the Mef2-GAL4->Dcr-2+Gem5-IR+/+; Gem5-IR+/+ (D) genotype and other genotypes, which are indicated by the respective colour. For all data, ns = not significant, *p<0.05, **p<0.01, and ***p<0.001.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0083878.g007
SMN and all the Gemin components including the less conserved Gemin3 and Gemin5 (FIG S3 and FIG. 9A,C–F). This in itself is highly indicative of a common role for all the Geminins within the Drosophila SMN complex. Notably, our evidence is supported by a recent study in which we show that Gemin2, 3 and 5 co-localise with SMN and snRNPs in cytoplasmic U bodies within the Drosophila egg chamber [25]. Furthermore, on overexpression of Gemin2 in Drosophila, we could induce the formation of SMN-enriched nuclear gems that interact with Cajal bodies in a similar manner to that described for their vertebrate counterparts [22,23]. Interestingly, in this study we reported that gems could also be triggered by upregulation of Gemin5 protein levels. Although we cannot exclude the possibility of secondary SMN complex-independent activities, overall, the data captured so far, favours a Drosophila SMN complex formed of SMN, Gemin2, Gemin3 and Gemin5, all of which are essential for its correct functioning in vivo. Hence, we hypothesise that absence of any one member is sufficient to 'rob' the SMN-Geminins complex of its function (FIG. 9A,C–F). We are presently attempting genetic interaction studies to better understand the relationship between complex members in vivo and to strengthen our conviction that disruption of the SMN-Geminins complex is at the heart of the reported motor defects.

A common Process that is key for Normal Motor Function

In this study we report that, depending on strength, loss of function of Gemin2, Gemin3 or Gemin5 in the motor unit impairs adult viability as well as normal motor behaviour including climbing ability and flight performance. In particular, flight is a highly demanding motor activity in flies and in addition to wings capable of generating enough aerodynamic lift, it depends on a motor-sensory circuit that allows exquisite control, and a high-power high-frequency muscle [53]. It is interesting to note that with the exception of Gemin2, which is required only in muscle, all Geminins seem to be required in both key compartments of the motor-sensory circuit that allows exquisite control, and a high-power high-frequency muscle [53]. It is interesting to note that with the exception of Gemin2, which is required only in muscle, all Geminins seem to be required in both key compartments of the motor-sensory circuit that allows exquisite control, and a high-power high-frequency muscle [53]. It is interesting to note that with the exception of Gemin2, which is required only in muscle, all Geminins seem to be required in both key compartments of the motor-sensory circuit that allows exquisite control, and a high-power high-frequency muscle [53]. It is interesting to note that with the exception of Gemin2, which is required only in muscle, all Geminins seem to be required in both key compartments of the motor-sensory circuit that allows exquisite control, and a high-power high-frequency muscle [53].
mutant background does not rescue the associated motor defects, thereby indicating that SMN does not function downstream of Gemin3 but most probably in parallel to Gemin3. Congruent with this, Gemin3 are not amongst the genetic modifiers of Smn-dependent phenotypes ([60,70] and data not shown). Although a requirement of Gemin2 in neurons is as yet not obvious, we predict that, in a similar way to Gemin3 and 5, such a role will be exposed only on significant knockdown. Hence, we are presently developing strategies aimed at increasing the impact of Gemin2 loss of function in neuronal tissues to test this hypothesis.

Key Gemin members of the SMN-Gemin complex have been reported to form part of additional multiprotein complexes in which they function independently of the SMN complex [21,71]. For instance, Gemin3 and Gemin4 form a less abundant complex that co-sediments with polyribosomes and contains Argonaute2 (AGO2) as well as numerous microRNAs (miRNAs) [72,73,74]. In a following report, Gemin3 could be detected with AGO2, fragile X mental retardation protein (FMRP) and p100 in the murine peripheral axons of the sciatic nerve and all these proteins were reported to engage in the formation of an RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) [75]. These studies implicate Gemin3 in RNA silencing, whereby aided by co-factors such as Gemin4, it may be responsible for RNA unwinding or RNP restructuring events during miRNA maturation and/or downstream events that include target RNA recognition. Furthermore, the association of Gemin3 with a multitude of transcription factors is well-documented [reviewed in 21], hence an additional role in transcriptional regulation cannot be excluded. An SMN complex-independent inclination was also reported for Gemin5, whereby it forms part of two distinct complexes, a specific internal ribosome entry site (IRES)-ribonucleoprotein complex and an IRES-independent complex containing eIF4E [76,77], hence establishing a link between Gemin5 and modulation of mRNA translation. Turning to Gemin2, we note that there is evidence pointing towards the existence of an SMN-Gemin2 subcomplex that functions in DNA double-strand break repair through homologous recombination [78,79]. This body of evidence seems to suggest alternative functions for some Gemin components, at least in vertebrates. However, based on our present work and that of others demonstrating a similar phenotype on loss of function of all members of the Drosophila SMN-Gemins complex [26,27,40,42,43,49,58,60,64], it is tempting to speculate that they engage in a common pathway or process in vivo.

Figure 9. A working model for the evaluation of the motor phenotypes resulting from perturbation of individual members of the Drosophila SMN-Gemins complex. (A) The interaction map of the Drosophila SMN-Gemins complex is unclear and, here, it is modelled on that drafted for the human version (reviewed in [21]). In this regard, SMN associates to Gemin2 and Gemin3. Gemin2, in turn, interacts with Gemin5. All components of the SMN complex are individually required for viability and, importantly, motor behaviour. In particular, they are key for normal flight performance, which is a highly demanding motor activity that relies on correct function of the motor unit. The process or pathway linking the SMN complex to motor behaviour is as yet unclear (indicated by '?' though we speculate that it is predominantly nuclear and, hence, might revolve around snRNP biogenesis and/or recycling. (B) The dead helicase Gem3DN mutant lacks its helicase core but retains the SMN-binding domain. We hypothesise that it hijacks SMN and its associates from the endogenous wild-type Gemin3, hence, forming a non-functional or inactive SMN complex. Gem3DN overexpression mimics the flightless phenotype associated with the loss of Gemin3 function though the phenotype is stronger. (C–E) Reduced Gemin3, Gemin5 or Gemin2 levels through RNAi-mediated knockdown also results in a flightless phenotype. These observations demonstrate that either of these factors performs a critical role that cannot be compensated by the presence of the remaining components. (D) Similar to its Gemin associates, SMN is required in adequate levels for normal flight behaviour as reported in the hypomorphic SmnE33 fly mutant [43]. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0083878.g009
The most extensively studied pathway that unifies all members of the SMN complex is snRNP biogenesis. It is however still unclear how defects in this pathway are linked to impaired motor function. Recent studies on SMA animal models uncovered an negative impact on splicing and expression levels of U12 intron-containing genes that are essential for motor-sensory circuit function [90,91] due to preferential reduction in the snRNPs that constitute the minor spliceosome [92,93]. In this respect, an early study showed that the motor axon defects observed after silencing SMN and Gemin2 in zebrafish embryos could be rescued on injection of purified snRNPs [64] and recent work by Workman et al. [94] showed that restoring normal snRNP levels has a significant phenotypic rescuing effect on a severe SMA mouse model. The link between snRNP assembly and selective neuromuscular degeneration is however refuted by studies demonstrating that the role of SMN in snRNP assembly can be uncoupled from axonal defects in zebrafish [85] or organismal viability and locomotor defects in Drosophila [96]. Furthermore, there is evidence suggesting that splicing defects in SMA mice are likely a secondary consequence of severe SMN loss [83,87]. Against this backdrop, the SMN complex has been implicated in two key non-canonical functions. In neuronal processes, the SMN complex is present in large stationary and small actively-transported granules devoid of Sm proteins [88,89,90,91,92]. On the postsynaptic side, the Drosophila SMN complex has been reported to localise to the sarcometic Z-disc [43,93]. In both compartments, the SMN complex might be involved in mRNA trafficking, a process required for the function and maintenance of neuromuscular junctions or myofibrils [21,38]. Based on our evidence showing that localisation of the Gem3ΔN mutant is predominantly nuclear coupled with the induction of nuclear gems following upregulation of Gemin3 or Gemin5, we find it tempting to speculate that the localisation of the Gem3

Materials and Methods

Fly Stocks

Fly stocks were maintained at 25°C on standard molasses/maizeal and agar medium in Pacific vials. Except where indicated, the wild-type strains were y w or Oregon R. The In(2LR)Gla/Cyo line was obtained from the Drosophila Genetic Resource Center (DGRC) at the Kyoto Institute of Technology, Kyoto, Japan. The Smn

Transgenic Constructs

The generation of UAS.GFP.Gem3AN transgenic construct involved PCR-amplification of the C-terminus of Gem3 and ligation, at the N-terminus, to the enhanced cyan fluorescent protein (eCFP) coding portion derived from the pECFP-C1 (BD Biosciences Clontech, Palo Alto, California, USA) vector. The Nol and Xhol restriction sites were then used to insert the fusion construct into the pUAST vector. For the UAS.Gem3MN transgenic construct, the N-terminus of Gem3 was PCR-amplified and ligated into the Nol and KpnI restriction sites of the pUAST vector. PCR-amplification of the full-length coding sequence of Gem2 followed by insertion into the pUAST vector utilising the Nol and KpnI restriction sites. PCR-amplification of the full-length coding sequence of Gem5 or its C-terminus, followed by insertion into the EcoRI and KpnI restriction sites of the pUAST vector, was carried out to generate the respective UAS.Gem5.GFP and UAS.Gem5AN transgenic constructs, with the former involving ligation of an eCFP tag at the C-terminus.

cDNA clones for Gem2 (LD14779), Gem3 (LD05563) and Gem5 (SD03652) were obtained from the Drosophila Genomics Resource Centre (Indiana University, USA). In all cases, the ligation products were used to transform NEE 5-alpha competent E. coli cells (New England Biolabs, Hitchin, UK) using standard protocols. Correct transformants were further propagated, their harbouring plasmids purified and sequenced prior to microinjection in w1118 embryos.

Puparial Axial Ratios

Puparial axial ratios were calculated by dividing the length by the width of the puparia, both of which were measured from still images.

Adult Viability Studies

Adult viability assays were conducted by crossing GAL4 driver stocks to lines harbouring single or a combination of transgenes. Culture temperature was either 25°C or 29°C. Following eclosion, adult flies were screened and counted at regular intervals. Adult viability was calculated as the percentage of the number of adult flies with the appropriate genotype divided by the expected number for the cross.
Flight Assay

Based on that originally designed by the behavioural genetics mastermind, Seymour Benzer [56], we developed the Droso-Drome, a simple device to systematically measure the flying ability of flies. The Droso-Drome consisted of a 1L glass bottle divided into 4 sectors, of 5 cm each, spanning a total height of 20 cm. Before each assay, the internal walls of the Droso-Drome were coated with an alcohol-based sticky fluid. Flies were introduced into the top of the device through a funnel and the number of flies stuck in each sector were counted, divided by the total number of flies assayed and multiplied by 100 to generate the percentage number of flies per sector. The flight capability determines the height or sector flies stick in the Droso-Drome.

Climbing Assay

The climbing apparatus consisted of two empty polystyrene vials that are vertically joined by tape facing each other. For the lower vial, a vertical distance of 8 cm above the bottom surface was measured and marked by drawing a circle around the entire circumference of the vial. For the climbing assay, a group of 10-15 flies were transferred into the lower vial and allowed to acclimatize to the new setting for 1 minute. The climbing assay involved gently tapping the flies down to the bottom of the vial and measuring (1) the number of flies per group, that can climb above the 8 cm mark by 10 seconds after the tap, recorded as the percentage success rate, and (2) the time for the first fly within a group to cross the 8 cm mark. Three trials were performed for each group and a minimum of three groups were assayed for each genotype. Experiments were performed during daylight to minimize potential effects of circadian oscillation.

Immunohistochemistry

Larvae were dissected in 1× PBS, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS and then washed in 1× PBS +0.1% Triton X-100 (PBT). The tissues were next subjected to overnight staining at room temperature by mouse anti-GFP (1:1000; Roche Diagnostics (PBT). The tissues were next subjected to overnight staining at room temperature with anti-mouse Alexa Fluor 546-conjugated secondary goat antibodies (1:50) and nuclear-staining Hoechst 33342 (1:500). Following a final wash in PBT, the samples were mounted in 90% glycerol with anti-fade. Epifluorescent pictures were acquired with an Optika B-600TiFL microscope (20×). The distribution of organisms with a pan-muscular overexpression of hSMN (Mef2-GAL4>hSMN) was not significantly different from that of control populations (Mef2-GAL4/+ or hSMN+/+) over the course of 35 days post-eclosion. Note that on the final time point (day 35), the performance of the test genotype is significantly different from that of the driver-only control (Mef2-GAL4/+ but not the responder-only (hSMN/+)) control. Data presented are the mean ± S.E.M. and statistical significance was determined for the differences, at sector 1, between the Mef2-GAL4>hSMN genotype and control genotypes, which are indicated by the respective colour. For all data, ns = not significant, *p<0.05, **p<0.01, and ***p<0.001.

Figure S2 Pan-muscular overexpression of hSMN has no effect on flight behaviour. The distribution of organisms with a pan-muscular overexpression of hSMN (Mef2-GAL4>hSMN) was not significantly different from that of control populations (Mef2-GAL4/+ or hSMN+/+) over the course of 35 days post-eclosion. Note that on the final time point (day 35), the performance of the test genotype is significantly different from that of the driver-only control (Mef2-GAL4/+ but not the responder-only (hSMN/+)) control. Data presented are the mean ± S.E.M. and statistical significance was determined for the differences, at sector 1, between the Mef2-GAL4>hSMN genotype and control genotypes, which are indicated by the respective colour. For all data, ns = not significant, *p<0.05, **p<0.01, and ***p<0.001.

Statistical methods

Significance was tested by the unpaired t-test.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Features of the transgenic constructs utilised in the study. (A) Gemin3 is a 4 Kbp two-exon gene with a set of nine DEAD-box helicase motifs present on its N-terminus and an SMN-binding region located in the middle. The Gem3NV construct is devoid of the N-terminal region, which hosts the helicase core but it still has the SMN-binding [11,49] region. On the other hand, the Gem3NC is devoid of the C-terminal region, hence, consisting only of the helicase core. The inducible RNAi constructs targeting Gemin3 (Gem3-IRm and Gem3-IRn) both have a short fragment (highlighted in lavender) derived from exon1 and exon2 as an inverted repeat (IR), which is attached to 10 copies of UAS sites to enhance RNAi efficiency [51]. (B) Gemin5

is a 6.8 Kbp nine-exon gene with thirteen WD repeat domains located at its N-terminus. The Gem5NV construct lacks the N-terminus and, hence, the region harbouring the smRNA-binding [35] WD-repeat domains. Gemin5 mRNA transcripts were targeted by two inducible RNAi constructs (Gem5-IRp and Gem5-IRp'), each consisting of an inverted repeat of a small fragment present in exon1 (highlighted in lavender). A Ret oncogene fragment (exon 5 to 7 including intron) is present between the IR fragments, thereby enhancing RNAi efficiency. (C) Gemin2 is a 1.2 Kbp two-exon gene, which is highly conserved but lacks computationally identifiable domains. Both N- and C-terminus contain SMN- and self (G2)-binding domains [31]. Furthermore, crystal studies showed that Smf/E and SmD1/D1 make contact with Gemin2’s N-terminal and C-terminal domains, respectively [33]. Knockdown of Gemin2 was achieved through the expression of an inducible RNAi construct (Gem2-IRm) consisting of the entire exon1 as well as part of exon2 in an inverted repeat that is also separated by a Ret oncogene fragment to boost RNAi efficiency.
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Figure S3 Multiple protein sequence alignment of Gemin5 orthologues. The protein sequence alignment was generated with the ClustalW at EMBL-EBI [95,96] and displayed using GeneDoc (http://www.nrbsc.org/gfx/genedoc/). Human, Homo sapiens (Ensembl Protein ID: ENST00000285873); Mouse, Mus musculus (ENSMUST00000172035); Zebrafish, Danio rerio (ENSDART00000137309); Fly, Drosophila melanogaster (FBtr0086252). Conservation of sequence is represented based on the Gommet Protein Weight Matrix, whereby conserved residues are shown in light grey (weakly conserved) to black (highly conserved). The N-terminus hosts the WD repeats (highlighted in red) and a coiled-coil motif (highlighted in blue) is present in the C-terminus.
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Figure S4 Multiple protein sequence alignment of Gemin2 orthologues. The protein sequence alignment was generated with the ClustalW at EMBL-EBI [95,96] and displayed using GeneDoc (http://www.nrbsc.org/gfx/genedoc/). Human, Homo sapiens (Ensembl Protein ID: ENST00000285873); Mouse, Mus musculus (ENSMUST00000172035); Zebrafish, Danio rerio (ENSDART00000137309); Fly, Drosophila melanogaster (FBtr0086252). Conservation of sequence is represented based on the Gommet Protein Weight Matrix, whereby conserved residues are shown in light grey (weakly conserved) to black (highly conserved). The N-terminus hosts the WD repeats (highlighted in red) and a coiled-coil motif (highlighted in blue) is present in the C-terminus.
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