## A preliminary assessment of the effects of EM radiation on eubacterial genomes
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## Materials and Methods

* Preparation of samples of genetically modified DH5 Alpha
$*$ Some were placed in the anechoic chamber and irradiated with 2.8 GHz microwaves
* A sample was left as a control
* Samples were taken out and irradiated after 24 hrs 48 hrs respectively
$* 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ tubes were then filled with 25 mL of nutrient broth and the samples were transferred into it
* These were left overnight in the shaking chamber to promote bacterial growth
* A bacterial extraction kit was used and the bacterial DNA was checked for purity using a spectrophotometer
* A centrifuge was then used to separate the broth from the pellet prior to DNA extraction
* Pellets containing DNA were sent to EMBL Heidelberg, Germany for analysis where bacterial DNA was fragmented, labelled and run through a sequencing machine
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## Future Work

Using different wavelengths to irradiate DH5 alpha and other microorganisms

## Preliminary Results

* The analysis comprised 1 control sample and 12 test samples; 6 of which were irradiated for 24 hrs and the rest for 48 hrs.
* Full genome analysis gave back data on 2242 genes and showed which individual genes mutated and which were unchanged
* Preliminary analysis showed that only $14 \%$ of the genes in the control - Preliminary analysis showed that only $14 \%$ of the ge
sample had remained unchanged; the rest had mutated.
* Comparing test samples themselves, most genes were found to have * Comparing test samples themselves, most genes were found to * $2 \%$ of DNA variants mutated in 4 or fewer samples after 48 hrs .


Figure 1: The graph shows a comparison between the samples which were irradiated for 24 hrs, 48 hrs and the control sample respectively. Gene analysis on 2242 genes
was made on all 6 samples for both time exposures. The cumulative bars show the was made on all 6 samples for boin time exposures. The cumulative bars show the
number of samples (out of 6 ), which have exhibited mutations and in how many genes from the total genome these mutations have occurred.
Although the single control sample appears to show a considerable number of unchanged gene loci when compared to the irradiated samples, the irradiated samples individually showcase a similar percentage of unchanged loci. These however average out, over 6 samples such that every locus is mutated in at least 3 samples.
Given the natural mutagenesis rate in control bacteria this was used to normalize the envisaged to obtain a full list of Gene Ontology functions in the variant results.

Preliminary Conclusions

* As expected, minimal changes were observed when comparing mutations of test samples to those in the control.
- Microwaves have non-ionizing properties hence much less variation is expected to be observed when compared to the usage of higher frequencies.
- With an increase in exposure time, an increase in the number of samples where the genes had varied was observed. This is most likely due to the fact that more time was allowed for random mutations to occur. - Genes might have also varied due to other factors and not necessarily due to irradiation, such as mutations which may occur during reproduction or due to other abiotic factors.
Comparison of test samples with respect to control shows that there are still a few genes where mutations occurred in test samples but not in the control.
- These genes are still being investigated with regard to their products and function.
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