Adenosquamous Carcinoma of the Uterine Cervix – Impact of Histology on Clinical Management
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Introduction: Historically, the incidence rate of cervical cancer (CC) in Eastern Europe and particularly in Bulgaria has constantly been higher than that in the other European countries. Adenosquamous carcinoma (ASC) is a rare histological subtype of CC with incidence rate of less than 0.5 per 100,000. We aimed to analyze the epidemiology and prognosis of all Bulgarian patients with ASC, registered at the Bulgarian National Cancer Registry (BNCR), and to compare patients’ characteristics and outcomes with those of patients, treated at a large specialized institution – the Department of Gynecologic Oncology, University Hospital in Pleven, Bulgaria.

Materials and Methods: This is a retrospective study of all cases of ASC, registered at the BNCR for a 10-year period of time. The Kaplan–Meier analysis with Log rank test was used to estimate the significant differences.

Results: The incidence rate of ASC was calculated as 3.2% of all CC registered in BNCR and 4.97% of all stage I patients, treated in our department. The 5-year overall survival (OS) rate of all patients with ASC tumors from the registry was 50.5%. A total of 171 (48.4%) of the patients had T1 tumors and a 5-year OS of 67.1%. Lymph node status was a significant prognostic factor for OS (p=0.001). Thirty-one patients with T1 tumors and ASC histology were treated in our department for the same period of time. Lymph node metastases were found in 10 of them (32.2%). The 5-year observed OS in ASC group was 74.19%.

Conclusion: The histological subtype of cancer of the uterine cervix has an impact on prognosis and should not be simply considered as a descriptive characteristic but a poor prognostic feature and should be an integral part of the decision-making in clinical management of patients.
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Introduction

Cervical carcinoma (CC) is both the fourth most common malignancy and cause of cancer-related death in women worldwide.1 The world age-standardized incidence rate (ASIR) of CC is 13.1 per 100,000, but there are big disparities according to the geographic region and the countries’ Human Development Index.2 Historically, the incidence rate of CC in Eastern Europe has constantly been higher than that in the other European countries.3 In Bulgaria for 2008 the ASIR is 21.9 per 100,000 and for 2018 20.3 per 100,000.4

With the introduction of national screening programs and wider HPV vaccination, it is expected that both incidence and mortality will decrease by 2030. Still, there are examples where vaccination is not as effective as expected5 and the
screening is not equally effective for the prevention of different histological types of CC. 

Histological classification of CC distinguishes three major types – squamous cell carcinoma (SCC), adenocarcinoma (AC), and adenosquamous carcinoma (ASC). SCC is the most common type with 75–80% of the cases; AC and ASC together account for 15–20%. The prevalence of ASC varies within 3–10% of all CC and could be defined as a rare cancer (with an incidence rate of less than 6/100,000). There are specific pathological features that should be present in order to classify a tumor as an adenosquamous subtype: both malignant squamous and glandular components should be present in routine hematoxylin and eosin staining sections; use of special immunohistochemical tests is not a mandatory condition. ASC should also be distinguished from the large-cell nonkeratinizing squamous cell carcinoma (LCSC) and the endometrioid adenocarcinomas with squamous metaplasia in which the squamous component is benign.

ASC was first described by Greene in 1963. In 2014, the World Health Organization (WHO) defined it as a malignant epithelial tumor, composed of a mixture of invasive adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma. Still, the diagnosis of ASC can frequently be difficult. Recent analysis of the morphology of this subtype showed that 42% of the cases, primary diagnosed as ASC, were further reclassified. Besides the more complicated morphology, ASCs also differ from SCCs and ACs in prognosis. In patients, undergoing surgical resection, followed by radiotherapy, ASC has shown intermediate prognosis between SCC and AC. Patients with a more advanced stage of ASC and AC and treated with definitive radiotherapy had worse outcomes, compared to SCC.

We aimed to analyze the incidence rate and the prognosis factors for overall survival (OS) among patients with ASC of the uterine cervix, registered at the Bulgarian National Cancer Registry and the patients, treated at a specialized oncogynecological institution – the Department of Gynaecologic Oncology, University Hospital in Pleven, Bulgaria for a period of 10 years (2007–2016).

Materials and Methods
Study of Population
This is a retrospective study of patients, diagnosed with ASC of the uterine cervix for a 10-years period of time between January 1st, 2007, and December 31st, 2016. A total of 10,994 women were diagnosed with cancer of the uterine cervix in Bulgaria and 353 (3.2%) of them were registered with ASC. An ethical committee approval (number 414-КЕНИД/31.03.2016) was obtained from all patients who operated for cervical cancer at Department of Gynecologic Oncology, University Hospital—Pleven, Bulgaria for the study period. In this time period, no specific informed consent was used for patients from Medical University Pleven. All patients have signed a common informed consent in which they agree to provide their clinical and pathological data for research.

We divided patients into two groups:

- patients, registered in the Bulgarian National Cancer Registry (group 1);
- patients, treated at the Department of Gynaecologic Oncology, University Hospital in Plevna, Bulgaria (group 2).

Information and tumor characteristics of patients, treated at the Medical University Pleven (group 2), were obtained from the patients’ medical records. These characteristics for the group of patients from the BNCR (group 1) were extracted from the available data from the Registry. Standard follow-up procedures for both groups 1 and 2 included clinical examinations, abdominal ultrasound, X-ray of the chest and blood tests every 3 months during the first 2 years, every six months between third and fifth year and then annually; annual whole-body CT screening.

Ethical committee for research in science of Medical University Pleven approval (#414-REC/31.03.2016) was obtained to perform the study.

Pathologic Characteristics
We collected data for age, tumor stage at diagnosis, tumor grade and TNM and FIGO classification. To classify and stage the tumors, the 6th edition of the TNM classification and FIGO classification 2009 was used. For group 2 patients, pathological tests were done on archival paraffin-embedded tissues and the diagnoses were centrally reconfirmed based on H&E stained slides. Characteristics of patients, registered for the study period at the BNCR, are shown on Table 1.

ASC is rarely seen before the age of 40 and after the age of 70 years. Most commonly, it is diagnosed in 1st stage and with negative lymph nodes. Still for more than 20% of patients, the status of the lymph nodes remains unknown according to data from the BNCR.
For the same period of time at Medical University Pleven 31 patients have been diagnosed with ASC of the uterine cervix. All cases were centrally reviewed to reconfirm diagnosis.

**Statistical Analysis**

All patients were followed up until April 1st, 2020. The survival was estimated only in patients with only one cancer primary, who had survival longer than 1 month after diagnosis. Statistical analysis was performed by SPSS, version 24.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL). The Kaplan–Meier analysis with Log rank test was used to test for significance. Comparison between the two groups of patients was performed in R with ggplot2 library using \( t \)-test to investigate the significance. The significance level of the p value was set to 0.05 for a two-tailed analysis.

**Results**

During the 10 years of the study period, A total of 10,994 women have been diagnosed with cancer of the uterine cervix in Bulgaria and were analyzed as group 1. In total, 353 (3.2%) of them had ASC. Distribution of patients, according to the year of diagnosis and the prevalence of ASC is shown in Figure 1.

The median follow-up time for patients in both analysis groups, still alive at the time of this analysis, was 99.6 months. The 5-years OS rate of all patients with ASC was 50.5%. Among all registered cases of ASC, there were 171 (48.4%) T1 tumors, and 100 (58.5%) of them have received both surgery and radiotherapy. The 5-year survival rate calculated for patients followed up for more than 5 years, was 67.1%. The median OS was not reached during the follow-up time. The lymph node status was a significant prognostic factor for OS (Figure 2, Log rank, \( p=0.001 \)).

Among all T1 tumors from the Registry, 31 (8.8%) were surgically treated at the Department of Gynecologic Oncology, University Hospital in Pleven. Those are 4.97% of all FIGO stage I patients treated at our department and 84% of all diagnosed ASC (in all stages). Among those 31 patients, 20 (64.5%) were with FIGO stage IB1, and 11 (35.5%) were with FIGO stage IB2. All have undergone radical hysterectomy with total pelvic lymph node dissection, followed by postoperative radiotherapy.

Characteristics of both patients registered at the National Registry and those treated in our department are given in Table 2. When we compared the two groups of patients, those in group 2 who were treated at our institution were significantly younger \( (p=0.021) \) with insignificantly lower tumor grade and less involved lymph nodes (Figure 3).

Further stratification of the patients in group 1, according to tumor size, menopausal status and lymph nodes is shown in Table 3. This information was not available for patients registered in the National Registry.

More than half of the patients in group 2 are premenopausal - 51.6% and 32.3% are postmenopausal. The most common size of the tumor is <2 cm (45.2%), followed by >4 cm (35.5%). Positive lymph nodes were detected in 32.2%. The 5-year observed OS is 74.19%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients’ Characteristics</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (mean)</td>
<td>54.8 (26–88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age &lt;40</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–69</td>
<td>246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;70</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNM Stage I</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNM Stage II</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNM Stage III</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNM Stage IV</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown TNM Stage</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown T</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N0</td>
<td>199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown N</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1 at diagnosis</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown G</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>353</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Discussion

The incidence of ASC among all patients from the National Cancer Registry is 3.2% and among patients with T1 treated at our department is 4.97%. Results are similar and in the range of the previously reported in the literature incidence rates. Diagnosis of ASC of the cervix might be difficult and often patients are reclassified after the first diagnosis. This may explain the difference in the incidence rate of ASC in our department and at the national level.

The observed 5-year OS of all 353 patients, diagnosed with ASC for the study period, is 50.5%, which is lower than the 5-year survival of all cervical carcinomas from the same period of time in Bulgaria. This gives us even indirect evidence that the prognosis patients with ASC of the uterine cervix is worse compared to the prognosis of patients with other histology.1

From all 31 patients surgically treated at the Department of Gynecologic Oncology, University Hospital in Pleven, Bulgaria, 20 (64.5%) were diagnosed with a tumor size <4 cm, and 14 (45.2%) were with tumors <2 cm. This evidence supports the concept that screening programs benefit early detection of this carcinoma type, in contrast to AC. Metastatic lymph nodes were found in 10 patients (32.2%); in 6 (60%) of the cases, tumor size was <4 cm, and in 2 cases the tumors’ size was up to 2 cm and between 2 and 4 cm, respectively. This is in line with the fact that large tumor size increases the risk of lymphogenous metastasis. Five-year observed OS was estimated at 74.19%, which is a significantly lower rate than previously reported 92%.
survival for the first stage.\textsuperscript{21} This is due to the fact that our patients were clinically diagnosed as stage I and subsequently restaged based on the identification of metastatic lymph nodes. Regardless of the small number of patients, we believe that ASC has a worse prognosis than SCC.

Ten (32.2\%) of the patients from our Department have died during the follow-up time. Six of them have lymphatic metastases. In 4 of these cases, tumor size was >4 cm. In the group of deceased cases without lymphatic metastases, one case was with a tumor size >4 cm. From all patients who died during the follow up 7 were with lymphatic metastases and/or tumor size >4 cm, ie high-risk patients. All patients underwent postoperative radiotherapy. Despite that the survival rate is comparatively low, which makes it suggest that high-risk ASC patients should be treated more aggressively. Performing postoperative radiotherapy could be one of the reasons for the better outcome observed in our patients. The information for tumor size in millimeters is not available at the National Cancer Registry database and this could also affect survival differences observed between patients treated in our department and patients treated elsewhere. Clinical behavior of ASC, as demonstrated in our case series, confirms that ASC is to some extent closer to SCC, rather than to AC, but nonetheless has its own specific features, including worse prognosis and higher lymphogenous metastatic potential.

Management in oncology is continuously evolving, with major advances in recent years. In the past, when surgery was the initial and frequently the only treatment modality, initial clinical staging of the disease was used to guide the management of patients. Other treatment modalities were referred to as either adjuvant or neoadjuvant as surgery was considered the only radical modality. In oncology, the biology-driven approach is becoming more recognized and it is incorporated into the clinical strategy initially at diagnosis.

With the development of the technology, the imaging and the radiotherapy, and the introduction of systemic

---

**Table 2** Characteristics of Patients with T1 ASC, Registered at the Bulgarian National Cancer Register and Patients Treated Department of Gynecologic Oncology, University Hospital in Plevens (2007–2016)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics of Patients T1</th>
<th>National Cancer Registry (Group 1) n (%)</th>
<th>UMHAT “D-r Georgi Stranski” Plevens (Group 2) n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>51.9 (26–88)</td>
<td>48 (29–62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N0</td>
<td>127 (74.3%)</td>
<td>21 (71%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1</td>
<td>25 (14.6%)</td>
<td>10 (22.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown N</td>
<td>19 (11.1%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>2 (1.2%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2</td>
<td>69 (40.3%)</td>
<td>15 (48.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3</td>
<td>35 (20.5)</td>
<td>4 (12.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown G</td>
<td>65 (38%)</td>
<td>12 (38.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3** Characteristics of Patients with T1 ASC, Treated at the Department of Gynecologic Oncology, University Hospital—Plevens, Bulgaria (2007–2016)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients’ Characteristics</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Premenopausal</td>
<td>16 (51.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perimenopausal</td>
<td>5 (16.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postmenopausal</td>
<td>10 (32.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of primary tumor &lt; 2 cm, n (%)</td>
<td>14 (45.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of primary tumor 2–4 cm, n (%)</td>
<td>6 (19.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size of primary tumor &gt; 4 cm, n (%)</td>
<td>11 (35.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive lymph nodes (N+)</td>
<td>10 (32.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-year observed survival</td>
<td>74.19%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 3** Comparison of patients, treated at UMHAT “D-r Georgi Stranski” Plevens with all other patients treated in Bulgaria for the study period by age (A), tumor grade (B) and lymph node involvement (C).
treatment (chemo- and targeted therapy), prognosis of cervical cancer patients has improved. It has been established that different pathophysiology has a prognostic significance and thus may become an important factor in selecting patients for escalation or de-escalation of treatment. SCC histology is also a predictive factor of a better response to radiotherapy, including in the palliative setting. Since AC and SCC have different precursor lesions, hence their management and response to therapy differ. It is considered that early vaccination against HPV types, associated with higher risk for SCC, may contribute to a significant decrease in morbidity and thus decreased mortality for SCC. While anti-HPV-vaccination and screening programs, especially those including liquid-based cytology and DNA-HPV tests lead to a decline in both morbidity and mortality due to SCC, the same is not applicable to AC.

Both malignant glandular and squamous differentiation should be present for a tumor to be classified as adenosquamous carcinoma. It should be distinguished from invasive stratified mucin-producing carcinoma, invasive stratified mucin-producing carcinoma with components, and HPV-associated adenocarcinomas with benign-appearing squamous metaplasia. Until 2014, when WHO introduced criteria for accurate diagnosis of ASC, some of the above tumor types and less distinct subtypes were classified as ASC type. Currently, ASC type group includes glassy cell carcinoma and mucoepidermoid carcinoma. Data confirm the monoclonal origin of ASC, differentiating it as a distinct biological entity from both SCC and AC. Precursors to this carcinoma type are high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (HSIL) and adenocarcinoma in situ (ACIS) with frequent detection of Human Papilloma Virus types 16 and 18 related to them. Vaccination is considered to reduce the incidence of ASC due to the presence of these particular HPV types. However, despite the increasing incidence of AC of the uterine cervix worldwide, the incidence of ASC does not change. Additional evidence suggesting that ASC is closer to SCC than to AC, is that the efficacy of cervical cancer screening programs is similar for ASC and SCC and higher than that for AC.

Furthermore, there are substantial uncertainties about the survival and prognosis of patients with ASC. Some researchers regard ASC in advanced stages with worse prognosis compared to AC as opposed to its earlier stages. Other studies do not show a definite difference in the 5-year survival of ASC, AC, and SCC of the cervix at the same stages and indicate that a worse prognosis is associated with a larger tumor size and metastatic lymph nodes. Lymphovascular space invasion is more likely to occur in patients with ASC, but there is no significant difference in the prognosis between AC and ASC patients. In patients, treated with definitive radiotherapy, those with ASC had a worse prognosis than patients with SCC, but in cases when concurrent chemoradiotherapy was used, the prognosis was similar in both groups. It is generally suggested that patients with ASC have worse prognosis than patients with SCC. Yokoi et al found that older age (>50) is an independent prognostic factor for reduced progression-free survival in patients with ASC histology.

**Conclusion**

Adenosquamous carcinoma is a rare type of cervical cancer. Screening programs may benefit its early detection. Clinically, it is closer to SCC, rather than AC. Patients with locally advanced carcinomas and/or lymphogenous metastases should undergo more aggressive postoperative treatment as these factors reduce survival.

Currently, histology-driven approach is emerging as a clinical strategy, identifying high-risk patients. Disease stage is guiding the treatment strategy, but tumor biology is an additional important prognostic and potentially predictive factor. Escalation of treatment (eg chemoradiotherapy) may improve the prognosis of patients with poor prognostic features, including adenosquamous histology. The pathophysiology of cervical carcinoma should no longer be a descriptive characteristic but rather be incorporated into the clinical management of patients.
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