An Examination of the European Union's Regulatory Framework on Ship and Port Facility Security

Alexandr Boiciuc

DISSERTATION SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILMENT OF THE DEGREE OF LL.M IN EUROPEAN AND COMPARATIVE LAW

FACULTY OF LAWS
UNIVERSITY OF MALTA
SEPTEMBER 2021



University of Malta Library – Electronic Thesis & Dissertations (ETD) Repository

The copyright of this thesis/dissertation belongs to the author. The author's rights in respect of this work are as defined by the Copyright Act (Chapter 415) of the Laws of Malta or as modified by any successive legislation.

Users may access this full-text thesis/dissertation and can make use of the information contained in accordance with the Copyright Act provided that the author must be properly acknowledged. Further distribution or reproduction in any format is prohibited without the prior permission of the copyright holder.

Abstract

The vitality of the global economy is largely dependent on the movement of ships for the transport of goods and persons by sea. At a European Union level, the single market is heavily dependent on efficient and secure maritime transport. This dissertation examines the effectiveness of the European Union regulatory framework on ship and port facility security. The work addresses why ship and port facility security are crucial for the effective operation of maritime trade within the European Union. The study identifies and examines the main European Union instruments regulating ship and port facility security, in particular, how Regulation 725/2004 and Directive 2005/65/EC has been transposed in EU legal framework. The dissertation also assesses the implementation of said instruments regulating ship and port security by selected Member States of the European Union, namely Spain and Malta. The findings of this research suggest that apart from imposing harsher punitive measures and criminalising the intent to commit a crime against a ship or a port facility, the Member States should continue working together in order to enhance ship and port facility security framework.

Keywords: European Union, Maritime Security, Ship and Port Security, ISPS Code, Port Facilities Security.

Table of Contents

Αl	bstract		I
To	able of	Contents	11
To	able of	Statutes, Judgements and Treaties	IV
		ter of the United Nations (adopted 26 June 1945, entered into force 1945) 59 Stat 1031	
A	knowl	edgments	. VII
Αl	bbrevia	rtions	VIII
G	eneral l	Introduction	2
Cł	napter :	1: Importance of International Trade for the EU's Single Market	9
	1.1.	Introduction	9
	1.2.	Competences within the EU	10
	1.3.	The Importance of International Maritime Trade for the EU's Single Mark 12	æt
	1.3.1.	The Role of EU's Port States	14
	1.3.2.	The Role of EU's Flag States	17
	1.4.	The EU's Maritime Security Strategy	19
	1.5. Stakeh	Ship and Port Facility Security within the EU and its Significance for olders	22
	1.5.1.	Seafarers	23
	1.5.2.	Shipowners	24
	1.5.3.	Port Personnel	25
	1.6.	Conclusion	27
	-	2: An Analysis of the International Ship and Port Facility Security Co	
•••			. 29
	2.1 Intr	oduction	29
	2.2	The Historical Development of the ISPS Code	29
	2.3	Objectives, Structure and Application of the ISPS Code	32
	2.4	ISPS Code Responsibilities	34
	2.4.1 C	ontracting Governments	34
2.4.2 Shipping Companies			
2.4.3 Port Facilities			
	2.5 Cor	nclusion	40
	-	3: European Union Legislation Regulating Ship and Port Facility	42
se	•	raduction	. 42
	- I INT		/1 /

3.2 Early Developments of EU's Framework on Ship and Port facility Security 42
3.3 Regulation (EC) No 725/2004 on Enhancing Ship and Port Facility Security 45
3.4 Directive 2005/65/EC on Enhancing Port Security48
3.5 Commission Regulation (EC) No 324/2008 Laying Down Revised Procedures for Conducting Commission Inspections in the Field of Maritime Security51
3.6 Conclusion
Chapter 4: Implementation of European Legislation on Ship and Port Facility Security: An Analysis of Selected EU Member States
4.1 Introduction56
4.2 Implementation of the EU Legislation on Ship and Port facility Security in the Spanish Legal System56
4.3 Implementation of the EU Legislation on Ship and Port facility Security in the Maltese Legal System60
4.4 Conclusion64
Conclusion
Bibliography

Table of Statutes, Judgements and Treaties Statutes

Judgments

/M/V/ 'Saiga' (No. 2) (Saint Vincent and the Grenadines v Guinea) (Judgment of 1 July 1999) ITLOS Reports 1999.

/M/V/ 'Virginia G' (Panama/Guinea-Bissau) (Judgement of 14th April 2014) ITLOs Press 211.

Case 26/62 Van Gend en Loos v. Nederlandse Administratie der Belastingen (1963) ECR 1.

Treaties

Consolidated Version of Treaty Establishing the European Community, Treaty of Rome (1957).

Consolidated Versions of the Treaty on European Union and the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU) (2016) OJ C202/1.

IMO (Resolution) 'The International Ship and Port Facility Security Code' (Amendment to the Annex of SOLAS, 17 December 2002) Conf Res 2 (ISPS Code).

The Charter of the United Nations (adopted 26 June 1945, entered into force 24 October 1945) 59 Stat 1031.

UNGA Convention for the Suppression of Unlawful Acts Against the Safety of Maritime Navigation (adopted 10 March 1988, entered into force 1 March 1992) (1988) No. 29004.

United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (adopted 10 December 1982, entered into force 1 November 1994) 1833 UNTS 397.

Legislation

European Union

Directives

Council Directive 2005/65/CE of 26 October 2005 on enhancing port security (2005) OJ L 310.

Council Directive 2015/1794/EU amended the scope of five EU labour law Directives by including seafarers in Directive 2008/94/EC on the protection of employees in the event of the insolvency of their employer, Directive 2009/38/EC and Directive 2002/14/EC on information and consultation of employees, Directive 98/59/EC on collective redundancies, and Directive 2001/23/EC on safeguarding employees' rights in the event of transfers of undertakings, businesses or parts of undertakings or businesses

Council Directive 2009/16/EC of 23 April 2009 on port state control (2009) OJ L 131/57 and amending Directive 2013/38/EU of 12 August 2013 (2013) OJ L 218/1 and amending Regulation (EU) No 1257/2013 of 20 November 2013 on ship recycling (2013) OJ L 330/1 and amending Regulation of 29 April 2015 on the monitoring, reporting and verification of carbon dioxide emissions from maritime transport (2015) OJ L 123/55.

Regulations

Commission Regulation (EC) No 324/2008 on procedures for conducting Commission inspections in the field of maritime security.

Council Regulation (EC) 725/2004 of 31 March 2004 on enhancing ship and port facility security (2004) OJ L129.

Commission Regulation (EC) 884/2005 of 10 June 2005 laying down procedures for conducting commission inspections in the field of maritime security (2005) OJ L148.

National

Malta

'Authority for Transport in Malta Act: Arrangement of Act, XV. 2009.49'.

'Port Security Regulations L.N 484 of 2004'.

'Port Security Regulations, S.L. 499.35'.

'Ports Security (Amendment) Regulations, L.N 3 of 2008'.

Spain

Order FOM/1194/2011, On 29 April, Which Regulates The Integrated Procedure Of Scale Of Ships In Ports Of General Interest, Original Language Title: Orden FOM/1194/2011, de 29 de abril, por la que se regula el procedimiento integrado de escala de buques en los puertos de interés general.

Real Decreto 1617/2007, de 7 de Diciembre, Por el Que se Establecen Medidas Para la Mejora de la Protección de los Puertos y del Transporte Marítimo, Official publication: Boletín Oficial del Estado (B.O.E).

Acknowledgments

I wish thank to all those who offered me assistance in the writing of this dissertation. I would also like to thank my family, colleagues and friends for their endless support and patience, in particular my parents and my fiancée.

I would like to express my deep and sincere gratitude for the assiduous guidance and supervision offered by my supervisor Dr. Felicity Attard LL.B.(Melit.), LL.M.(IMO-IMLI), M.A.(Lond.), LL.D.(Melit.), Ph.D.(IMO-IMLI). Dr. Attard's energy, vision, sincerity and motivation have left an indelible impression on me. She taught me the methodology to conduct research and present the findings in a clear and organized manner. Working and studying under her direction was a great privilege.

I also wish to thank the Dean of the Faculty of Law Dr. Ivan Mifsud LL.D., Ph.D., Dr. Ivan Sammut B.A.(Melit.), LL.D.(Melit.), M.Jur.(Melit.), M.A.(Birm.), LL.M.(Bruges), Ph.D.(Lond.), Dr. Jelena Agranovska B.A.(Hons)(Moscow), LL.M.(Lond.), Ph.D.(Lond.) and in particular Dr. Carmelo Mifsud Bonnici LL.D. for being an inspirational role model.

Finally, I also wish to recognize the assistance and support I have received from the staff of the Faculty of Laws and of the International Maritime Law Institute (IMLI).

Abbreviations

CJEU Court of Justice of the European Union

CLC International Convention on Civil Liability for Oil Pollution

Damage

CSO Company Security Officer

DUE Documento Unico de Escala

EMSA European Maritime Safety Agency

EP European Parliament
EU European Union

EUMSS European Union Maritime Security Strategy

EUROSTAT European Statistical office For Ships

GT Gross Tonnage

ILO International Labour OrganizationIMLI International Maritime Law InstituteIMO International Maritime Organization

ISPS Regulation Regulation (EC) 725/2004

ISPS International Ship and Port Facility Security Code

LN Legal Notice

MARPOL The International Convention for Prevention of Marine Pollution

MARSEC Maritime Security Regulatory Committee

MS Member State

PFSA Port Facility Security Assessment
PFSO Port Facility Security Officer
PFSP Port Facility Security Plan

PSC Port State Control PSP Port Security Plan

Royal Decree Royal Decree number 1617/2007
RSO Recognized Security Organization

SOLAS The International Convention for the Safety of Life at Sea

SSA Ship Security Assessment
SSC Ship Security Certificate
SSO Ship Security Officer

STCW The International Convention on Standards of Training,

Certification and Watchkeeping for Seafarers

The Directive Directive 2005/65/CE UK United Kingdom UN United Nations

UNCLOS The United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea UNCTAD United Nations Conference on Trade and Development

UNGA United Nations General Assembly

USD United States Dollar

General Introduction

From the earliest recorded history, the oceans had served for trade and commerce.¹ Today, around 80 percent of international trade is still carried by sea.² The vitality of the global economy is largely dependent on the movement of ships for the transport of goods and persons by sea. From a regional perspective, within the European Union (EU) the single market is also heavily dependent on efficient maritime transport. It has been reported that 90% of EU's external trade and more than 40% of its internal trade is carried by sea.³ In 2019, according to European Statistical office (EUROSTAT), EU ports handled close to 3.6 billion tons of freight.⁴

The international community relies on maritime security in order to protect the safety of life at sea and the efficiency of global maritime trade. Despite the lack of a universal definition of the term maritime security under international law, ⁵ this concept can generally be described as a regime used to combat willful and unlawful acts against ships. ⁶ According to Hawkes' established definition, maritime security encompasses:

...those measures employed by owners, operators and administrators of vessels, port facilities, offshore installations, and other marine organizations or establishments to protect against threats, seizure, sabotage, piracy, pilferage, annoyance or surprise.⁷

¹ Bruce Farthing, International Shipping: An introduction to the policies, politics and institutions of the maritime world (Lloyd's of London Press 1987) 1.

² Michael Richardson, A Time Bomb for Global Trade: Maritime-related Terrorism in an Age of Weapons of Mass Destruction (ISEAS 2004) 36.

³ Isabel Novo-Corti, Fernando Gonzales-Laxe, 'Maritime Transport and Trade: The Impact of European Transport Policy. An Overview of Maritime Freight Transport Patterns' (2009) XII (1) ERS 132, 135.

⁴ EUROSTAT, 'Maritime freight and vessels statistics' (2020) < https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php?title=Maritime_ports_freight_and_passenger_statistics&oldid=218671 > accessed on 13 June 2021.

⁵ Felicity Attard, 'IMO's Contribution to International Law Regulating Maritime Security' (2014) 45 (4) JMLC 479, 494; UNGA, 'Report on the Oceans and the law of the sea: Report of the Secretary General' UN Doc. A/63/63 (2008) para 39.

⁶ Philippe Boisson, *Safety at Sea: Policies, Regulations and International law* (Bureau Veritas 1999) 154.

⁷ Kenneth Hawkes, *Maritime Security* (Cornell Maritime Press 1989) 9.

Whilst Klein bases her definition of maritime security on the 'existing or potential threats' tied to the *mare liberum* concept,⁸ Kraska and Pedrozo refers to it as to 'a stable order of the oceans subject to the rule of law at sea' which requires 'littoral and coastal States, landlocked States, flag States, and port States to work in concert with international organizations and the maritime industry'.⁹

Indeed, maritime security has long been a top priority on the EU's agenda. 10 For example, in 2008, the EU Commission adopted a Regulation on procedures for performing inspections in the field of maritime security for the purpose of ensuring that the Member States (MS) are implementing effective measures, procedures and structures. 11 Since shipping is crucial for the EU's economy, the progressive development and improvement of its maritime security policies remains a crucial part of the Union's objectives. In 2014, the EU Union's Maritime Security Strategy (EUMSS)¹² was introduced as a response to increasing maritime security challenges facing States in the region. The strategy provides a comprehensive approach to maritime security which balances various interests ranging from the freedom of navigation, economic demands, to border security and the conservation of marine biodiversity. 13 The strategy was developed to ensure effective and cost-efficient responses to the protection of the maritime domain, including borders, ports and offshore installations, in order to secure sea borne trade, address potential threats from unlawful and illicit activities at sea, as well as to make optimal use of the sea's potential for growth and jobs, whilst safeguarding the marine environment. The EUMSS, being the most comprehensive EU strategy to date, focusses on protecting maritime infrastructure, defending EU maritime

⁸ Natalie Klein, Maritime Security and the Law of the Sea (Oxford University Press 2011) 11.

⁹ James Kraska and Raul Pedrozo, *International Maritime Security Law* (Martinus Nijhoff Publishers 2013) 16; Martin Robson, 'Maritime Security and the Law of the Seas' (2014) 14 (4) Defence Studies 414.

¹⁰ European Commission, 'Second Report on the Implementation of the EU Maritime Security Strategy Action Plan' (Brussels, 2017) 3 https://data.consilium.europa.eu/doc/document/ST-10398-2017-INIT/en/pdf accessed on 15 June 2021.

¹¹ Commission Regulation (EC) 324/2008 9 April 2008 laying down revised procedures for conducting Commission inspections in the field of maritime security (2008) OJ L98, Recital 7 and Article 18.

¹² European Union Maritime Security Strategy, 11205/14, adopted by the General Affairs Council on 24 June 2014.

¹³ European Commission, 'Report on the implementation of the revised EU Maritime Security Strategy Action Plan' (Brussels, 2020) 3 https://ec.europa.eu/oceans-and-fisheries/ocean/blue-economy/other-sectors/maritime-security-strategy_en accessed on 17 June 2021.

interests, in adherence with and respect for international rules and principles. ¹⁴ Ultimately, it is constructed as an approach that ensures respect for the sovereignty of the MS without creating new costs or policies. ¹⁵

At an international level, the major institutionalized source of maritime security law rules have been developed by States under the auspices of the International Maritime Organization (IMO). The IMO is the United Nations (UN) specialized agency, ¹⁶ responsible for the safety and security of international shipping and the protection of the marine environment from pollution from ships. ¹⁷ The IMO has developed a multifaceted international regulatory framework addressing various aspects of maritime security, in particular, port security. ¹⁸ Maritime ports, besides being an important border control points, are crucial intermodal nodes in the freight and passenger transport infrastructure. Port security is considered to be the cornerstone of various international maritime transport security regimes whereby the protection of port users, public as well as the protection of the maritime vessels are of paramount importance.

Enhancing port security against maritime security threats became increasingly important following the September 11, 2001. ¹⁹ In the wake of the 9-11 terrorist attacks, the IMO's Maritime Safety Committee began to develop new ship and port security regulations. This process led to the adoption of the International Ship and Port Facility

-

¹⁴ Lennart Landman, *The EU Maritime Security Strategy Promoting or Absorbing European Defence Cooperation?* (Clingendael 2015) 2.

¹⁵ Ferdinand Bous, EDN Analysis: The development of a European naval strategy (European Defence Network 2020) 7 https://e-d-n.eu/wp-content/uploads/2021/04/The-development-of-a-European-Naval-Strategy.pdf accessed on 20 June 2021.

¹⁶ The Charter of the United Nations (adopted 26 June 1945, entered into force 24 October 1945) 59 Stat 1031.

¹⁷ Kitack Lim, 'The Role of the International Maritime Organization in Preventing the Pollution of the World's Oceans from Ships and Shipping' (2017) UN Chronicle

https://www.un.org/en/chronicle/article/role-international-maritime-organization-preventing-pollution-worlds-oceans-ships-and-shipping accessed on 21 June 2021.

¹⁸ Shakeel B. Burthoo-Barah, Verena Tandrayen-Raghoobur, 'Maritime Security and Piracy in Mauritius' in Khalid Bichou and others (eds), *Maritime Transport Security: Issues, Challenges and National Policies* (Edward Elgar Publishing Limited 2013) 267-270; Chris Trelawny, 'The International Maritime Organization (IMO) and civil maritime security in ports' in Patrick Chaumette (eds), *Economic challenge and new maritime risks management: What blue growth?* (GOMILEX 2017) 49, 51.

¹⁹ John F. Frittelli, 'Port and Maritime Security: Background and Issues for Congress' in Jonathon P. Vesky (eds), *Port and Maritime Security* (Nova Science Publishers 2008) 1.

Security Code (ISPS Code) in 2002, as an amendment to the International Convention on the Safety of Life at Sea (SOLAS). ²⁰ The Code, adopted and implemented within a record 2 year period, ²¹ provided the first international regime that focuses on preventive security measures to protect ships and port facilities. ²² The ISPS Code has been transposed into the EU framework by the Regulation 725/2004 (ISPS Regulation), ²³ successively, extended into the whole port area by the Directive 2005/65/CE (the Directive). ²⁴

It should be noted that EU ports are increasingly under threat from crimes such as drug smuggling,²⁵ stowaways,²⁶ cargo theft,²⁷ piracy and terrorism.²⁸ Other threats which have been recognized by the EU Commission, and relevant in the context of port security are: irregular migration by sea,²⁹ human trafficking and the smuggling of tobacco,³⁰

²⁰ IMO (Resolution) 'The International Ship and Port Facility Security Code' (Amendment to the Annex of SOLAS, 17 December 2002) Conf Res 2 (ISPS Code). The ISPS Code is contained in 1974 SOLAS, Annex, Chapter XI-2 on special measures to enhance maritime security.

²¹ Prakash Metaparti, 'Rhetoric, rationality and reality in post-9/11 maritime security' (2007) 37 (7) Maritime Policy and Managemen, 723.

²² Alexandros M. Goulielmos and Agisilaos A. Anastasakos, 'Worldwide security measures for shipping, seafarers and ports: An impact assessment of ISPS Code' (2005) 14 (4) Disaster Prevention and Management 462, 463.

²³ Council Regulation (EC) 725/2004 of 31 March 2004 on enhancing ship and port facility security (2004) OJ L129.

²⁴ Council Directive 2005/65/CE of 26 October 2005 on enhancing port security (2005) OJ L 310.

²⁵ Chih-Hao Wen, Ping-Yu Hsu, Ming-Shien Cheng, 'Applying intelligent methods in detecting maritime smuggling' (2017) 19 Maritime Economics & Logistics 573, 578; Basil Germond, 'The EU's security and the sea: defining a maritime security strategy' (2011) European Security 20 (4) 563, 576; European Commission, 'Communication from the commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions: EU Agenda and Action Plan on Drugs 2021-2025' (Brussels, 2020) 1.

https://data.consilium.europa.eu/doc/document/ST-10398-2017-INIT/en/pdf accessed on 25 June 2021.

²⁶ Rosalie Balkin, 'The International Maritime Organization and Maritime Security' (2006) 30 (1 & 2) Tulane Maritime Law Journal 1, 12.

²⁷ European Commission, 'EU Security Guidance for the European Commercial Road Freight Transport Sector' (2019) <ps://ec.europa.eu/transport/sites/default/files/roadsec-abridged-version_en.pdf> accessed on 26 June 2021.

²⁸ Martin N. Murphy, 'Small Boats, Weak States, Dirty Money: Piracy and Maritime Terrorism in the Modern World' (2011) 4 2 Journal of Strategic Security 13, 13; James Kraska, *Contemporary Maritime Piracy, International Law, Strategy and Diplomacy at Sea* (ABC-CLIO 2011) 35.

²⁹ Enkelejda Koka, Denard Veshi, 'Irregular Migration by Sea: Interception and Rescue Interventions in Light of International Law and the EU Sea Borders Regulation' (2019) 21 (1) EJML 26, 26-52.

³⁰ Pooja Theresa Stanslas, 'Transborder Human Trafficking in Malaysian Waters: Addressing the Root Causes' (2010) 41 (4) JMLC 595, 598.

alcohol and counterfeited goods.³¹ Besides being of direct concern to the EU citizens, these threats interrupt EU's integration process and have significant economic impacts for EU MS. From a purely economic perspective, the threats discussed above are seen by many criminals as a lucrative 'very successful' business where financial gains play a crucial role.³² The danger associated with these illicit activities are complex and far reaching and impinge upon human security and safety. Moreover, maritime threats increase the risks for operators which result in high costs. High costs not only increase the prices of the commodities, but also reduce the number of participants amongst the traders. The most affected are usually the small and medium enterprises which play a vital role in local, national, and global economies and are very important in job and income generation.³³ With this in mind, maritime security is now accepted as one of the necessary preconditions for an effective logistics system for the maritime supply chain, which can provide MS with high economic growth.³⁴

The EU's regulatory framework on ship and port security aims to protect the maritime link in the transport logistics chain against the risk of an attack and threats of this type. ³⁵ It has been designed to ensure the best level of preventive security possible for maritime transport, which does not come at the cost of the world trade, but rather promotes and enhances it. The contribution of the EU in the field of maritime security adds additional value to the international legal framework on ship and port facility. The incorporation of IMO rules into the EU's legal framework ensures their 'harmonized application' across all EU MS. In addition, the EU aids to improve international standards by initiating and contributing directly to their development and adoption at international level. ³⁶

³¹ Carina Bruwer, 'Smuggling and Trafficking of Illicit Goods by Sea' in L. Otto (Ed), 'Global Challenges in Maritime Security' (Springer 2020) 49, 53.

³² UNSC 'Piracy off Somali Coast Not Only Criminal, but Very Successful, Security Council Hears, Cautioned There Could Be No Peace at Sea without Stability on Land' (18 November 2009) Press Release SC 9793.

³³ Ali Asgary, Ali Ihsan Ozdemir, Hale Özyürek, 'Small and Medium Enterprises and Global Risks: Evidence from Manufacturing SMEs in Turkey' (2020) 11 Int J Disaster Risk Sci 59, 60.

³⁴ Oktay Çetin, Mesut Can Köseoğlu, 'A Study on the Classification of Maritime Security Threat Topics' (2020) 7 (3) IJEG 365, 366.

Angela Carpenter, 'Security and Europe's Sea Ports: threats and issues facing maritime gateways to Europe' in Maria O'Neill and others (eds), *New Challenges for the EU Internal Security Strategy* (Cambridge Scholars 2013) 58-59.

Safety and Environment | Mobility and Transport (europa.eu) <://ec.europa.eu/transport/modes/maritime/safety_en> accessed on 27 June 2021.

Research Questions and Chapter Outline

The aim of the dissertation is to analyse the adequacy and effectiveness of the EU regulatory regime on ship and port facility security. The study seeks to examine how the main international instrument on ship and port security, the ISPS Code, has been transposed in EU legal framework. It will also assess how EU instruments regulating ship and port security have been implemented by selected EU MS.

The first chapter will outline the importance of international trade for the EU's single market. It will address why ship and port facility security are crucial for the effective operation of maritime trade within the Union and for the stakeholders such as seafarers, ship owners, and port personnel.

The second chapter will provide an in-depth examination of the ISPS Code. In this respect, it will address the structure, application and main objectives of this international instrument. This Chapter will also identify and analyse the major international obligations and responsibilities imposed on different States under the Code.

The third chapter will identify and examine the main EU instruments regulating ship and port facility security. In this respect, it will determine to what extent, if at all, does this framework go beyond international obligations found in the ISPS Code.

The fourth chapter will address the State practice of selected EU countries concerning the implementation of the relevant EU instruments on ship and port security and determine whether they have necessary legal infrastructure in place.

The dissertation will conclude by presenting the key findings of the study. It will also provide a number of proposals which may contribute to further development and improvement of ship and port security within the EU.

Research Methodology

The proposed study will implement a research methodology which is largely desk-based, focusing on an examination of relevant international and regional instruments and national legislation. Research on these primary sources will be supplemented by information obtained from secondary sources including reports from reputable international and regional organizations, journal articles and books. Research visits will be undertaken at the facilities of the University of Malta Main Library, Faculty of Laws Library and the IMO International Maritime Law Institute (IMLI) Library.

Literature Review

The primary sources relied upon includes EU legislation, international conventions, agreements and domestic laws of MS such as the Maltese and Spanish. Official reports, reasoned opinions and commentaries of the EU institutions were also essential for this project. Books, journal articles (printed and electronic), reports, newsletters, and material from online sources all contributed in conducting an indebt analysis on the research question. The writings of maritime security law experts such as James Kraska and Raul Pedrozo, Natalie Klein, Jan Engel de Boer, Lennart Landman, and Peter Lehr were acknowledged and duly referenced. The facilities of the University of Malta library, the Faculty of Laws Library, the National Library of Malta, and the IMO International Maritime Law Institute library were all used for research for this dissertation.

Chapter 1: Importance of International Trade for the EU's Single Market

1.1. Introduction

The European market, also referred to as 'common market' or 'single market' or 'internal market', lies at the foundation of the European integration process and is the key segment of the EU from its inception.³⁷ It aims to eliminate any existing barriers to trade and achieve a market without any borders or internal frontiers.³⁸ Once eliminated, the market constituted of the 27 MS will create a superior body which will then require a great degree of uniformity and legal harmonization.³⁹ Naturally, the harmonization will prevent the MS from incorporating laws into their national statute other than those set out in the applicable EU act.⁴⁰

In relation to the single market in maritime transport, the harmonization of norms governing ship and port facility is necessary for proper operation of maritime trade within the Union. The said harmony could be easier achieved if the EU enjoyed exclusive competence across the board, which is not the case. In fact, MS frequently do not tolerate giving up their competence because they perceive it as a constraint of their sovereignty, therefore competence is frequently shared.⁴¹

Maritime navigation and trade by sea predates the formation of the EU single market by centuries.⁴² Merchants have historically relied on navigation through the territorial and internal waters into ports, to conduct maritime trade, despite the fact that land

³⁷ Laurence W. Gormley, 'Competition and Free Movement: Is the Internal Market the Same as a Common Market?' (2002) 13 (6) EBLR 517, 518.

³⁸ Consolidated versions of the Treaty on European Union and the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU) (2016) OJ C202/1, Article 26(2); Kamiel J. Mortelmans, 'The Common Market, the Internal Market, the Single Market, what's in a market?' (1998) 35 (1) CMLR 101, 134.

³⁹ Andrew McGee, Stephen Weatherill, 'The Evolution of the Single Market – Harmonization and Liberalization' (1990) 53 (5) The Modern Law Review 578, 579.

⁴⁰ Werner Schroeder, 'Limits to European Harmonisation of Criminal Law' (2020) 20 (2) EUCRIM 144, 145.

⁴¹ Niki Aloupi, 'The Conseil Constitutionnel's Jurisprudence on 'Limitations of Sovereignty' in Helmut Philipp Aust and Thomas Kleinlein (eds), *Encounters between Foreign Relations Law and International Law: Bridges and Boundaries* (Cambridge University Press 2021) 167.

⁴² Wim Blockmans, Mikhail Krom, Justyna Wubs-Mrozewicz, 'Maritime Trade Around Europe 1300-1600: Commercial Networks and Urban Autonomy' in Wim Blockmans and others (eds.), *The Routlege Hadbook of Maritime Trade Around Europe 1300-1600* (Routlege 2017) 1.

routes were easier to control and protect in some regions.⁴³ With the advent of the EU single market, maritime navigation gained increased importance. Nevertheless, it is argued that it still remains incomplete in some sectors including shipping.⁴⁴

Being regulated at the Union level, the maritime industry is governed by the legal framework designed to ensure a safe and coherent environment for both EU and foreign actors. However, the absence of exclusive competence in particular sectors, such as transportation, fisheries, and industry, presents challenges in the harmonization process, which, at times, hinders maritime trade from maintaining high standards across the EU and weakens the European market's basic principles. Horizontal Chapter aims to examine the competences within the EU and highlight the role that the international trade plays in the EU's single market. It outlines the efforts that have been spearheaded by the EU to protect maritime trade. It examines the role of key players such as EU port and flag State in protecting ship and port facility security within the Union, and finally addresses its significance for stakeholders concerned.

1.2. Competences within the EU

The European legal order's strength is often attributed to a set of 'revolutionary' principles developed by the Court of Justice of European Union (CJEU) in 1960's. ⁴⁷ Early developments of such principles are evident in *Van Gend En Loose* case, whereby the Court held that 'the Community constitutes a new legal order of international law'. ⁴⁸ The statement paved the way for the fundamental principles of supremacy and of direct effect, which were justified on the basis of the Rome Treaty's 'purpose, spirit, and

⁴³ Ayse Devrim Atauz, *Eight Thousand Years of Maltese Maritime History: Trade, Piracy, and Naval Warfare in the Central Mediterranean* (University Press Florida 2008) 42.

⁴⁴ ECSA, A Single Market for Shipping – Time to Make it Happen (2017) <ps://www.ecsa.eu/news/single-market-shipping-time-make-it-happen> accessed on 29 June 2021.

⁴⁵ Ljupco Sotiroski, 'The EU and International legal Framework in Maritime Safety' (2016) 25 (1), 297, 299.

⁴⁶ Hamed Alavi, 'The European Union external competencies and maritime industry' (2018) 8 (SI) Judicial Tribune128, 129.

⁴⁷ William Phelan, 'The Revolutionary Doctrines of European Law and the Legal Philosophy of Robert Lecourt' (2017) 28 (3) EJIL 935, 936.

⁴⁸ Case 26/62 Van Gend en Loos v. Nederlandse Administratie der Belastingen (1963) ECR 1.

wording'. ⁴⁹ The Court held that the under this new legal order, there may be some limitations on State sovereignty, albeit in limited areas. ⁵⁰ The goal was to bring MS closer together and harmonize their national laws so that they could be applied in a uniform manner and to the same extent across the Union. ⁵¹ In essence, the EU's doctrines of the supremacy and the direct effect are both founded on the EU's competence in certain areas. ⁵² In this regard, one of the most unusual features in the debate over institutional changes and treaty revision has been the division of competences between the EU and its MS. ⁵³ From an economic standpoint, the concept of a single market would be jeopardized if it were to be governed by various national laws from each MS at the same time. Thus, the concept of competence is crucial for the proper functioning of the EU.

The competence of the Union can be generally described as the area of activity entrusted to the EU by the MS. The notion of competence, according to Bulygin, is both important and elusive, yet capable of changing legal relationships.⁵⁴ Hohfeld describes the competence as affirmative 'control' over a given legal relation.⁵⁵ In general terms, the competences may take three different forms.⁵⁶ The exclusive competence, such as custom Union, common commercial policy and common fishery policy, being the first form, implies that only the EU has the power to legislate and enact binding acts.⁵⁷ As a result, MSs are deprived of their authority in specific policy areas.⁵⁸ The second form refers to shared competencies, in which the EU and MS have the authority to enact and

_

⁴⁹ Consolidated Version of Treaty Establishing the European Community, Treaty of Rome (1957); Ian Loveland, *Constitutional Law, Administrative Law, and Human Rights: A Critical Introduction* (8th Edition, Oxford University Press 2018) 293.

⁵⁰ Van Gend en Loos (n 49), 48.

⁵¹ Morten Rasmussen, 'Revolutionizing European law: A history of the Van Gend en Loos judgment' (2014) 12 (1) International Journal of Constitutional Law 136, 155.

⁵² Adrian Berski, 'Which Doctrine has had the Bigger Impact on EU law, Direct Effect or Supremacy?' (2016) Report prepared for School of Languages, Law and Social Sciences, Technological University Dublin, 1.

⁵³ Julie Smith and Camila Soar, 'Division of Competences in the European Union: Strategy Paper' (European Programme Royal Institute of International Affairs Ghatham House 2002) 2.

⁵⁴ Eugenio Bulygin, 'On Norms of Competence' (1992) 11(3) Law and Philosophy 201, 201.

⁵⁵ Wesley Hohfeld, 'Some Fundamental Legal Conceptions As Applied in Judicial Reasoning', (1913) 23 (16) Yale Law Journal 28, 55.

⁵⁶ Marise Cremona, 'EU External Relations: Unity and Conferal of Powers' in Loic Azoulai (eds.), *The Question of Competence in the European Union* (Oxford University Press 2014) 74-80.

⁵⁷ TFEU (n 38), Article 3.

⁵⁸ Markus Klamert, 'Common Provisions: Article 3-5' in Markus Klamert and others (eds.), *Commentary on the EU: Treaties and the Charter of Fundamental Rights* (Oxford University Press 2019) 71.

implement legally binding acts.⁵⁹ Internal market, regional policy, transportation, and the environment are examples of shared competence areas.⁶⁰ The third form pertains to the area of supporting competences where the power of State and of the Union coexist but subject to the principle of subsidiarity.⁶¹ Industry, culture, tourism, and education all fall under this category.⁶² Even while the allocation of competencies appears straightforward in principle, it is a contentious and sensitive subject in practice.⁶³

The discussion of competencies, in the authors' view, is quite important for this research work. Because the subject matter is ship and port facility security, it's critical to know who has the authority to legislate in such important areas like common commercial policy, common fisheries policy, environment, industry and transport which are intrinsically linked to the maritime security.

1.3. The Importance of International Maritime Trade for the EU's Single Market

The EU is one of the world's most progressive economies, accounting for some 25 per cent of the global economy and 40 per cent of world trade in goods and services.⁶⁴ Having the largest single market area, EU ensures free trade amongst all 27 MS and is participating in the world trade on their behalf. ⁶⁵ Such mandate is ensured by EU's

⁻

⁵⁹ Robert Schutze, 'EU Competences: Existence and Exercise' in Anthony Amull and others (eds), *The Oxford Handbook of European Union Law* (Oxford University Press 2015) 75.

⁶⁰ TFEU (n 38), Article 4.

⁶¹ John Tillotson, Nigel Foster, *Text, Cases and Materials on European Union Law* (4th Edition, Cavendish Publishing Limited 2003) 54; Gerard Conway, 'Conflict of Competence Norms in EU Law and the Legal Reasoning of the ECJ' (2010) 11(9) German Law Journal 967, 988.

⁶² TFEU (n 38), Article 6.

⁶³ Sacha Garben, 'Competence Creep Revisited' (2017) 57 (3-4) JCMS 1,1.

⁶⁴ Don Kenyon, Pierre van der Eng, 'Australia and the EU: Partners in the New Trade Agenda' in Ann Marie Elijah and others (eds), *Australia, the European Union and the New Trade Agenda* (ANU Press 2017) 258.
65 Johan Bjerkem, Malcolm Harbour, Making the Single Market work: Launching a 2022 masterplan for Europe (EPC 2019) 6,

https://www.epc.eu/content/PDF/2019/190828_MakingSingleMarketwork_JB.pdf accessed on 2 August 2021.

exclusive competence in the trade policy.⁶⁶ Acting for 27 MS, the Union has one of the largest world trading power which is vital for international trade participation.⁶⁷

To be able to maintain its position on international plane, the EU must ensure coherent and safe environment throughout the Union. Shipping for example, often referred to as one of the most valuable assets of the EU,⁶⁸ is one of the most pivotal elements of the European economy and is fundamental to the prosperity of the region.⁶⁹ Since almost 90 per cent of the external freight trade is seaborne,⁷⁰ the health and security of maritime industry is of a great concern for the EU.⁷¹

Maritime transport not only interlinks all EU MS but also, being one of the least expensive modes of transport,⁷² is a key medium for EU's international imports and exports. ⁷³ Supply of energy, ⁷⁴ liquid and dry bulk products, ⁷⁵ food, ⁷⁶ and other commodities are mostly carried by ships. ⁷⁷ Given the crucial role that international shipping plays in the EU and world trade in general, ⁷⁸ the oceans, the ships, the ports, and the stakeholders need to be kept safe and secure at all times, where both port and flag States should contribute towards this. Given the geographical location of a number of MS, such as Malta, Spain, and the Netherlands, the port State can also be the coastal

⁶⁶ TFEU (n 38), Article 207.

⁶⁷ Rafael Leal-Arcas, EU Trade Law, (EE Publishing 2019) 25.

⁶⁸ ECSA, 'Economic Value of the EU Shipping Industry, 2020' (Maritime Cyprus 1 December 2020) https://maritimecyprus.com/2020/12/01/ecsa-economic-value-of-the-eu-shipping-industry/ accessed on 2 August 2021.

⁶⁹ Herkules E Haralambides, The Shipping Policy of the European Union (1997) Center for MEL Erasmus University Roterdam, 1.

⁷⁰ Ibid 22, 462.

⁷¹ Frederich Houbie, 'MARISA: Enhancing Maritime Security Across Europe' *Maritime Logistic Professional*, (16 April 2021) https://www.maritimeprofessional.com/news/marisa-enhancing-maritime-security-across-366864 accessed on 5 August 2021.

⁷² Belay Seyoum, Export-Import Theory (3rd edn, Routledge 2017) 190.

⁷³ Felix Thompson, 'Shipping industry slams EU's proposed new emissions charge as 'extraterritorial tax on trade", *Global Trade Review* (21 July 2021).

⁷⁴ Kapil Narula, *The Maritime Dimension of Sustainable Energy Security*, (Springer 2019) 70.

⁷⁵ Ibid, 100.

⁷⁶ Ibid, 118.

⁷⁷ Ajay Menon, '8 Major Types of Cargo Transported Through the Shipping Industry' (Marine Inside 5 October 2020) https://www.marineinsight.com/types-of-ships/8-major-types-of-cargo-transported-through-the-shipping-industry/ accessed 10 August 2021.

⁷⁸ S Sudalaimuthu and Rai S. Anthony, *Logistics Management for International Businesses: Text and Cases* (PHI Learning 2009) 63.

and flag States at the same time.⁷⁹ As a result, under international and EU law, each of them has different rights and obligations.

1.3.1. The Role of EU's Port States

The EU has a coastline of 70,000 kilometers that stretches over two oceans and four seas.⁸⁰ With over 1,200 commercial seaports spread across 23 MS, the EU is one of the world's densest port regions.⁸¹ The ports of Rotterdam, Antwerp, and Hamburg are all major EU ports.⁸²

As noted by the European Commission, maritime spaces and its coasts are central to Europe's well-being and prosperity. Sea-ports and shipping allow the EU to benefit from the rapid growth of international trade and to play a leading role in the global economy, while the exploitation of mineral resources, aquaculture, blue biotech and emerging sub-sea technologies represent increasingly important business opportunities. In terms of deadweight tonnage, European maritime businesses have a beneficial ownership of 41 per cent of the global fleet. To safeguard EU's interest, the EU passed the Port State Control Directive, the substandard shipping, which

⁻

⁷⁹ Yubing Shi, *Climate Change and International Shipping: The Regulatory Framework for the Reduction of Greenhouse Gas Emissions* (Brill 2916) 288.

⁸⁰ Liu Nengye, Frank Maes 'The European Union and the International Maritime Organization: EU's External Influence on the Prevention of Vessel-Source Pollution' (2010) 41 (4) JMLC 1, 2.

⁸¹ European Commission, 'Europe's Seaports 2030: Challenges Ahead' (Brussels, 2013) 3 < https://ec.europa.eu/commission/presscorner/detail/en/MEMO_13_448> accessed on 6 August 2021;

Saurabh Sinha, '10 Major Ports in Europe' (Marine Insight, 5 July 2021) https://www.marineinsight.com/know-more/major-ports-in-europe/> last accessed on 3 August 2021.

⁸³ European Commission, 'Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions' (Brussels, 2007) 1 < https://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=COM:2007:0575:FIN:EN:PDF> accessed on 7 August 2021.

⁸⁴ Ibid 3.

⁸⁵ 'Merchant fleet: Building, ownership, registration and recycling of ships, 2019' (E-Handbook of Statistics 2020) https://stats.unctad.org/handbook/MaritimeTransport/MerchantFleet.html#ref_Unctad_2020a accessed on 11 August 2021.

⁸⁶ Council Directive 2009/16/EC of 23 April 2009 on port state control (2009) OJ L 131/57 and amending Directive 2013/38/EU of 12 August 2013 (2013) OJ L 218/1 and amending Regulation (EU) No 1257/2013 of 20 November 2013 on ship recycling (2013) OJ L 330/1 and amending Regulation of 29 April 2015 on the monitoring, reporting and verification of carbon dioxide emissions from maritime transport (2015) OJ L 123/55.

also jeopardizes marine security. It is applicable to any vessel entering any European port with priority being given to inspections.

The author will now briefly examine the international law regime regulating port areas. Internal waters are assimilated to the territory of the port/coastal State over which it exercises full sovereignty. ⁸⁷ A concomitant of this sovereignty is that power of the coastal/port State to regulate access to its ports. ⁸⁸ Furthermore, port States have a responsibility to take action against unsafe and unsecure vessels. ⁸⁹ In fact, Article 25 of United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (UNCLOS) gives States the power to take any action necessary in the territorial sea which is necessary to prevent a violation of conditions of port entry. ⁹⁰

Due to the growth of the European market and its importance on a global scale, international organizations have established a variety of standards and laws that must be followed by all maritime vessels. Failure to comply, could result in a vessel navigating the waters being deemed dangerous or carrying illicit goods, ⁹¹ risking not only people's lives but also the environment. If a foreign vessel enters the internal waters of a port State, then that State it is entitled to exercise prescriptive and enforcement jurisdiction. However, the ship will remain subject to the rules of its flag State, as discussed below, throughout the journey, even when it enters the port of another State. With the establishment of increased port State authorities, instances of States exercising 'dual' or 'concurrent' jurisdiction have become increasingly common. ⁹² Nevertheless, due to a

⁸⁷ Rene-Jean Dupuy and Daniel Vignes, *A Handbook on the New Law of the Sea* (Martinus Nijhoff Publishers 1991)1036.

⁸⁸ Chuyang Liu, *Maritime Transport Services in the Law of the Sea and the World Trade* (Thomas Gottier (ed),14th edn, International Academic Publishers) 96; Minh Duc Tran, 'An Introduction of Port State Control in Vietnam' (Master of Science dissertation, World Maritime University Sweden 1999) 7.

⁸⁹ James Kraska, 'Ship and Port Security Facility' in David J. Attard and others (eds.), *The IMLI Manual on International Maritime Law Volume III: Marine Environmental Law and Maritime Security Law* (Oxford University Press 2016) 455.

⁹⁰ United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea (adopted 10 December 1982, entered into force 1 November 1994) 1833 UNTS 397.

⁹¹ Michael Tousley, 'United States Seizure of Stateless Drug Smuggling Vessels on the High Seas: Is It Legal' (1990) 22 (2) CWRJIL 375, 387.

⁹² Arron N. Honniball, 'The Exclusive Jurisdiction of Flag States: A Limitation on Pro-active Port States?' (2016) 31 (1) IJMCL 499, 500.

lack of flag State enforcement, the formation of a secondary regime such as Port State Control (PSC) was crucial for the stakeholders.⁹³

PSC is a process concerned with the control of foreign flagged ships in national ports and has been described as 'the coastal State's most significant weapon in the fight against substandard shipping'. ⁹⁴ The major goal of PSC is to ensure safer ships and cleaner seas by preventing the substandard and unsecured vessels from sailing. According to Hare PSC involves:

... the powers and concomitant obligations vested in, exercised by, and imposed upon a national maritime authority (or its delegee) by international convention or domestic statute or both, to board, inspect and where appropriate detain, a merchant ship flying a flag foreign to that State in order to ensure compliance by that ship with all applicable international safety at sea instruments and with any domestic legislative maritime safety requirements.⁹⁵

Although complimentary, PSC is not and can never be a replacement for the flag State's appropriate implementation of its obligations. ⁹⁶ Despite its origins as a supplemental activity to flag State control, ⁹⁷ PSC is today viewed as a highly effective 'final safety net' that may be dispensed with but only in an ideal world. ⁹⁸

⁹³ Alan Knight, 'Port State Control: An Important Concept in the Safety of Life at Sea, the Protection of the Marine Environment, and of Goods in Transit' in International law Institute Canada (eds.), *The Future of Ocean Governance and Capacity Development* (Brill Nijhoff 2018) 463.

⁹⁴ James Harrison, Saving the Oceans Through Law: The International legal Framework for the Protection of the Marine Environment (Oxford University Press 2017) 156.

⁹⁵ John Hare, 'Port State Control: Strong Medicine to Cure a Sick Industry' (1997) 26 (3) GJICL Special Admiralty Issue 571, 572.

⁹⁶ Oya Özçayir, 'The Use Of Port State Control In Maritime Industry And The Application Of The Paris MOU' (2008) 14 (2) Ocean and Coastal Law Journal 201, 201.

⁹⁷ Maria Imbrol, 'The Development of Port State Control – Where are We Today' (LLD thesis, University of Malta 2015) 10.

⁹⁸ IMO, 'Port State Control' https://www.imo.org/en/OurWork/MSAS/Pages/PortStateControl.aspx accessed on 14 August 2021.

1.3.2. The Role of EU's Flag States

EU MS are also major flag State registries, for example, Malta and Greece are the biggest in EU and were included in the list of 'top ten flag States' in terms of gross tonnage. 99 The growth of the registries is accompanied by the growth of responsibilities and obligations vis a vis international community. The flag State's obligations were abruptly expanded as maritime risks such as piracy, armed robbery against ships, illegal fishing, and weapon proliferation increased globally. 100 The dramatic increase of such crimes in recent years as sea demonstrated the necessity of effective flag State implementation and enforcement of maritime security laws, at an international and regional level. Under international law, any State, whether coastal or landlocked, can serve as the flag State as long as it possesses the requisite maritime infrastructure and adheres to all generally accepted internationally accepted rules, regulations and practices established by the IMO.¹⁰¹ Article 87 of the UNCLOS provides that all States enjoy certain freedoms on the high seas, including the freedom of navigation. The freedoms are commonly followed by a set of obligations and responsibilities. Article 94 of the UNCLOS establishes a non-exhaustive list of responsibilities that the flag State must fulfill in connection to vessels flying its flag. On the high seas, ships fall under exclusive jurisdiction of the flag State. 102 That is the State in which the vessel is registered. 103 It should be stressed that under international law, it is States that are given the right to navigate and therefore ships exercise this right once they are registered with State. 104 Once registered, all activities which occur on board the vessel fall under the exclusive jurisdiction of that flag

_

⁹⁹ 'Top 10 Flag States 2020' (*Lloyd's List* 3 December 2020) https://lloydslist.maritimeintelligence.informa.com/LL1134965/top-10-flag-states-2020 accessed on 15 August 2021.

¹⁰⁰ Matthew Gianni, Real and Present Danger: Flag State Failure and Maritime Security and Safety (WWF Oslo/London 2008) 9.

¹⁰¹ Karan Chopra, 'What are Flag States in the Shipping Industry And What's Their Role?' (*Marine insight*, 13 October 2019) https://www.marineinsight.com/maritime-law/what-are-flag-states-in-the-shipping-industry-2/ accessed on 17 August 2021.

¹⁰² Markiyan Z. Kulyk, 'Piracy, Hijacking, and Armed Robbery Against Ships' in David J. Attard and others (eds.), *The IMLI Manual on International Maritime Law Volume III: Marine Environmental Law and Maritime Security Law* (Oxford University Press 2016) 397; *M/V 'Saiga'* (No. 2) (Saint Vincent and the Grenadines v Guinea) (Judgement of 1 July 1999) ITLOS Reports 1999, 60-61.

¹⁰³ Alan Branch and Martin Stopford, Maritime Economics (Routledge 1999) 431.

¹⁰⁴ Philipp Wendel, State Responsibility for Interferences with the Freedom of Navigation in Public International Law (Springer 2007) 88.

State, and the vessel and all persons on board are subject to that State's laws and EU treaty obligations. 105

Apart from vessels that are registered in terms of law in a particular State and having genuine link to the said State, 106 there are other types of vessels which either choose a flag of convenience, or remain stateless. When it comes to vessels that are stateless, those that are not flying any flag, they are thought to be criminal ships attempting to evade jurisdiction. 107 Such vessels are deprived of any protection on the high seas, 108 will be prevented from entering ports, navigating on the high seas or in a State's exclusive economic zone, ¹⁰⁹ and participating in trading activities.

The importance of the flag State authority lies in its maritime security's civilian functions. Controls exercised by flag States and port States, discussed in the previous sub-chapter, are fundamental for proper operation of the maritime authorities. 110 As a matter of fact, In recent years, the relationship between flag State and maritime security is of great importance in the international relations. 111 As a result, a flag State's maritime security responsibilities have been extended to encompass maritime security governance in conjunction with other law enforcement agencies from port States. 112

Risks and threats associated with non-state actors, including piracy, terrorism, human trafficking, trafficking of illicit substances and proliferation of weapons only increased the list of responsibilities of the flag States. Criminals that engage in maritime illegal operations utilize ships as targets, ¹¹³ or as potential weapons. ¹¹⁴ Irrespective of a crime,

¹⁰⁵Yoshifumi Tanaka, *The International Law of the Sea* (Cambridge University Press, 2nd edn. 2015) 157.

¹⁰⁶ Francesca Hili, 'An Analysis of the Evolution of the Concept of Genuine Link in Shipping Law through International Judgments' (LL.B. (Hons) term paper, University of Malta 2017) 35.

¹⁰⁷ Ann Marie Brodarick, 'High Seas, High Stakes: Jurisdiction Over Stateless Vessels And An Excess Of Congress Of Congressional Professional Power Under The Drug Tower Under The Drug Trafficking Vessel Interdiction Act' (2012) 67(1) University of Miami Law Review 255, 256.

¹⁰⁸ Allyson Bennet, 'That Sinking Feeling: Stateless Ships, Universal Jurisdiction, and the Drug Trafficking Vessel Interdiction Act' (2012) 37 (1) The Yale Journal of International Law 433, 439.

¹⁰⁹ M/V 'Virginia G' (Panama/Guinea-Bissau) (Judgement of 14th April 2014) ITLOS Press 211, 103.

¹¹⁰ See Section 1.2.1.

¹¹¹ Hamad Bakar Hamad, The Roles of Flag States in Maritime Security Governance: A Case Study of the East African Community (2016) 6 (14) Research on Humanities and Social Sciences 95, 101.

¹¹² Nivedita M. Hosanee, 'A Critical Analysis of Flag State Duties as Laid Down Under Article 94 of the 1982 United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea' (UN-Nippon Foundation Fellowship Thesis 2010) 100.

¹¹³ Henri Fouche, 'Somali Pirates Take to the High Seas: Expediency or Long-Term Pirate Strategy?' 37 (2) Scientia Militaria: SAJMS 67, 68.

¹¹⁴ Richard Farrell, 'Maritime Terrorism: Focusing on the Probable' (2007) 60 (3) NWCR 46, 50.

once it is committed or attempted at sea, the vessel is always a key element. For this reason, it is crucial for flag States to ensure that they fulfil its obligations under international law. Thus, awarding a vessel the right to fly its flag, the State assumes responsibility for the application and enforcement of international maritime rules and ensure that not only the vessel is secured but also those onboard of the said vessel.¹¹⁵

1.4. The EU's Maritime Security Strategy

The EU's economic operations are heavily reliant on the maritime navigation due to its geographical location. The logistics frequently include the busiest and most significant marine routes, such as the Suez Canal and the Strait of Gibraltar, which pass across the maritime boundaries of the EU. ¹¹⁶ The EU has established a common security policy over the previous two decades in order to maintain these routes safe and secure. ¹¹⁷

From a European perspective, maritime security is a fundamental component for the Union's overall security. As a result of this importance, many policies have been adopted to help preserve and achieve maritime objectives. With the adoption of the EUMSS in June 2014 the European Council, following lengthy negotiations, took a step toward a progressive collaborative EU strategy to improve how the EU anticipates and responds to maritime issues. ¹¹⁸ It is a comprehensive maritime security strategy designed to address various security interests and threats from the global marine domain that may have an impact on navigation, economy, persons and territorial security of MS. ¹¹⁹ Moreover, piracy and terrorism, conventional military challenges and indirect threats emanating from illegal fishing and climate change are also catered for within the said

¹¹⁵ UNCLOS (n 90), Article 94.

¹¹⁶ 'Ports' Relationship in the Mediterranean region: future cooperation, competences and competitions' (*Docks the Future*, 11 November 2020) https://www.docksthefuture.eu/relationship-with-med-neighbouring-countries/ accessed on 18 August 2021.

¹¹⁷ Livia B. Carmo Grault and Laura C. Ferreira-Pereira, 'Maritime Cooperation in the European Union-China Relations and the 21st Century Maritime Silk Road: What is at Stake?' in Francisco Jose B. S. Leandro and Paulo Afonso B. Duarte (eds.), *The Belt and Road Initiative: An Old Archetype of a New Development Model* (Palgrave Macmillan 2020) 265.

¹¹⁸ Council of the European Union, 11025/14 'European Union Maritime Security Strategy' as adopted by the council General Affairs (Brussels, 24 June 2014).

¹¹⁹ Ibid, 14.

strategy. 120 EUMSS strives to protect the EU's maritime interests across the world, particularly its maritime infrastructure, which includes ports. 121

The EUMSS, being the core strategy till this day, was founded upon European Security Strategy¹²² and Internal Security Strategy.¹²³ This instrument brings together diverse maritime-related sectors and bypasses the civilian-military gap. The strategy involves different EU institutions which include the Commission, the Council, as well as the authorities of MS and international actors. The EUMSS defines the maritime security as:

a State of affairs of the global maritime domain, in which international law and national law are enforced, freedom of navigation is guaranteed and citizens, infrastructure, transport, the environment and marine resources are protected. 124

The maritime strategy is focused upon a flexible and problem-oriented approach to common issues of maritime security governance, rather than establishing new institutions and hierarchies to centralize response coordination. 125 It is so because at the time of writing the EUMSS, the EU conducted an exercise of mapping important agencies inside the organization and among its members. 126 The EUMSS is cross-sectoral, encompassing programs like fisheries, port State control, maritime training, environmental protection, and a significant international and security component, including defense. 127 Border control, maritime surveillance and naval operations are all examples of foreign and security actions that fall within the ambit of EUMSS. 128 The

¹²⁰ Ibid.

¹²¹ Sanda Cinca, 'EUMSS' in Dan Dungaciu (ed.), The Geopolitical Black Sea Encyclopedia (Cambridge Scholar Publishing 2020)180.

¹²² Cristiano Cabrita, 'Maritime security strategy and the EU global strategy: thinking globally?' (2017) 15 (1) LPIS 9, 14.

¹²³ Internal Security Strategy for the European Union: Towards a European Security Model, 5842/2/10 REV 2 JAI 90, agreed by the Council on 25 March 2010.

¹²⁴ EUMSS (n116).

¹²⁶ Christian Bueger and Timothy Edmunds, Beyond Sea Blindness: A New Agenda for Maritime Security Studies (2017) 93 (6) International Affairs 1294, 1302.

¹²⁷ Andrea Frontini, 'The European Union Maritime Security Strategy: sailing uncharted waters?' (European Policy Centre, 26 June 2014)

https://aei.pitt.edu/56472/1/pub 4569 the eu maritime security strategy.pdf> accessed on 19 August 2021.

¹²⁸ Marianne Riddervold, The Maritime Turn in EU Foreign and Security Policies: Aims, Actors and Mechanisms of Integration (Palgrave Macmillan 2018) 12.

strategy was implemented through an action plan which was revised by the European Council in June 2018. 129

The framework is based on four essential principles. First, a cross-sectoral approach, aimed at coordination and cooperation among civilian, military, research and industry actors, as well as EU agencies. ¹³⁰ Second, maintaining the functional integrity of the 'ecosystem' by making use of existing structures, instruments, policies, mandates and competences at the national and EU levels. ¹³¹ Third, respect for human rights, democracy and international law – in particular, ¹³² full compliance with UNCLOS. ¹³³ Fourth, adherence to maritime multilateralism by cooperating with international partners and organizations – in particular the United Nations (UN) and North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) – and by coordinating with international and regional maritime forums. ¹³⁴

The strategy also suggests improving the EU's approach in other areas in order to successfully cope with a wide variety of challenges and threats. EUMSS aims at reduction of costs and increase of efficiency through enhancement of coordination, and coherence across the numerous sectors and players dealing with a wide range of maritime security challenges. ¹³⁵ Because the approach attempts to do this at no additional costs and without creating additional mandates, rules, or institutions that might normally encourage or compel actors to collaborate, the strategy's success is more reliant on actors' willingness to respond to the call for collaboration. The idea is for the MS to join forces and collaborate as they do in many other areas. Naturally, there is a great difference between the drafting and implementation processes, however it is only logical that protection of EU's most important source of trade and income is

_

¹²⁹ Council of the EU, 10494/18 'The Revision of the European Union Maritime Security Strategy (EUMSS) Action Plan' as adopted by the council General Affairs (Brussels, 26 June 2018).

¹³⁰ Rudolf Roy, The European Union's Approach to Maritime Security' in Joachim Krause and Sebastian Bruns (eds.), *Routledge Handbook of Naval Strategy and Security* (Routledge 2016) 383.

¹³¹ Ibid.

¹³² Salla Garsky, 'Strong, Independent, and Effective: The European Union's Promotion of the International Criminal Court' in Astrid Boening and others (eds.), *Global Power Europe -Vol. 2: Policies, Actions and Influence of the EU's External Relations* (Springer 2013) 4.

¹³³ *Council of the EU* (n129), 2.

¹³⁴ Ibid, 10.

¹³⁵ John Richardson, 'The Complexity of EU Maritime Security Policy' in Pedro Borges Graca (eds.), *The Challenges of the Atlantic* (ISPS University of Lisbon 2015) 84.

paramount for the wellbeing of all MS and their citizens. Once this concept is completely realized, the EUMSS will be able to establish a true identity and ensure that the interests of all stakeholders are protected.

1.5. Ship and Port Facility Security within the EU and its Significance for Stakeholders

The European transportation network transports more than 70% of all goods exchanged with the rest of the globe. ¹³⁶ In this supply chain the EU ports act as key strategic nodes due to the fact that they connect the sea and land using a vessel as a medium. ¹³⁷ EU flag States which account for a 40 per cent of the global tonnage. ¹³⁸ Seaports are the sole point in transit chain where the physical cargo and its whole digital profile meet in one place before being transported by sea or land to their final destination. The operational system that ports involve is very complex and critical for global transportation infrastructure. ¹³⁹

Since ports are located on the shores or in tidal estuaries of the MS, they are governed by the State's national laws not by IMO's regime. As a matter of fact, the ISPS Code only covers the port facilities, which are defined in SOLAS chapter XI-2. As a result, the vast majority of the port is beyond the scope of the ISPS Code, potentially resulting in severe standards discontinuity, a concern that organized crime has not overlooked. ¹⁴⁰

The goal of seaport security is to prevent illegal activities that might threaten the lives of seafarers, shipowners, and port staff, as well as have a detrimental effect on the port's infrastructure and facilities. Risk assessment is one of the measures that helps to achieve

¹³⁶ James J. Corbett and James J. Winebrake, 'International Trade and Global Shipping' in Kevin Gallagher (eds.), *Handbook on Trade and Environment* (Edward Elgar 2008) 33.

¹³⁷ Dilek Demirbas, Helen Flint and David Bennett, 'Supply chain interfaces between a port utilizing organisation and port operator' (2014) 19 (1) Supply Chain Management 79, 80; United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD), 'Port Marketing and the Third Generation Port' (United Nations 1992) 2.

¹³⁸ Javier Bilbao-Ubillos , Ana Fernández-Sainz2 and Rosa Payán-Azkue, 'State aid, EU maritime transport policies and competitiveness of EU country fleets' (2021) 13 (7)European Transport Research Review 1, 1. ¹³⁹ Kenneth Christopher, Port Security management (CRC Press 2015) 21.

¹⁴⁰ Peter Cook, 'Comment: The emerging spectrum of maritime security' (2020) 1 (1) IJMCS 50, 53.

the such goals. ¹⁴¹ The effective governance and security of port facilities help to managing such challenges as smuggling, robbery and corruption. ¹⁴²

The significance of the EU legislative framework on ship and port facility security should not be underestimated, as the seaport acts as the ultimate guardian, defending the land from sea-borne threats.

1.5.1. Seafarers

The seafarer's role in the maritime industry is crucial and should not be underestimated. These individuals function as key workers for the global supply chain. Unfortunately, they are also regularly exposed to threats and challenges at sea. Inclement weather, vulnerability to piracy and armed robbery, growing concerns of criminalization, boredom, monotony, and solitude onboard, suicide, tiredness, and stress are all factors that may adversely affect the seafarer's life.¹⁴³

The importance of maritime security and training for seafarers to deal with maritime security threats cannot be overstated. In the event of piracy for example, proper training of sailors helps them to defend themselves against pirates rather than fighting them. ¹⁴⁴ In order to fight the threat, in December 2008 EU launched the Operations 'Atlanta' which, amongst other tasks, deters and disrupts piracy and armed robbery at sea. ¹⁴⁵

When it comes to employment conditions of seafarers, International Labor Organization (ILO) and IMO provide international minimum standards, training, security, and safety. The belief that worldwide minimum requirements in shipping are sufficient, has resulted

¹⁴¹ Ayman Nagi, Marius Indorf, Wolfgang Kersten, 'Bibliometric Analysis of Risk Management in Seaports' in Wolfgang Kersten and others (eds.), *Digitalization in Supply Chain Management and Logistics* (Epubli 2017) 495.

¹⁴² Christian Bueger (n 126), 1301.

¹⁴³ Carolyn A.E. Graham, 'Maritime Security and Seafarers 'Welfare: Towards Harmonization' (2009) 8 (1) WMU Journal of Maritime Affairs 71, 72.

¹⁴⁴ Pankaj Bhargava, 'The Menace of Maritime Piracy and Somali Pirates – Is There a Solution?' (*Marine Insight* 27 February 2021) https://www.marineinsight.com/marine-piracy-marine/the-evolution-from-ship-piracy/ accessed on 21 August 2021.

¹⁴⁵ Suk Kyoon Kim, Global Maritime Safety & Security Issues and East Asia (Brill Nijhoff 2019) 178.

in the exclusion of seafarers from a number of EU Directives. ¹⁴⁶ This type of discriminatory exclusion was only recently addressed by the Union. ¹⁴⁷

Finally, a shared understanding of the international and EU legislative frameworks on maritime security protects not just the jobs and financial stability of seafarers, but most importantly their lives. Because a ship is constantly in need of personnel to protect it, proper operation of the security machinery guarantees that all seafarers are safely performing their duties.¹⁴⁸

1.5.2. Shipowners

Shipowners are the major participants in the maritime world. The role of the proprietor brings with it duties and obligations towards many different stakeholders. Apart from general duty 'to bring his venture to a safe termination' the shipowner's duties are, as that of other persons, necessarily limited to what is practically and reasonably in their power. Shipowners privately engage armed security personnel due to the vast range of threats that the sector faces, but all legal requirements of the flag, port, and coastal States must be satisfied. Although shipowners' contributions to the maritime security system are unavoidably costly, the advantages outweigh the disadvantages, as for

¹⁴⁶ 'Seafarers' special status continues to be used against them – it's time to stop' (*European Transport Workers' Federation* 26 April 2021) https://www.etf-europe.org/seafarers-special-status-continues-to-be-used-against-them-its-time-to-stop/#_ftnref1 accessed on 21 August 2021.

¹⁴⁷ Directive 2015/1794/EU amended the scope of five EU labour law Directives by including seafarers in Directive 2008/94/EC on the protection of employees in the event of the insolvency of their employer, Directive 2009/38/EC and Directive 2002/14/EC on information and consultation of employees, Directive 98/59/EC on collective redundancies, and Directive 2001/23/EC on safeguarding employees' rights in the event of transfers of undertakings, businesses or parts of undertakings or businesses

¹⁴⁸ Madhvedra Singh, 'Maritime Counter-Terrorism: Navies of the World Unite' in Swati Parashar (eds.), *Maritime Counter Terrorism: A Pan-Asian Perspective* (Dorling Kindersley 2008) 9.

¹⁴⁹ Great Britain. Royal Commission on Loss of Life at Sea, 'Final Report of the Royal Commission on Loss of Life at Sea: With Minutes of Evidence, Appendix, and Digest of the Evidence' (H.M. Stationery Office, 1887) 44.

¹⁵⁰ 'Document No 14: IMO Circular 1334, Guidance to Shipowners and Ship Operators, Shipmasters and Crews on Preventing and Suppressing Acts of Piracy and Armed Robbery Against Ships' in Kristen E. Boon and others (eds.), *Terrorism Commentary on Security Documents, Vol 113: Piracy and International Maritime Security* (Oxford University Press 2011) 323; Dimitrios Angelopoulos, 'German legislation regarding PMSCs: The accreditation process is not yet clear' (*Piracy Daily*, 4 June2013) https://www.maritime-executive.com/article/German-Legislation-Regarding-PMSCs-The-Accreditation-Process-Is-Not-Yet-Clear-2013-06-12 > accessed on 22 August 2021.

example Somali pirates only cost the global economy approximately 18 billion US dollars (USD) each year. ¹⁵¹ Similarly, shipping companies also have an extensive list of various obligations under the ship and port security instruments.

The safety of the crew, vessel, and cargo is a major concern for shipowners involved in international trade. ¹⁵² Following the execution of crew members on *MV Beluga* Nomination and payment of USD 13 million ransom, ¹⁵³ the International Chamber of Shipping jointly with International Association of Dry Cargo Shipowners and other actors in the maritime industry issued a joint public statement, stating that:

The international shipping industry is truly disturbed at reports that pirates have been torturing seafarers physically and mentally, often in the most barbaric ways, including hanging them over the ship's side by ropes around their ankles with their heads under water and even subjecting them to the horrendous practice of keelhauling.¹⁵⁴

Evidently, when a vessel passes through dangerous routes, the risk associated with the voyage increase, as does the significance of maritime security. Because it has a direct impact on human, political, economic, and environmental well-being, the legal framework governing maritime security is very complex.¹⁵⁵

1.5.3. Port Personnel

A huge amount of ships pass through EU ports every day. Large volumes necessitate labor, which must be conducted in secure facilities. While seafarers play the most

_

¹⁵¹ Teo Kermeliotis, 'Somali Pirates Cost Global Economy '\$18 Billion a Year' in Debra A. Miller (eds.), *Piracy on the High Seas* (Greenhaven Press 2014) 11.

¹⁵² B.J Parkinson, 'Maritime Security: A Joint Responsibility' in Thomas C. Fitzhugh III (eds.), *Internatioal Perspectives on Maritime Security* (Maritime Security Council 1996) 208.

^{&#}x27;Pirates release MV Beluga Nomination', (Oceanus Live 13 April 2011) http://www.oceanuslive.org/main/viewnews.aspx?uid=00000258 accessed on 23 August 2021.

¹⁵⁴ Kaija Hurlburt, 'Somali Pirates and Turture' in Maximo Q. Mejia, Jr. and others (eds.), *Piracy at Sea* (Springer 2013) 308.

¹⁵⁵ Peter Chalk, 'The Maritime Dimension of International Security Terrorism, Piracy, and Challenges for the United States', (2008) Project Air Force xii.

important role in ship security, 156 port facility personnel play a crucial part in port facility security. According to IMO, port facility personnel means:

... any person employed or engaged in a port facility having specific securityrelated duties and all other port facility personnel working in the port facility identified in the approved port facility security plan as requiring training or instruction and familiarization training. 157

Port personnel, like other stakeholders, are concerned about the port's internal affairs since any threats ensuing due to lax security in port facilities or on board vessels entering those ports, may put the port staff in danger. Given the surplus capacity in the system that might be employed in the case of a real emergency, it has been argued that the main threat to the ports is not physical damage to infrastructure. 158 The underlying constraint is labor's unwillingness to work in potentially dangerous situations. 159 This will create labor deficit which will have a detrimental influence on international trade.

In this regard, no maritime security threats shall be deemed independent or unrelated. Maritime criminal operations are rarely independent and are usually linked to other organized crimes. 160 On the surface, it appears that severe maritime threats such as piracy would have minimal influence on port workers. However, once a ship has been taken by pirates, there is no assurance that it will not be utilized in a terrorist attack on a port, as happened in *Dewi Madrim* on the Sumatra coast. 161 This may be the case, on the other hand, even if the vessel has not been captured by pirates, but hijacked for example. This was a big concern following 9/11, where the international community feared that ships (similar to the aircraft used in 9/11) would be used as vessels of mass

¹⁵⁶ See Section 1.5.2.

¹⁵⁷ IMO, 'Guidelines on Security-Related Training and Familiarization for Port facility Personnel' Ref. T2-MSS/2.11.1 (MSC.1/Circ. 1341, 27 May 2010) 1.

¹⁵⁸ Edward E. Leamer, Christopher Thornberg, 'Ports, Trade, and Terrorism: Balancing the Catastrophic and the Chronic' in Jon D. Haveman and Howard J. Shatz (eds.), Protecting the Nation's Seaports: Balancing Security and Cost (PPIC 2006) 46.

¹⁵⁹ Ibid.

¹⁶⁰ UNCTAD, 'Maritime Piracy: Part I An Overview of Trends, Costs and Trade Related Implications' (United Nations, 2014) 11.

¹⁶¹ Martin N. Murphy, Contemporary Piracy and Maritime Terrorism: The Threat to International Security (Routledge 2007)8.

destruction to cause loss of life in and damage to port areas.¹⁶² Any ship that is used by the criminals, for example, as weapon of mass destruction may seriously jeopardize the lives of port personnel.

Casaca outlined the significance and deficiencies in the port systems after investigating the port training programs of eight MS, whereby and she placed maritime security among the top challenges. 163 It follows that one of the most significant aspects of the overall security apparatus is the training of port personnel to identify, evaluate and subject to necessary qualifications, to neutralize the threats. 164

1.6. Conclusion

The volume of goods that ships move on an annual basis demonstrates the importance of maritime transportation in the international trade. Clearly, the EU, with its 27 MS, is one of the main actors in this trade activity. The role of a trade leader imposes significant responsibilities that can only be fulfilled within a harmonious EU legal framework. Despite the fact that the EU has a number of exclusive competencies, there are still areas that impede the integration process. One of these sectors is transportation, which should ideally come under exclusive competence for the benefit of international maritime trade but is currently subject to shared competence.

Maritime navigation, or rather its secure operation, is another aspect that influences the integration of the single market. The secure maritime sector and shipping services

27

¹⁶² Aaron Lukas, 'Protection Without Protectionism: Reconciling Trade and Homeland Security' (*Cato Institute* 8 April 2004) < https://www.cato.org/trade-policy-analysis/protection-without-protectionism-reconciling-trade-homeland-security?queryID=b6b0784f6e5914e544174d4fb948c3df> accessed on 24 August 2021.

¹⁶³ Ana C. Paixão Casaca, 'Insights into the port training of the new European Union Member States', (2006) 33 (3) Maritime Policy & Management 203, 206.

 $^{^{164}}$ Marios Meletiou, 'Improved Port Performance Through Training: The Contribution of the International Labour Organization' (ILO, 22^{nd} International Port Conference - "Human Resources and Sea Ports Performance" - 12-14 March 2006, Alexandria, Egypt).

¹⁶⁵ See Section 1.1.

¹⁶⁶ See Section 1.3.

¹⁶⁷ See Section 1.2.

¹⁶⁸ Ibid.

have an impact on trade flows, the products sold by nations, and how price shocks reverberate across trade. 169

One of the EU's key duties in the marine industry, according to the study performed in this chapter, is to safeguard ships and port infrastructure from threats. The flag and port States, on the other hand, share such obligations.¹⁷⁰ To that end, the EU implemented a comprehensive strategy known as EUMSS, which went above and beyond international obligations.¹⁷¹

Finally, because ports and ships are both directly and indirectly job creators at the local and national levels, as well as income generators, ¹⁷² they must be kept secure at all times, and all stakeholders must contribute to this effort. ¹⁷³

¹⁶⁹ Myrto Kalouptsidi, 'The Role of Shipping in World Trade' (*Econofact* 9 June 2021) https://econofact.org/the-role-of-shipping-in-world-trade accessed on 25 August 2021.

¹⁷⁰ See Section 1.2.1 and 1.2.2.

¹⁷¹ See Section 1.4.

¹⁷² Peter Gripaios and Rose Gripaios, 'The impact of a port on its local economy. The case of Plymouth' (1995) 22(1) Maritime Policy Management 13, 14.

¹⁷³ See Section 1.5.

Chapter 2: An Analysis of the International Ship and Port Facility Security Code

2.1 Introduction

As noted above, the centerpiece instrument regulating international ship and port facility security is the ISPS Code. ¹⁷⁴ This chapter will commence by discussing the historical development of the Code. It will then examine the objectives, structure and application of the instrument. The purpose of the chapter is to introduce and address the main obligations in the ISPS Code, which will be examined in further detail when discussing the EU legislation on ship and port security in subsequent chapters. It will conclude by determining to what extent does the ISPS Code address modern ship and port facility security challenges, eighteen years after its adoption.

2.2 The Historical Development of the ISPS Code

The IMO is the UN's specialized organization,¹⁷⁵ responsible agency for maritime safety and security, environmental protection and maritime transportation facilitation. As the global standard setting authority for safe, secure and efficient shipping on clean oceans, the Organization has facilitated the adoption of over fifty agreements and developed numerous codes and guidelines.¹⁷⁶ The IMO's rapid response to challenges posed to the shipping industry,¹⁷⁷ was often necessary in light of the emergence of new and diverse threats. For example, in 1985, the Italian registered cruise liner, the *Achille Lauro* was

 ¹⁷⁴ Sam Bateman, 'Building Good Order at Sea in Southeast Asia: The Promise of International Regimes' in Kwa Kwa Chong Guan and John Skogan (eds.), *Maritime Security in Southeast Asia* (Routledge 2007) 106.
 ¹⁷⁵ Mohammad A. Ali, 'The International Labour Organization for Minimum Labour Standards: The Seafarers' Case' in David Lewin, Bruce E. Kaufman and Paul J. Gollan (eds.), *Advances in Industrial and Labour Relations: Volume 18* (Emerald Publishing 2011) 253.

¹⁷⁶ Mark Gaouette, *Cruising for Trouble: Cruise Ships as Soft Targets for Pirates, Terrorists, and Common Criminals* (Greenwood Publishing 2010) 179.

¹⁷⁷ Barbara Kwiatkovska and Alfred H. A. Soons, 'Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and Their Disposal: Emerging Global and Regional Regulation' in Lammers A. C. Kiss (eds.), *Hague Yearbook of International Law: Volume 5* (Martinus Nijhoff 1992) 87; Philippe Boisson, 'Law of Maritime Safety' in David J. Attard and others (eds.), *The IMLI Manual on International Maritime Law: Volume II Shipping Law* (Oxford University press 2016) 206.

one of the first major terrorist targets at sea.¹⁷⁸ In the wake of the incident, the IMO adopted Resolution A. 584(14) on 'measures to prevent unlawful acts threaten the safety of ships and the security of their passengers and crews'.¹⁷⁹ The IMO continued to develop and facilitate the adoption of several important maritime security instruments, such as the Convention for the Suppression of Unlawful Acts against the Safety of Maritime Navigation (SUA).¹⁸⁰

Following the tragic terrorist attacks on US soil on September 11, 2001, the international community was concerned that vessels may be used as weapons of mass destruction to cause loss of life and severe damage to maritime infrastructures. ¹⁸¹ As a result, the IMO's Assembly Resolution A. 924(22) called for a review of current international legal framework to protect and prevent such crimes against ships at sea and in port, as well as to enhance security onboard and ashore. ¹⁸² One hundred and nine contracting parties to the SOLAS Convention, including EU MS such as Malta, Greece, Netherlands, Italy and Germany, ¹⁸³ gathered at the IMO's headquarters in London in December 2002 for a diplomatic conference on maritime security. ¹⁸⁴ The meeting resulted in the adoption of a new chapter XI-2 to the SOLAS Convention on 'Special measures to improve maritime security'. Chapter XI-2 incorporated the far-reaching ISPS Code, which was made mandatory for all contracting State parties to the SOLAS Convention. ¹⁸⁵ The adoption of these maritime security measures were the result of collaboration between contracting States, their national agencies, government entities, and the maritime and

-

¹⁷⁸ Peter Lehr, 'Maritime Terrorism: Locations, Actors, and Capabilities' in Rupert Herbert-Burns and others (eds.), *Lloyd's MIU Handbook of Maritime Security* (Auerbach Publications 2009) 67.

¹⁷⁹ IMO (Resolution of the Assembly) 'Measures to Prevent Unlawful Acts which Threaten the Safety of Ships and the Security of Their Passengers and Crews' (20 November 1985) Res. A.584 (14).

¹⁸⁰ UNGA Convention for the Suppression of Unlawful Acts Against the Safety of Maritime Navigation (adopted 10 March 1988, entered into force 1 March 1992) (1988) No. 29004.

¹⁸¹ Azhar Ahmad, 'Maritime security post-9/11' (2009) 29 (2/3) 81, 81.

¹⁸² IMO Assembly, 'Review of measures and procedures to prevent acts of terrorism which threaten the security of passengers and crews and the safety of ships' (19-29 November 1985) Res A. 924(22).

¹⁸³ EcoLex, 'International Convention for the Safety of Life at Sea' https://www.ecolex.org/details/treaty/international-convention-for-the-safety-of-life-at-sea-solas-tre-000115/ accessed on 26 August 2021.

¹⁸⁴ Hartmut G. Hesse, 'Maritime Security in a Multilateral Context: IMO Activities to Enhance Maritime Security' (2003) 18 (1) IJMCL 327, 328.

¹⁸⁵ Arsham Mazaheri, 'Impacts of the ISPS code on port activities-A case study on Swedish ports' (2009) 2 (4) WRITR 326, 327.

port sectors.¹⁸⁶ The main objective of such initiative was the assessment of security threats, implementation of preventative measures, and response to security incidents involving ships or port infrastructure.¹⁸⁷ Security incident is defined by the Regulation 1.13 of the Chapter XI-2 of the SOLAS Convention as:

Security incident means any suspicious act or circumstance threatening the security of a ship, including a mobile offshore drilling unit or a high-speed craft, or of a port facility or of any ship/port interface or any ship-to-ship activity.

Governments, shipping firms, and port authorities are all subject to the ISPS Code's extensive security rules and standards which are found in its two parts: Part A and Part B. ¹⁸⁸ The former is mandatory, while the latter is recommendatory in nature and provides guidelines on how to execute the obligations under Part A. Soon after its adoption, Mr. Efthimios E. Mitropoulos, the then Secretary General of the IMO, urged all 'servants of the maritime mode' to step up their efforts to meet the new comprehensive security regime's entry-into-force deadline the 1 July 2004. ¹⁸⁹ According to the European Commission, the outcome of the Diplomatic Conference of the IMO of 12 December 2002 introduced substantial improvements in the security of ships and port facilities used for international trade. ¹⁹⁰ The Commission continued that more effort is needed on other international planes, particularly inside the EU, to guarantee that the issues are addressed thoroughly and to avoid the use of bilateral initiatives similar to those introduced by some Third Countries. ¹⁹¹

¹⁸⁶ Jan Engel de Boer, 'The IMO: Maritime Terrorism/Security and Global Ocean Governance' in David J. Attard and others (eds.), *The IMLI Treatise on Global Ocean Governance, Volume III: IMO and Global Ocean Governance* (Oxford University Press 2018) 161.

¹⁸⁷ Ibid.

¹⁸⁸ Nurul Huda Ahmad Razali and Wan Siti Adibah Wan Dahalan, 'The ISPS Code and Its Implementation in Malaysia' (2012) 5 (2) Arena Hukum 42, 42; John C.W. Bennett, 'Maritime Security' in Clifford R. Bragdon (ed.), *Transportation Security* (Elsevier 2008) 164.

¹⁸⁹ Peter Moth, *ISPS Code: 2004 Update* (Foreshore Publications 2004) x.

¹⁹⁰ European Commission, 'Fight against terrorism: Security of European maritime transport to be strengthened' (8 May 2003) https://ec.europa.eu/commission/presscorner/detail/lv/IP_03_651 accessed on 27 August 2021.

¹⁹¹ Ibid.

2.3 Objectives, Structure and Application of the ISPS Code

The ISPS Code was adopted in a highly political climate.¹⁹² The principal objective of the Code is to create a comprehensive and global framework for risk assessments in the maritime transportation industry.¹⁹³ The instrument is centered on the notion that securing ships and port infrastructure is essentially a risk management activity that often requires *ad hoc* risk assessments in order to determine the security measures that are required according to the circumstances of each case.¹⁹⁴ The Code seeks to establish a uniform and consistent methodology for assessing security threats, allowing local authorities to find a balance between the level of threat involved and vulnerability of ships and port facilities.¹⁹⁵

Section A/1.2 of the ISPS Code sets forth the following objectives, which include:

- to establish an international framework involving co-operation between Contracting Governments, Government agencies, local administrations and the shipping and port industries to detect security threats and take preventive measures against security incidents affecting ships or port facilities used in international trade;
- to establish the respective roles and responsibilities of the Contracting Governments, Government agencies, local administrations and the shipping and port industries, at the national and international level for ensuring maritime security;
- 3. to ensure the early and efficient collection and exchange of security-related information;
- 4. to provide a methodology for security assessments so as to have in place plans and procedures to react to changing security levels; and

¹⁹² Jibkwon Jeong, 'Progress and challenges: ten years after the ISPS code' (Master of Science dissertation, World Maritime University 2013) 8.

¹⁹³ Arsham Mazaheri, 'How the ISPS code affects port and port activities' (Master of Science thesis, University of Boras 2008) 10.

¹⁹⁴ Ibid 13.

¹⁹⁵ Engel de Boer (n 186) 162.

5. to ensure confidence that adequate and proportionate maritime security measures are in place.

The wording of the Section A/1.2, in particular, the phrasing: 'The objectives of this Code are:' suggests that the list of purposes is exhaustive. It is critical to notice that the objectives, apart from being listed in the 'Foreword' of the Code, are also included in the Code's mandatory Part A. Section A/1.2 implies that the measures to be employed by the stakeholders must meet the Code's objectives. Part A sets forth thirteen requirements for contracting governments, ¹⁹⁶ shipping companies, ¹⁹⁷ and port authorities.

The ISPS Code does not provide specific measures that each port or ship must adopt. Instead, due to the different types and sizes of ships and ports facilities, the Code provides a standardized framework for evaluating and responding to the different risks. Kraska opines that 'The risk assessment enables governments to offset changes in the threat condition with adjustments in the security measures'. ¹⁹⁸

The ISPS Code focuses on the port facility's ship as terrorist's target, the possibility of ships entering port areas being used as weapons of mass destruction, as well as the use of ships as a means to transport criminals who intend to jeopardise security regime. The Code's application is however restricted in scope. It only applies to ships and the ports that service them provided the said ships are engaged on international voyages. International voyage was defined by the SOLAS Convention as 'a voyage from a country to which the present Convention applies to a port outside such country, or conversely'. Furthermore, only passenger ships, including high-speed passenger craft, cargo ships, including high-speed craft, of 500 gross tonnage and upwards, and mobile offshore drilling units fall within the scope of the Code. 193

¹⁹⁶ ISPS Code, *Part A*, Section A/4.

¹⁹⁷ Ibid Section A/6.

¹⁹⁸ James Kraska (n 89), 448.

¹⁹⁹ Ibid 446.

²⁰⁰ ISPS Code, Part A, A/3.

²⁰¹ Ibid Section A/3.1, A.3.2.

²⁰² SOLAS (n 20) Regulation 2(d).

²⁰³ Ibid Section A/3.1.1.

The ISPS Code's full implementation is hampered, according to Kraska, by the failure of certain non-EU states to comply with its provisions. 204 Even though IMO does not provide a list of non-compliant States, ports not covered by the ISPS Code face very serious challenges which include migration, border control, customs and security measures.²⁰⁵ It may be argued that universal implementation and enforcement of the Code remain a work in progress. Nevertheless, it is this author's view that the instrument has, overall, been effective in providing a consistent and standardised method which allows States to respond to rapidly developing security incidents by offsetting changes in security threat levels on ships and in port facilities with changes in vulnerability for ships and port areas.²⁰⁶

The ISPS Code incorporates the risk management concept into a set of basic functional security requirements for ships and port facilities. In relation to port facilities, port facility security plan and port facility security officers are amongst the requirements that shall be met. Such requirements for ships include the following:²⁰⁷

- 1. ship security plans;
- 2. ship security officers;
- 3. company security officers; and
- 4. certain onboard equipment.

2.4 **ISPS Code Responsibilities**

2.4.1 Contracting Governments

Contracting governments can establish designated authorities to carry out their security obligations in terms of Part A of the ISPS Code. 208 Certain security obligations, subject to

²⁰⁴ Kraska (n 198) 449.

²⁰⁵ Damir Zec and others, 'Port Security Organization and functionality – Implementation of the ISPS Code in Medium and Small Countries' in Elisa Shahbazian and Galina Rogova (eds.), Human Systems Integration to Enhance Maritime Domain Awareness for Port/Harbour Security (IOS Press 2008) 46.

²⁰⁶ Kraska (n 198) 449.

²⁰⁷ Engel de Boer (n 186) 163.

²⁰⁸ ISPS Code, Part B, B/1.7.

limitations set out within the Code itself, ²⁰⁹ may also be delegated by governments or specialized entities to Recognized Security Organizations (RSO). ²¹⁰ The RSO may be defined as an entity which met terms and conditions stipulated in the International code and possesses adequate security experience and understanding of ship and port operations, ²¹¹ and that is authorized to conduct an assessment, verification, approval, or certification activity as required by SOLAS chapter XI-2 or part A of the ISPS Code. ²¹² The RSO may prepare the ship security plan for a specific ship, ²¹³ or review and approve ship security plans, or amendments to a previously approved plan, provided it has not been involved in the preparation of the said plan or its amendments subject to approval. ²¹⁴

Contracting governments are, through designated authority for ports and administrations for States, responsible for determining the security levels in effect at any given moment, which will apply to their ships and port infrastructure. The setting of the security levels is the responsibility of the contracting governments (through designated authority for ports and administrations for States) and will apply their ships and port facilities. This is one of the most important obligations. However, the ISPS Code expressly specifies which duties a contracting government cannot delegate to a RSO. ²¹⁵

The ISPS Code establishes three levels of security for ships and port facilities:²¹⁶

 $^{^{209}}$ Ibid Part A, Section A/4.3. This should be Ibid as we discussed i.e. 'Ibid Part A, Section A/4.3' 210 Ibid Part B, B/1.7.

²¹¹ US Congress and others, Interim Final Regulations on Port Security: Hearing Before the Subcommittee on Coast Guard and Mar[i]time Transportation of the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, House of Representatives, One Hundred Eighth Congress, First Session, July 22, 2003 (US Government Printing Office 2003) 5.

²¹² Adolf K.Y. Ng and Zaili Yang, 'Maritime security regulations and policies in Hong Kong: A Critical Review and the Development of a risk-Based Security Assessment Model' in Khalid Bichou and Joseph S. Szyllowicz (eds.), *Maritime Transport Security: Issues, Challenges and National Policies* (Edward Elgar 2013) 243. ²¹³ ISPS Code, Part A, Section A/9.1.1.

²¹⁴ Iliana Christodoulou-Varotsi and Dmitry A. Pentsov, *Maritime Work Law Fundamentals: Responsible Shipowners, Reliable Seafarers* (Springer 2008) 691.

²¹⁵ ISPS Code, Part A, A/4.1; The responsibilities are: setting of the applicable security level and approving Port Facility Security Plan, determining which of the port facilities located within the territory of a Contracting Government are required to designate a Port Facility Security Officer and to prepare a Port Facility Security Plan, approving a Port Facility Security Assessment or any subsequent amendments to a previously approved assessment and approving a Port Facility Security Plan or any subsequent amendments to a previously certified plan.

²¹⁶ Ibid Section B/1.8.

Security Level 1 – normal: the level at which ships and port facilities normally operate;

Security Level 2 – heightened: the level applying for as long as there is a heightened risk of a security incident; and

Security Level 3 – exceptional: the level applying for the period of time when there is the probable or imminent risk of a security incident.

The ISPS Code requires particular information to be communicated to the IMO, and made available and accessible to facilitate effective communication between Contracting Governments, Company/Ship Security Officers, and Port Facility Security Officers. ²¹⁷ Furthermore, the ISPS Code assigns the contracting governments supervisory responsibility. The governments should test any authorized and later updated Ship or Port Facility Security Plans, even if the delegated body approved such plans on their behalf. ²¹⁸ It is an important responsibility, according to this author, because it ensures that the initial level approved by the contracting government is maintained throughout.

Assessing the risk posed by a ship to a port facility or a ship to another ship helps the State to determine if a Declaration of Security is required.²¹⁹ The Declaration establishes not only the security requirements that a port facility and a ship may share, but also their responsibilities for those requirements.²²⁰

Part B of the ISPS Code encourages contracting governments to first, disclose specific information with their peers,²²¹ second, to issue appropriate identification documents to government officials authorised to enter port facilities and board a ship, ²²² and third, to improve ship security by alternative methods that are not covered by Part A of the

²¹⁸ Ibid Part A, Section A/4.4.

-

²¹⁷ Ibid Section B/1.22.

²¹⁹ Ibid Part A, Section A/5.

²²⁰ Navsregs, 'What is a Declaration of Security under the ISPS Code' (19 June 2017) < https://navsregs.wordpress.com/2017/06/19/declaration-of-security-under-the-isps-code/> accessed on 2 September 2021.

²²¹ ISPS Code, Part B, Section B/4.17.

²²² Ibid Section B/4.18

Code.²²³ To that purpose, contracting governments must ensure that security measures do not exceed what is required, as this may be perceived as an impediment rather than a positive initiative.²²⁴

2.4.2 Shipping Companies

A shipping company that operates any of the ships which fall under the application of the ISPS Code must appoint a Company Security Officer (CSO) and a Ship Security Officers (SSO). ²²⁵ While one CSO may operate for one or more ships, ²²⁶ one officer must be assigned for each ship in the case of SSO. ²²⁷ Part A of this Code defines these officials' duties, responsibilities, ²²⁸ and training requirements. ²²⁹ Responsibilities of the CSO includes advising on the level of threats likely to be encountered by the ships, overseeing the Ship Security Assessment (SSA) process, arranging for internal audits and reviews of security activities and enhancing security awareness and vigilance. ²³⁰

The responsibilities of shipping companies mut be understood in terms of not only Part A, but also Part B of the ISPS Code, which specifies the duties that can be performed by the Company's delegates, such as the CSO and SSO. The company must offer necessary support CSO, ship's master, and SSO for them to fulfill their duties and responsibilities outlined in Part A of the ISPS Code.²³¹ Section 11.2 of Part A of the ISPS Code contains a comprehensive but not exhaustive list of duties that the CSO must execute.²³² At the same time, the CSO is not required to directly undertake all duties relating to his office; rather, he or she must oversee that they are performed effectively.²³³

²²³ Ibid Section B/4.20.

²²⁴ Francis D'Addario, 'ISO/PAS 28001 enables Starbucks Coffee Company's supply chain strategy', (2006) 3 (7/8) ISO Focus 12, 13.

²²⁵ ISPS Code, Part B, Section B/1.9.

²²⁶ Ibid Part A, Section A/11.1.

²²⁷ Ibid Part A, Section A/12.1.

²²⁸ Ibid Part A, Section A/11.2.

²²⁹ Ibid Part A, Section A/13.

²³⁰ Ibid Part A, Section A/11.2.

²³¹ Ibid Part A, Section A/6.2.

²³² Ibid Part A, Section A/12.2.

²³³ Ibid Part B, Section B/8.1.

The ship SSA is an important and necessary part of the developing and updating process for the Ship Security Plan. The CSO should follow any specific guidance offered by the contracting governments throughout the SSA process.²³⁴ A crucial obligation of the CSO is to ensure that a Ship Security Plan (SSP) is adopted and submitted for approval to either to the Administration or RSO.²³⁵ The SSP is drafted to ensure that the ship's security measures forming part of the plan are implemented on board. The SSP is necessary to safeguard the crew, cargo and ship facilities from any security threats.²³⁶ The company must make sure that the SSP includes details on the ship master's overriding power and responsibility for making decisions about the ship's security and, if required, requesting help from contracting government.²³⁷

Section 2.2 of Part A contains a non-exhaustive set of SSO's responsibilities. Part B specifies that the SSO's responsibilities for security measures to control access to the ship, which may vary depending on the level of security.²³⁸ Furthermore, the SSO should be responsible for all policies and management over restricted areas.²³⁹ The company is responsible for ship security training, drills, and exercises,²⁴⁰ as well as ensuring that the ship has a valid International Ship Security Certificate (SSC).²⁴¹ While the SSC is subject to Port State Control, it indicates that the ISPS Code has been complied with. If necessary, the company must be prepared to offer information about the ship, its cargo, its crew, and its passengers before port entry.²⁴² Finally, the company must ensure that accurate information about the ownership and control of the ship is available on board.²⁴³

²³⁴ Ibid Part B, Section B/8.2.

²³⁵ Ibid Part B, Section B/9.4.

²³⁶ Shilavadra Bhattacharjee, 'Understanding Ship Security Plan On Board Ships' (*Marine Insight*, 5 February 2021) < https://www.marineinsight.com/marine-safety/understanding-ship-security-plan-board-ships/> accessed on 2 September 2021.

²³⁷ ISPS Code, Part A, Section A/6.1.

²³⁸ Ibid Part B, Section B/9.14, B/9.16, B/9.17.

²³⁹ Ibid Part B, Section B/9.19.

²⁴⁰ Ibid Part A, Section A/13.

²⁴¹ Ibid Part A, Section A/19.2.1.

²⁴² Engel de Boer (n 186) 164.

²⁴³ Ibid.

2.4.3 Port Facilities

Under the ISPS Code, contracting government port authorities have a responsibility to inspect ships returning from international voyages and to monitor port areas under their control. ²⁴⁴ The port authority's contracting government is also responsible for developing, vetting and approving a Port Facility Security Plan (PFSP). ²⁴⁵ In order to develop the PFSP and subsequently keep it up to date, ²⁴⁶ the contracting government is required to perform regular port facility security assessments (PFSA). ²⁴⁷ PFSA is a procedure for identifying and evaluating essential assets and infrastructure, allowing for the assessment of the importance of structures and installations that may require protection. ²⁴⁸ The identification and evaluation phase is essential because it lays the groundwork for diverting mitigation measures to the assets and structures that are most significant to safeguard in the event of a security incident. ²⁴⁹ PFSA should take into account the possibility of human loss, economic importance of the port, symbolic value, and the presence of government installations. ²⁵⁰

Another obligation of contracting governments is to appoint a Port Facility Security Officer (PFSO) who must carry out tasks such as ensuring that a SSA is carried out and that the SSP is developed, approved, implemented and maintained under Part A of the ISPS Code.²⁵¹ For example, Sections 18 and 13 of Part A of the ISPS Code detail the training requirements as well as the drills which are part of the PFSO responsibilities. Port State authorities or ships entering port areas must take necessary measures in response to security levels imposed.

²⁴⁴ Anish Wankhede, 'The ISPS Code For Ships – An Essential Quick Guide', (*Marine Insight*, 1 January 2021) https://www.marineinsight.com/maritime-law/the-isps-code-for-ships-a-quick-guide/ accessed on 3 September 2021.

²⁴⁵ ISPS Code, Part A, Section A/16, A/16.2.

²⁴⁶ Ibid Part A, Section A/15.1.

²⁴⁷ Ibid Part A, Section A/15.2.

²⁴⁸ Ibid Part A, Section A/15.5.1.

²⁴⁹ Ibid Part A, Section A/15.5.2.

²⁵⁰Marine Study, 'ISPS Code 15: Port Facility Security Assessment' https://themarinestudy.com/topic/15-port-facility-security-assessment-2/?v=08ad08f64910 accessed on 1 September 2021.

²⁵¹ Ibid Part A, Section A/17.1.

It should be noted that security measures and procedures indicated in the Code must be implemented in such a way that they cause the least amount of disruption or delay. ²⁵² As with the SSP, the PFSP must include explicit instructions on operational and physical security measures that are appropriate for the each and every security level specified in the ISPS Code. ²⁵³

Finally, the PFSO is to ensure that the PFSP's requirements are properly executed.²⁵⁴ The effectiveness of the PFSP and the PFSA can be verified by an independent audit or by the contracting government's competent authorities.²⁵⁵ Any significant modifications to the plan must be approved by the body that had originally certified the PFSP.²⁵⁶

2.5 Conclusion

The adoption of the ISPS Code was an extraordinary response by the international community to enhance global maritime security following the devastating effects of 9/11 terrorist attacks.²⁵⁷ The instrument's speedy adoption addressed a crucial *lacuna*e in the existing international maritime security regime by tackling the regulation of ship and port facility security and by providing a comprehensive global risk assessment framework for the maritime transportation sector. ²⁵⁸ Its hybrid structure, which includes mandatory and recommendatory components, was designed to allow contracting governments to quickly react to a wide range of security challenges that may jeopardize their ships and port infrastructure. As a result, the majority of the States' attention has shifted to implementing Part A rules which incorporates a list of objectives and responsibilities.²⁵⁹ The EU, however, adopted ISPS Regulation in order to avoid the situation where a MS,²⁶⁰ due to the lack of the legal and policy architecture, would not

²⁵² Ibid Part A, Section A/14.1

²⁵³ Ibid Part A, Sections A/14.2 – A/14.5.

²⁵⁴ Ibid Part A, Section A/17.2.2.

²⁵⁵ Ibid Part A, Section A/16.3.1.

²⁵⁶ Ibid Part A, Section A/16.5

²⁵⁷ See Section 2.2.

²⁵⁸ See Section 2.3.

²⁵⁹ See Section 2.4.

²⁶⁰ ISPS Regulation (n23).

be able to fully implement the measures. The Commission highlighted on the importance of effective implementation of the measures in order to encourage third countries to recognize EU's levels of security and provide reciprocal measures.

In conclusion, this author is of the opinion that the ISPS Code provides an international framework for deterrence of security incidents worldwide and even after eighteen years it is considered to be a major achievement in the development of international maritime security law. In so far as the Union is concerned, the IMO, by adopting the ISPS Code, laid a solid platform for the EU's future efforts.

Chapter 3: European Union Legislation Regulating Ship and Port Facility Security

3.1 Introduction

The EU is surrounded with a coastline of approximately 68 thousand kilometres.²⁶¹ The EU, as one of the world's most important participants in maritime trade, the Union has a vested interest in ensuring that the maritime industry is controlled.²⁶² The objective of this chapter is to address the main EU legislation regulating ship and port security across the Union. The chapter will also discuss the purpose of selected instruments, their application at the EU level, and some of the most important provisions therefrom. It will conclude by determining to what extent, if at all, do the rules found in the EU's legal framework on ship and port facility go beyond international obligations found in the ISPS Code.

3.2 Early Developments of EU's Framework on Ship and Port facility Security

The devastating events of 9/11 provided the impetus for the implementation of legal changes in the EU. Shortly after the incident, the EU Parliament released a statement condemning the attacks and expressing its solidarity with the US. ²⁶³ The events prompted an unprecedented step of calling an extraordinary session of the European Council which was convened just 10 days after the terrorist attacks with the aim to 'analyze the international situation following the terrorist attacks in the United States and to impart the necessary impetus to the actions of the European Union'. ²⁶⁴ In its

²⁶¹ Gerd Winter, 'Summary and Suggestions for Reform Towards a Legal Clinic for Fisheries Management' in Gerd Winter (ed.), 'Toward Sustainable Fisheries Law: A Comparative Analysis (IUCN 2009) 314.

²⁶² Desislava Nikolaeva Dimitrova and Roger Blanpai, *Seafarers' Rights in the Globalized Maritime Industry* (Kluwer 2010) 90.

²⁶³ Michaela Grobel, 'Haunted by History: Ghost and 'Ghosting' in Elfriede Jelineck's Stecken' in Matthias Piccolruaz Konzett and Margarette Lamb-Faffelberger (eds.), *Elfriede Jelinek: Writing Woman, Nation, and Identity: A Critical Anthology* (Rosemont Publishing 2007) 138.

²⁶⁴ Christian Kaunert and Marina Della Giovanna, 'Post-9/11 EU counter-terrorist financing cooperation: differentiating supranational policy entrepreneurship by the Commission and the Council Secretariat' (2010) 19 (2) Developing European Internal Security Policy 275, 275.

conclusion, the Council approved the five-point plan of action to tackle the terrorism.²⁶⁵ Enhancing of police and judicial co-operation, development of international legal instruments, putting an end to the funding of terrorism, strengthening air security and coordinating the EU's global action were all areas that according to Council required immediate attention.²⁶⁶

From a maritime security perspective, as noted above, the IMO was the first to respond to the 9/11 terrorist act, convening the Diplomatic Conference on maritime security on the 12 December 2002, barely fifteen months after the terrorist attacks. At the time, ten countries were preparing to join the EU, including Estonia, Latvia, Slovakia, and Poland. While maritime security was essential for some of them, it was critical for other, such as Malta and Cyprus, which are the major port States and ship registries. As the EU expanded its borders, the necessity to ensure that its MS had the required framework in place to deal with ship and port facility security issues became even more relevant.

Following the adoption of the ISPS Code, Loyola de Palacio the then Vice-President of the EU Commission stated that:

The current geopolitical climate requires an urgent and effective implementation in Europe of what has been agreed at world level to ensure the highest possible levels of security for seamen, ships, ports and the whole intermodal transport chain.²⁶⁹

²⁶⁵ Helen Bower, 'Terrorism: The European Union Response, October 2003' (*European Sources Online* 27 October 2003) https://www.europeansources.info/record/terrorism-the-european-union-response-october-2003/> accessed on 3 September 2021.

European Council, 'Conclusions and Plan of Action of the Extraordinary European Council Meeting' (21
 September

https://www.consilium.europa.eu/ueDocs/cms_Data/docs/pressData/en/ec/140.en.pdf accessed on 3 September 2021.

²⁶⁷ La-Bhus Fah Jirasavetakul and Jesmin Rahman, *Foreign Direct Investment in New Member State of the EU and Western Balkans: Taking Stock and Assessing Prospects* (IMF 2018) 3.

²⁶⁸ Benjamin Parameswaran, *The Liberalization of Maritime Transport Services: With Special Reference to the WTO/GATS Framework* (Springer 2012) 26.

²⁶⁹ European Commission (n 190), 1.

After acknowledging the significance of the IMO's Diplomatic Conference, the Commission underlined the need for further improvements in order to create a comprehensive framework on ship and port facility security.²⁷⁰ Instead of limiting itself to the legal mechanisms developed by IMO, the Commission, not for the first time,²⁷¹ goes further in developing ship and port security regime and initiates the debate on entire maritime transport sector. The reason for EU to initiate such discussions and introduce its own regulatory rules is that following a number of maritime disasters, involving passenger ships as Estonia in 1994²⁷² and Express Samina in 2000,²⁷³ as well as cargo vessels Erika in 1999²⁷⁴ and Prestige in 2002.²⁷⁵ Following these incidents, the Union expressed concern with the sufficiency or rather insufficiency of the IMO framework on maritime transport.²⁷⁶ The primary motivation behind such decision was two-fold, first, dissatisfaction with the IMO regulatory procedure which was regarded as slow, and second, presence of a strong EU enforcement mechanism. ²⁷⁷ It follows that while failing to satisfy requirements under IMO Conventions is unlikely to result in legal repercussions, non-compliance with EU obligations may lead to penalties. ²⁷⁸ To this affect, the EU has enacted a number of legal instruments that implements and to a certain extent supplement IMO standards and rules.²⁷⁹

²⁷⁰ Ibid.

²⁷¹ Great Britain: Parliament: House of Commons: European Scrutiny Committee, *Twenty-third Report of Session 2005-06: Documents Considered by the Committee on 29 March 2006, Including; Promotion of Clean Road Vehicles; Report, Together with Formal Minutes* (The Stationary Office 2006) 19.

²⁷² Hubert Klupfel, 'Ship-Evacuation-Guidelines, Simulation, Validation and Acceptance Criteria' in Wolfram W. F. Klingsch and others (eds.), *Pedestrian and Evacuation Dynamics 2008* (Springer 2010) 256. ²⁷³ Henrik Ringbom, *The EU Maritime Safety Policy and International Law* (Brill 2008) 45.

²⁷⁴ Anthony Morrison, *Places of Refuge for Ships in Distress: Problems and Methods of Resolution* (Nijhoff 2012) 29.

²⁷⁵ Veronica Frank, *The European Community and Marine Environmental Protection in the International Law of the Sea: Implementing Global Obligations at the Regional Level* (Martinus Nijhoff Publishers 2007) 235.

²⁷⁶ Marketa Pape, 'European Parliament Briefing: The IMO – for 'safe, secure and efficient shipping on clean oceans' (February 2016) 6

https://www.europarl.europa.eu/RegData/etudes/BRIE/2016/577964/EPRS_BRI%282016%29577964_EN.pdf accessed on 4 September 2021.

²⁷⁷ Ibid.

²⁷⁸ Claudia Cinelli, 'Law of the Sea Framework' in Ramses A. Wessel and Jed Odermatt (eds.), *Research Handbook on the European Union and International Organizations* (Edward Elgar Publishing 2019) 477.

²⁷⁹ Robin Churchill, 'The European Union and the Challenges of Marine Governance: From Sectoral Response to Integrated Policy?' in Davor Vidas and Peter Johan Schei (eds.), *The World Ocean in Globalisation: Climate Change, Sustainable Fisheries, Biodiversity, Shipping, Regional Issues* (Martinus Nijhoff Publishers 2011) 409.

3.3 Regulation (EC) No 725/2004 on Enhancing Ship and Port Facility Security

On 31 March 2004, the European Parliament and the Council adopted the ISPS Regulation on enhancing ship and Port Facility Security which applies to all MS and automatically becomes part of their domestic law. The ISPS Regulation defines maritime security as 'the combination of preventive measures intended to protect shipping and port facilities against threats of intentional unlawful acts'. 280 It also provides a definition of 'port facility' that is not included in the ISPS Code. According to the ISPS Regulation, the port facility means 'a location where the ship/port interface takes place; this includes areas such as anchorages, waiting berths and approaches from seaward, as appropriate'. 281 The ISPS Regulation's main objective is to implement Union measures intended to improve the security of ships through preventive mechanisms used in international trade and associated port facilities in the light of intentional unlawful acts including piracy and armed robbery at sea. 282 Moreover, it sets a foundation for the harmonised interpretation and implementation of the IMO's special measures to enhance maritime security, which were enacted in 2002. 283 The ISPS Regulation transposes Chapter XI-2 of the SOLAS Convention and the Ship and the ISPS Code which are essential components of international maritime security framework. 284

In relation to international shipping, both the ISPS Code and the ISPS Regulation have the same application date that is 1 July 2004. 285 Unlike the ISPS Code, the ISPS Regulation enhances the security not just for the international shipping and port facilities that support it, but also for domestic shipping and port facilities within the Union.²⁸⁶ With the introduction of the ISPS Regulation, Part B of the ISPS Code acquired mandatory nature. ²⁸⁷ In addition, the ISPS Regulation provides the Union interpretation of some of the IMO's 'open' mandatory dispositions such as application to short-sea

²⁸⁰ Council Regulation (n 23) Article 2.5.

²⁸¹ Ibid Article 2.11.

²⁸² Ibid Article 1.1.

²⁸³ Ibid Article 1.2.

²⁸⁴ Ibid Preamble 5; Jörn-Ahrend Witt, Obligations and Control of Flag States: Developments and Perspectives in International Law and EU Law (LIT Verlag Munster 2007) 144.

²⁸⁵ Council Regulation (n 23) Article 3.1.

²⁸⁶ Ibid Recital 7.

²⁸⁷ Ibid Recital 8.

shipping,²⁸⁸ cases of port facilities only occasionally serving international routes,²⁸⁹ a control regime for ship security prior to any ship entering an EU port,²⁹⁰ as well as security checks in port.²⁹¹

The ISPS Regulation extends beyond the ISPS Code for a variety of reasons. For the sake of ship and port security and promotion of uniform interpretation amongst MS, the ISPS Regulation turns recommendations from the ISPS Code Part B into requirements.²⁹² As a result, EU framework facilitates a common implementation, among EU MS, of measures designed to improve ship and port security outlined in the ISPS Code. The Union obliges national authority to be responsible for coordinating, implementing and monitoring the application of the provisions of the ISPS Regulation. ²⁹³ The ISPS Regulation provides, under Commission's supervision, for an inspection mechanism designed to evaluate the control techniques and implementation of national plans established under it.²⁹⁴ The European Maritime Safety Agency (EMSA) shall support the Commission and carry out the inspection responsibilities delegated to it by the ISPS Regulation. 295 Furthermore, the ISPS Regulation established the Maritime Security Committee (MARSEC Committee) intended to design the operation standards required to ensure harmonised application of standards established in the common maritime security legislation.²⁹⁶ The Committee's mission is to ensure that EU regulations achieve their goals and offer the essential protection for maritime transport in the Union. ²⁹⁷

The ISPS Regulation uses various terms from the IMO's framework to enhance legal requirements for the maritime transport within MS. ²⁹⁸ To this effect, MS shall

²⁸⁸ Ibid Article 5.2.

²⁸⁹ Ibid Regulation 2, Article 2.

²⁹⁰ Ibid Article 4.29.

²⁹¹ Ibid Article 4.30.

²⁹² Ibid Recital 16.

²⁹³ Ibid Recital 11.

²⁹⁴ Ibid Recital 5.

²⁹⁵ Filippo Lorenzon, 'Safety and Compliance' in Yvonne Baatz (ed.), *Maritime Law* (Informa Law from Routledge, 3 edn, 2014) 361; Jost Delbruck, Thomas Giegerich and Andreas Zimmermann (eds.), *German Year Book of International Law* (48 edn, Duncker and Humblot, 2006) 256.

²⁹⁶ Davide Casale, 'EU Measures on Transportation Security Against Terrorism' in Mete Tahmisoglu and Cinar Ozen (eds.), *Transportation Security Against Terrorism* (IOS Press 2008) 105.
²⁹⁷ Ibid 106.

²⁹⁸ Iliana Christodolou-Varotsi, *Maritime Safety Law and Policies of the European Union and the United States of America: Antagonism or Synergy* (Springer 2009) 41.

implement, through national legislation or regulation, ²⁹⁹ measures supplementary to mandatory provisions of the ISPS Regulation as per Article 3.3 of the ISPS Regulation which holds that:

MS shall, ..., decide the extent to which they will apply, by 1 July 2007, the provisions of this Regulation to different categories of ships operating domestic services other than those referred to in paragraph 2, their companies and the port facilities serving them. The overall level of security should not be compromised by such a decision.

With respect to responsibilities of the stakeholders such as States and shipping companies, the ISPS Regulation imposes the same obligations as those found under the ISPS Code described above.³⁰⁰ The ISPS Regulation applies the requirements forming part of the Chapter XI-2 of the SOLAS Convention and both Parts of the ISPS Code to passenger vessels sailing up to a maximum of 20 nautical miles beyond the coasts.³⁰¹ Furthermore, the ISPS Regulation established responsibilities for ships that are not involved in international voyages, such as the appointment of safety officers for ships and shipping companies, the writing of safety plans, and the performing of safety evaluations.302

The ISPS Regulation's security measures are only a component of what is required to achieve a sufficient degree of security throughout the maritime transport industry. 303 To that purpose, the Commission committed itself to propose a directive, which will now be discussed in the subsequent sub-section, establishing the additional measures to be implemented in EU ports.³⁰⁴

²⁹⁹ UNCTAD, 'Report on Maritime Security: ISPS Code Implementation, Costs and Related Financing' (14 UNCTAD/SDTE/TLB/2007/1, 12 https://unctad.org/system/files/official- document/sdtetlb20071_en.pdf> accessed on 5 September 2021.

47

³⁰⁰ See Section 2.4.

³⁰¹ Council Regulation (n 23) Article 3.2;

³⁰² Ibid Article 3.5.

³⁰³ Dominique Cant, 'Maritime and Supply Chain Security in Europe' in Eliza Shahbazian and Galina Rogova (eds.), Human Systems Integration to Enhance Maritime Domain Awareness for Port/Harbour Security (IOS Press 2008) 3.

³⁰⁴ European Commission (n190), 3.

3.4 Directive 2005/65/EC on Enhancing Port Security

Unlike the Regulations which have direct application in all MS,³⁰⁵ the Directives needs to be transposed in each and every MS. Difference between a Directives and Regulations is that they may be used for different purposes.³⁰⁶ Regulations provides a 'one size fits all' rules for the whole Union and enters into force on the same day for all MS.³⁰⁷ The Directives provide a set of norms and leaves application of these norms to the MS.³⁰⁸ Directives are best suited where convergency that needs to be achieved, may be so achieved by taking into account differences and national circumstances of each MS.³⁰⁹ Directives, unlike rigid Regulations, provide for a degree of flexibility.³¹⁰

The European Parliament and Council adopted the Directive on Enhancing Port Security on the 26 October 2005, requiring the MS to transpose it by the 15 June 2007.³¹¹ MS shall forward to the Commission the national legislation transposing the Directive.³¹²

While the ISPS Regulation covers security at port facilities, the Directive regulates the whole port area security.³¹³ To this effect, the Directive defines the term 'port' as:

... any specified area of land and water, with boundaries defined by the MS in which the port is situated, containing works and equipment designed to facilitate commercial maritime transport operations.

³⁰⁵ OECD, Better Regulation in Europe: France 2010 (2010) 155.

³⁰⁶ Herman Lelieveldt and Sebastiaan Princen, *The Politics of the European Union* (Cambridge University Press 2015) 80.

³⁰⁷ Karolina Żurek, *European Food Regulation after Enlargement: Facing the Challenges of Diversity* (Martinus Nijhoff Publishers 2011) 225.

³⁰⁸ Larry A. DiMatteo and Martin Hogg, *Comparative Contract Law: British and American Perspectives* (Oxford University Press 2016) 431.

³⁰⁹ Herman Lelieveldt (n 306).

³¹⁰ Bruno de Witte, Dominik Hanf and Ellen Vos, *The Many Faces of Differentiation in EU Law* (Hart Publishing 2001) 138.

³¹¹ Council Directive (n24) Article 18.1.

³¹² Ibid Article 18.2.

³¹³ Johny Dalgaard, 'ISPS Code Implementation: Overkill and Off-Target' in Angela Carpenter, Tafsir Johansson and Jon A. Skinner (eds.), *Sustainability in the Maritime Domain: Towards Ocean Governance and Beyond* (Springer Nature 2021) 133.

By subjecting a whole port and port related areas to a security regime, the Directive supplements the security measures imposed by the ISPS Regulation. 314 The main objective of the Directive is to introduce Union measures to enhance port security in the face of threats of security incidents.³¹⁵ It shall also ensure that security measures taken pursuant to ISPS Regulation benefit from enhanced port security. 316 The Directive introduces a set of three particular measures, such as a common basic standards for port security, implementation methods for those norms, and appropriate compliance monitoring mechanisms. 317 To achieve maximum protection for maritime and port operations, measures that cover all ports within a territory identified by the MS should be applied in order to ensure that security measures implemented in accordance with the ISPS Regulation, benefit from enhanced security within port activity areas. 318 Since the boundaries are defined by the MS, in instances where the port facility covers the whole port area, the ISPS Regulation takes precedence over the Directive. 319 Since the port may be either multi or single facility, the Directive extends back from the ship/shore interface into the grater port area. 320 While defining port boundaries, MS shall indicate the landside, the waterside and any equipment intended to be used for maritime trade.321

To ensure compliance, the MS shall create a port security authority for each port on their territory,³²² which is defined as 'the authority responsible for security matters in a given port'.³²³ Thus, the said authority is duty bound to prepare and subsequently implement port security plans discussed above based on port security assessment.³²⁴ The PSP shall instruct upon the coordination with port facility and ship security officer

³¹⁴ Jörn-Ahrend Witt (n 284) 145.

³¹⁵ Council Directive (n24) Article 1.1.

³¹⁶ Ihid

³¹⁷ Ibid Article 1.2.

³¹⁸ Ibid Annex I: Port Security Assessment.

³¹⁹ Ibid Article 2.4.

³²⁰ Department of Transport, Tourism and Sport, 'Port Security Assessment 2019-2024' (2019) 4 http://assets.gov.ie/80480/0cbfff79-9952-4f24-807a-5cb04f11c4d8.pdf accessed on 5 September 2021.

³²¹ Ibid.

³²² Council Directive (n24) Recital 10.

³²³ Ibid Article 3.5.

³²⁴ Ibid Article 5.2; Davide Casale (n294), 105.

and coordinate the duties of the port security committee.³²⁵ An obligation to ensure that port security plans are developed, maintained and updated in terms of the minimum standards prescribed by the Directive is on the MS.³²⁶

In 2009, the Commission, in terms of Article 19 of the Directive, presented the European Parliament and the Council with the first evaluation report where it analysed the effectiveness of the Directive and the compliance of the MS. 327 After noting the key issues, 328 the Directive focused on main topics such as perimeter of port area, 329 information related to port security assessment, 330 monitoring of port security plans and their implementation and recognised security organisation. The Commission initiated 2 infringement proceedings against United Kingdom (UK) and Estonia after they failed to transpose the Directive. In its conclusion, the Commission noted that, given the importance of marine transportation, keeping the port protected is in the best interest of everyone. To this effect, all MS shall 'continue to permanently improve prevention, protection and response measures to counteract the new threats arising from terrorism, piracy or any other intentional illegal act'. 333

The Second report presented in 2013 made reference to five infringement proceedings.³³⁴ Proceedings against Greece, Spain and UK were initiated due to delays

³²⁵ Ibid Annex II: Port Security Plan; See Section 1.5.3.

³²⁶ Ibid Article 7.

European Commission, 'Commission report: Report assessing the implementation of the Directive on enhancing port security' (20 January 2009) https://eurlex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=COM:2009:0002:FIN:EN:PDF accessed on 6 September 2021

³²⁸ Ibid 6: 'In keeping with the principle of subsidiarity, the Directive stipulates that the Member States should themselves establish each port's boundaries and leaves them free to decide whether to apply them to adjacent areas. Member States should also ensure that port security assessments and port security plans are properly drawn up. The idea of the co-legislators was to make use of the same security structures and bodies as in the Regulation, so as to create a security regime that could be used for the whole maritime transport logistics chain, to include ships, terminals and port areas. This approach was meant to allow a simplification of procedures as well as provide synergy among the security authorities in the Member States.

³²⁹ Ibid 7.

³³⁰ Ibid.

³³¹ Ibid 8.

³³² Ibid 9.

³³³ Ibid.

³³⁴ European Commission, 'Commission report: Second Report Assessing the Implementation of the Directive on Enhancing Port Security' (18 November 2013) < https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/ALL/?uri=CELEX%3A52013DC0792 > accessed on 7 September 2021.

in performing assessments and adopting port security plans.³³⁵ The proceedings against Sweden and Germany were related to incorrect implementation of the Directive. 336 Six years later, the third report referred to only one infringement procedure against Germany for failure to define the boundaries, approve port security assessments and plans, and appoint port security officers. 337 In both reports the Commission acknowledged that a significant progress has been made and level of security has increased in European ports.

3.5 Commission Regulation (EC) No 324/2008 Laying Down Revised Procedures for Conducting Commission Inspections in the Field of Maritime Security

On the 10 June 2005, the Commission introduced Regulation 884/2005 laying down procedures for conducting Commission inspections in the field of maritime security.³³⁸ The Regulation 884/2005 was enacted pursuant to the Article 9.4 of the ISPS Regulation which imposes an obligation on the Commission to commence the inspections of port facilities, shipping companies and to supervise the application of the ISPS Regulation by the MS. 339 Commission inspection was defined as: 340

An examination by commission inspectors of Member States 'national maritime security quality control system, measures, procedures and structures, to determine compliance with Regulation (EC) No 725/2004.

The Regulation 884/2005 also identified stakeholders such as the Commission Inspector, the National Inspector, and the Committee, as well as their respective responsibilities.³⁴¹ By way of example, Commission inspector's responsibilities include examination of MS'

³³⁵ Ibid Article 5.3.

³³⁷ European Commission, 'Commission report: Third Report Assessing the Implementation of the Directive on Enhancing Port Security' (25 April 2019) Article 4.3 https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal- content/GA/TXT/?uri=CELEX:52019DC0191> accessed on 7 September 2021.

³³⁸ Commission Regulation (EC) 884/2005 of 10 June 2005 laying down procedures for conducting commission inspections in the field of maritime security (2005) OJ L148.

³³⁹ Ibid Preamble.

³⁴⁰ Commission Regulation (n326) Article 2.1.

³⁴¹ Ibid Article 2.

national maritime security quality control systems, measures and procedures to determine compliance with ISPS Regulation or the Directive.³⁴²

The Commission adopted Regulation 324/2008 on 9 April 2008, repealing Regulation 884/2005 and establishing updated procedures for conducting Commission inspections in the field of maritime security. 343 The amendments to Regulation 884/2005 were motivated by the fact that it was only applicable to the port facilities controlled by the ISPS Regulation and did not extend to the whole port area defined by the Directive.³⁴⁴ The Commission's obligations were extended by Regulation 324/2008, which covered supervision of port facilities and other areas of the port defined by the Directive.³⁴⁵ The definition of the term 'Commission inspection' was also amended to incorporate the Directive.³⁴⁶ The inspection power enables the Commission to assess the efficiency of national quality control systems, maritime security measures, processes, and structures at the national, regional, and local levels, as well as individual port facilities and relevant shipping companies. According to Regulation 324/2008, the MS is required to cooperate in an effective manner with the Commission throughout the inspection process.³⁴⁷ MS shall provide access to all necessary documents upon Commission's request. 348 Furthermore, unless an inspector works at the port being inspected, an officer chosen by the MS must assist the Commission in port inspections.³⁴⁹ Qualifications required for being appointed as a national inspector include: 1) a good understanding of maritime security; 2) past experience; and 3) knowledge of inspection principles and operations being examined.350

Following the completion of inspection, the Commission shall draw up a report and communicate it to the MS concerned.³⁵¹ If inspection was carried out on a ship while being anchored in port, the report must also be sent to the vessel's flag State.³⁵² Apart

-

³⁴² Ibid Article 2.1.

³⁴³ Commission Regulation (n 11) (EC) Recital 7 and Article 18.

³⁴⁴ Ibid Preamble.

³⁴⁵ Ibid Article 1.

³⁴⁶ Ibid Article 2.1.

³⁴⁷ Ibid Article 3.

³⁴⁸ Ibid Article 4.2.

³⁴⁹ Ibid Article 5.

³⁵⁰ Ibid Article 7.

³⁵¹ Ibid Article 11.1.

³⁵² Ibid Article 11.2

from providing a detailed observation, the report shall identify any non-conformity or major non-conformity. The former implies that objective evidence indicates the non-fulfilment of the requirement of the ISPS Regulation and of the Directive, the latter means that existing deviation from the aforementioned legislation poses a serious threat and requires immediate remedial actions. The Commission may, in such situations, conduct a follow-up inspection or, the follow-up inspection or, the latter infringement proceedings against the MS concerned. In the hands of the Commission, the ability to launch infringement actions is an extremely strong enforcement mechanism. It may be regarded as a 'whip', but when employed against an MS, it is done so not only for the advantage of international commerce, but also for the interest of the Union as a whole and EU citizens. Noncompliance with ship and port facility rules is vital because it may disrupt international trade and put many people's lives in jeopardy.

3.6 Conclusion

The events of 9/11 have had significant effects on the transportation systems world-wide including within the EU. An extraordinary session of the European Council recognized the need for an immediate plan of action to combat terrorism for the benefit of all MS and key port States such as Germany and Netherlands, and especially since the EU was on the verge of welcoming 10 more MS, including important port and flag States. Despite the fact that all MS were already Parties to the SOLAS Convention and the ISPS Code, the EU decided to establish its own legislative framework owing to its strict enforcement procedures and with the aim of introducing a uniform approach to ship and port facility security throughout the Union. Recognizing the importance of the ISPS Code, the EU took the initiative and incorporated the Chapter XI-2 of the SOLAS Convention, which includes the ISPS Code, in its entirety into the ISPS Regulation, resulting in the conversion of some recommendations from Part B into obligations. ³⁵⁸ As outlined by the European Commission:

³⁵³ Ibid Article 11.4.

³⁵⁴ Ibid Article 2.6

³⁵⁵ Ibid Article 2.7.

³⁵⁶ Ibid Article 13.1(b).

³⁵⁷ Ibid Article 13.1(c).

³⁵⁸ See Section 3.2.

The EU will work towards more efficient international governance of maritime affairs and effective enforcement of international maritime law, urging MS to ratify the relevant instruments. It will promote coordination of European interests in key international for an Improvement of maritime safety and security and fight against illegal activities in international waters will be (some of) the external priorities for the Union's Integrated Maritime Policy. 359

It should be noted that neither port facilities nor ports are defined in the ISPS Code but are defined under the ISPS Regulation and the Directive respectively. The ISPS Regulation enables uniform implementation of ship and port facility rules among MS because it is directly applicable at the Union level. Through the adoption og specific legal instruments, MS and their national authorities are made accountable for coordinating, executing, and overseeing the Regulation's implementation. Furthermore, specific EU Committees, such as MARSEC were established under the ISPS Regulation to provide operational standards for ships and port facility security and ensure their consistent execution. 360

The EU considered that some aspects of the legal framework were missing after the adoption of the ISPS Regulation. In fact, the term 'port facility' did not encompass the entire port region and thus left certain areas remained unregulated until Directive 2005/65/EC was adopted.361

Furthermore, the Union legislator approved Regulation 884/2005 on procedures for conducting Commission Inspections in the field of maritime security to strengthen the legislative framework on ship and port facility security. It is a powerful system that has

³⁵⁹ Commission of the European Communities, Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions: An Integrated Maritime Policy for the European Union of 10 October 2007, COM (2007) 575. < https://eurlex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:52007DC0575&from=BG > accessed on 8 September 2021.

³⁶⁰ See Section 3.3.

³⁶¹ See Section 3.4.

allowed the Commission to supervise the whole process of implementing the relevant Regulations and, if required, apply penalties for noncompliance.³⁶²

Because it applies not just to ships registered in EU Member States, but also to ships registered in non-EU nations that import and export to and from the EU, EU maritime legislation has shown to be effective. As a result, the EU has emerged as a driving force behind successful implementation and, at times, enhancement of IMO rules on ship and port facility security. Consequently, the EU strives to contribute to more effective implementation of existing international instruments relating to maritime security by using its external policy. In light of the above, the EU legal system's strength is determined not only by the legal framework established by EU institutions, but also by how the 27 MS implement and transpose the ship and port security Regulations and Directive in their domestic laws.

_

364 Ibid.

³⁶² See Section 3.5.

³⁶³ Judith van Leeuwen and Kristine Kern, 'The External Dimension of European Union Marine Governance: Institutional Interplay between the EU and the International Maritime Organization' (2013) 13 (1) Global Environmental Politics 69, 69.

Chapter 4: Implementation of European Legislation on Ship and Port Facility Security: An Analysis of Selected EU Member States

4.1 Introduction

EU MS are responsible for the effective implementation and application of relevant EU legislation on ship and port facility security within their borders. In this respect, the chapter focuses on the practice of Spain, having the largest coastline in Europe, and Malta, being the largest ship registry in EU and an important island State. The chapter will provide a brief discussion on implementation of Regulation 725/2004, and will then examine how these two MS have transposed the Directive 2005/65/EC into their national laws.

4.2 Implementation of the EU Legislation on Ship and Port facility Security in the Spanish Legal System

On 1 January 1986, Spain became a MS of the European Community (EC), today the EU.³⁶⁵ Joining the EU confers not just rights but also obligations on MS, such as applying EU legislation in its territory.³⁶⁶ Due to the direct applicability of EU Regulations, there appears to be fewer complications than with transposition of EU Directives. In the latter situation, failure to transpose a Directive frequently results in infringement proceedings. ³⁶⁷ The infringement procedure is the result of the Commission's investigation and identification of possible infringement of EU law.³⁶⁸ If an EU MS fails to communicate measures that transpose an EU Directive and fails to comply with the

³⁶⁵ European Union – Commission, 'Instrument of Accession of Spain and Portugal to the European Communities' (Brussels, 11 June 1985) MEMO 75/85,

http://aei.pitt.edu/94538/1/instruments_of_accession.pdf accessed on 9 September 2021.

366 Lisa Webley and Harriet Samuels, *Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials* (Oxford University Press 2021) 236.

³⁶⁷ See Section 3.4.

³⁶⁸ Alessandra Fratini and Martin Zauner, 'Failure to Implement the Postal Directive in the EU and EEA: public and Private Enforcement of State Liability' in Michael A. Crew, Paul R. Kleindorfer (eds.), *Multi-Modal Competition and the Future of Mail* (Edward Elgar Publishing 2012) 48.

Commission's reasoned opinion on the subject within the time frame specified in the said opinion, the Commission may bring the case before CJEU.³⁶⁹

Spain has an eight thousand kilometer-long coastline, the longest of any MS. 370 A significant percentage of international trade to and from Spain is carried out by sea. 371 Due to the length of the coastline and its geographic location, Spanish land is vulnerable to maritime security threats such as irregular migrants from numerous African and Asian countries, who cross the Straits of Gibraltar and travel from the west coast of Africa to the Canaries.³⁷² It follows that the larger the coast, the harder it is to keep it secure. In 2019, with more than half a billion tons of cargo traffic and in excess of 37 million passenger flow, Spanish ports have set new milestones.³⁷³ Similar success applies to the Spanish ship ownership and registration. As of January 2020, the fleet under the Spanish shipowners' control and sailing under the Spanish flag reaches 5 million gross tonnage (GT). 374 The statistics suggest that Spain, to be able to retain a leading position in maritime trade on international plane must ensure that its ships and ports are secured. Spain has already been the victim of a large-scale terrorist assault including the use of public transportation, such as trains.³⁷⁵ A total of 191 people were killed and nearly 1,800 others were injured in ten explosions in Madrid Metropolitan.³⁷⁶ Such a tragic incident highlights the need for the MS of keeping transportation safe from threats. From maritime perspective, the much-needed legislative framework for the security of ships and port facilities allows for the detection and prevention of similar terrorist operations.

-

³⁶⁹ TFEU (n38) Article 258.

³⁷⁰ Gerda Priestley and Joan Carles Llurdes, 'Planning for Sustainable Development in Spanish Coastal Resorts' in Sheela Agarwal and Gareth Shaw (eds.), *Managing Coastal Tourism Resorts: A Global Perspective* (Channel View Publications 2007) 90.

³⁷¹ Frank Harris Hitchcock, Spain's Foreign Trade (Washington Government Printing office 1898) 9.

³⁷² Francisco Piniella, Jorge Walliser, Jorge Walliser and Aitor Martínez-Lozares, 'Global Maritime Security: The role of Spain as a Port State' (2008) Journal of Maritime Research 15, 22.

³⁷³ Anna Mestre and Carlos Górriz, 'The Shipping Law Review: Spain' (*The Law Reviews*, 8 June 2021) https://thelawreviews.co.uk/title/the-shipping-law-review/spain#footnote-012-backlink accessed on 10 September 2021.

Spanish Shipowners Association, 'Merchant marine and maritime transport 2019/2020' (ANAVE 2020) https://www.anave.es/images/informes/marina_mercante/2020/MMTM2020_eng_webOK.pdf accessed on 10 September 2021.

³⁷⁵ Kathleen Sweet, *Aviation and Airport Security: Terrorism and Safety Concerns, Second Edition* (2nd edn, CRC Press 2008) xxi.

³⁷⁶ Max M. Houck and Jay A. Siegel, *Fundamentals of Forensic Science* (Academic Press 2009) 473.

Since the EU has legislated on ship and port facility security through the ISPS Regulation,³⁷⁷ the rules of the said Regulation become directly applicable in Spain from the 1 July 2004.³⁷⁸ In addition, Regulation 324/2008 allows the Commission to monitor correct application of the ISPS Regulation by means of surveys that are performed in a transparent, effective, harmonized and consistent manner.³⁷⁹

Directives on the other hand need to be transposed into the Spanish' national law by an agreed date. ³⁸⁰ The Directive 2005/65/EC, which had a 15 June 2007 deadline was transposed into the national law of Spain by Royal Decree number 1617/2007 (Royal Decree) of 7 December 2007, after a nearly 6 months long delay. ³⁸¹ The Royal Decree established measures for the improvement of the security of ports and maritime transport, which aims at adopting measures aimed to improving the protection of ports from the threat of deliberate illegal incidents or acts that affect maritime security. ³⁸² For the purpose of fulfilling the obligation, Spain creates a managing entity for the corresponding port, ³⁸³ in Spanish referred to as 'Autoridad de protección portuaria'. ³⁸⁴ Its functions include control in the port area, compliance with the regulations that affect the maritime protection of port and port facilities and that is without prejudice to the competences that fall within the jurisdiction of other organs of the public administrations. ³⁸⁵ Article 14 of the Royal Decree specifies three levels of security, as defined by the ISPS Regulation. All three levels are linked to the ISPS Regulation and the ISPS Code's related provisions. ³⁸⁶ To minimize the level of threat, the ships approaching

³⁷⁷ See Section 3.3.

³⁷⁸ ISPS Regulation (n23) Article 3.1.

³⁷⁹ Commission Regulation (n 11), Article 1.

³⁸⁰ Rachel A. Epstein and Ulrich Sedelmeier, *International Influence Beyond Conditionality: Postcommunist Europe after EU enlargement* (Routledge 2013)16; Piet Eeckbout, 'The Eu's Common Foreign and Security Policy after Lisbon: From Pillar Talk to Constitutionalism' in Andrea Biondini, Piet Eeckhout and Stefanie Ripley (eds.), *EU Law After Lisbon* (Oxford University Press 2012) 284.

³⁸¹ Real Decreto 1617/2007, de 7 de Diciembre, Por el Que se Establecen Medidas Para la Mejora de la Protección de los Puertos y del Transporte Marítimo, Official publication: Boletín Oficial del Estado (B.O.E); Number: 304/2007; Publication date: 2007-12-20; Page: 52395-52405.

³⁸² Ibid Article 1.

³⁸³ Ibid Article 7.

^{384 (}Port Security Authority)

³⁸⁵ Real Decreto 1617/2007 (n381) Article 7.2.

³⁸⁶ See Section 2.4.1.

Spanish ports are required to furnish the port authority with security-related information before entering the port. 387 The latter provision applies only to ships listed in the article 3.1 of the Royal Decree which include vessels engaged in the International voyage and exceeding 500 GT. 388 The information needs to be sent to the requesting port in a 'Single Document of Call' or so-called 'Documento Unico de Escala' (DUE). 389 The DUE is regulated by Order FOM/3056/2002 of 29 November 2002 as amended by Order FOM/3769/2007 of 14 November 2007 and FOM/1194/2011 of 29 April 2011, which establishes the integrated scale procedure in the ports of general interest. 390 DUE is a document that provides all of the information required by the port authority's administration to enable the ship to enter the port. 391 The contents of the DUE document are listed in the Annex I of the Order FOM/1194/2011 and includes details of the vessels such as name, flag and IMO number, 392 name of the consignee, port of departure and estimated time of arrival to port waters. 393 This information shall be submitted by electronic messages, 394 at least 24 hours before entry into the port. 395

Furthermore, the employment of pilot control technology to regulate passenger and cargo aboard ro-ro ferries was agreed upon in 2012, following a meeting in Madrid attended by delegates from France, England, Italy, and Portugal. ³⁹⁶ This project is part of the implementation of the ISPS Regulation, Directive, and Royal Decree, which aims to improve port and shipping security in Spain. ³⁹⁷ In addition, pursuant to the order of the Ministry of Presidency PCI/1188/2018 dated 15 November 2018, a system of

-

³⁸⁷ Real Decreto 1617/2007 (n381) Article 19.1.

³⁸⁸ Ibid Article 3.1, Article 3.2 and Article 3.3.

³⁸⁹ Ibid Disposición adicional segunda (Second Additional Provision).

³⁹⁰ Order Fom/1194/2011, On 29 April, Which Regulates The Integrated Procedure Of Scale Of Ships In Ports Of General Interest, Original Language Title: Orden FOM/1194/2011, de 29 de abril, por la que se regula el procedimiento integrado de escala de buques en los puertos de interés general.

³⁹¹ Ibid Article 8.1.

³⁹² IMO number is a unique identifier for ships, registered ship owners and management companies intended to enhance maritime safety and security and to minimise maritime fraud.

³⁹³ Ibid Annex I.

³⁹⁴ Ibid Article 7.

³⁹⁵ Ibid Article 9.1.b.

³⁹⁶ INSEC, 'AQUAPOL: The European Maritime Police Carried Out a Pilot Test in Four Spanish Ports Including Gijon' (6 August 2012) < http://www.insec-project.eu/en/noticias.2/year2012.aquapol-the-european-maritime-police-carried-out-a-pilot-test-in-4-spanish-ports-including-gijon.a40.html> accessed on 11 September 2021.

³⁹⁷ Ibid.

inspections for conformity with maritime security requirements in the port sector was established.³⁹⁸

4.3 Implementation of the EU Legislation on Ship and Port facility Security in the Maltese Legal System

Malta, being a large ship registry, was an important addition to the Union's maritime sector. Since 2015, ³⁹⁹ the Maltese Flag has become the sixth largest registry in the world and the largest in Europe. ⁴⁰⁰ In terms of maritime security, Malta may be considered 'vulnerable' as a small island State. Even small scale attacks, have the potential to cause an extensive damage to the Maltese registered ships, port facilities and also loss loss of life in terms of crew and port workers. In 1985, Malta, similar to Spain, also had firsthand experience with a terrorist attack in which an Egyptian airplane was hijacked by a group of Palestinian nationals. ⁴⁰¹ At the time of the crime, the hijackers were armed with explosives capable of converting an aircraft into a weapon of mass destruction comparable to the one used in the 9/11 attack. ⁴⁰² A similar case might occur with a hijacked ship, making it critical for Malta to have a preventative maritime security regulatory framework in place for its maritime infrastructures.

³⁹⁸Juan L. Pulido Begines and Achim Puetz, 'Maritime Safety and Security in Spain' in Justyna Nawrot and Zuzanna Peplowska-dabrowska (eds.), *Maritime Safety in Europe: A Comparative Approach* (Informa Law 2021) 236.

³⁹⁹ EXUMA, 'Malta Flag becomes the 6th Largest Merchant Ship Register in the World, Consolidates its position as Largest in Europe' (*Transport Malta* 27 January 2015) < https://news.transport.gov.mt/malta-flag-becomes-the-6th-largest-merchant-ship-register-in-the-world-consolidates-its-position-as-largest-in-europe/ > accessed on 12 September 2021.

⁴⁰⁰ MaritimeMT, 'Malta Flag 6th Largest Ship Registrar' (29 May 2020) < https://www.maritimemt.edu.mt/insights/malta-flag-6th-largest-ship-

registrar#:~:text=The%20Malta%20Flag%20is%20the,2500%20vessels%20were%20merchant%20vessels > accessed on 12 September 2021.

⁴⁰¹ Christopher Soler, 'The Global Prosecution of Core Crimes under International Law' (Springer 2019) 491.

⁴⁰² Albert Galea, '35 years on: The EgyptAir hijacking that descended into a bloodbath in Malta' (*Malta Independent* 22 November 2020) https://www.independent.com.mt/articles/2020-11-21/local-news/35-years-on-The-EgyptAir-hijacking-that-descended-into-a-bloodbath-in-Malta-6736228851 accessed on 12 September 2021.

Implementation of the ISPS Regulation in Malta was made through a Legal Notice (LN) 484 of 2004 'Port Security Regulations 2004'. 403 Its main objective was to enable Malta to enhance ship and port security by performing its obligations arising from the SOLAS Convention and ISPS Code. 404 Furthermore, rules must be interpreted and construed in accordance with the ISPS Regulation, and if there is a conflict between the ISPS Regulation and the ISPS Code, the former takes precedence. 405 The then Malta Maritime Authority (MMA) was appointed as the designated authority, 406 whose responsibilities include overseeing the execution of the requirements of the Subsidiary Legislation: Port Security Regulations 499.35 (Port Regulations). 407 The Authority's functions and duties required it to guarantee that security assessments are carried out, reviewed, and authorized, 408 and that facility security plans are developed, approved, executed and maintained. 409

On the 30 November 2007, the European Commission sent a reasoned opinion to a number of MS, including Malta and Spain, for failing to transpose the EU rules on enhancing port security into their national law by the 15 of June 2007. The sending of a reasoned opinion is the final step before possible reference to the CJEU. Reasoned opinion prompted the transposition of the Directive 2005/65/EC which was implemented through an amendment of the Port Regulations by the LN 3 of 2008 Ports Security (Amendment) Regulations. These amendments enacted retroactively and were declared to have entered into force on the 15 of June 2007, the day the Directive 2005/65/EC was to be transposed in all MS.

The Port Regulations include a list of ships and facilities that are subject to its provisions, as well as a list of other vessels ships that are specifically exempt from the Port

⁴⁰³ 'Port Security Regulations L.N 484 of 2004'.

⁴⁰⁴ Ibid Article 2(1).

⁴⁰⁵ Ibid.

⁴⁰⁶ Ibid Article 5.

⁴⁰⁷ 'Port Security Regulations, S.L. 499.35'.

⁴⁰⁸ Port Security Regulation (n402) Article 6 (a) (i).

⁴⁰⁹ Ibid Article 6 (a) (ii).

⁴¹⁰ European Commission, 'Port security: Commission sends reasoned opinions to Estonia, Malta, Spain and the United Kingdom' (Brussels, 30 November 2007) IP07/1825.

⁴¹¹ 'Ports Security (Amendment) Regulations, L.N 3 of 2008'.

⁴¹² Ibid Article 1(2).

Regulations' application. ⁴¹³ Whilst the passenger and cargo ships involved in international voyages, and port facilities within the internal and territorial waters of Malta that serve the said ships are covered by the Port Regulations, ⁴¹⁴ warships together with the pleasure crafts and fishing vessels are excluded from Port Regulation's application. ⁴¹⁵ The amendments also required a change of the designated authority which has been changed from MMA to the Authority for Transport in Malta (TM) established by Authority for Transport in Malta (Arrangement) Act. ⁴¹⁶ As a result, TM, being appointed as a new designated authority, assumed all powers and responsibilities under the Port Regulations. ⁴¹⁷ TM's functions and duties include establishing of procedures related to information protection, performing of security inspections and specifying appropriate security levels for port facilities within the territory of Malta. ⁴¹⁸ If the TM deems it essential, it may extend the regulations to a ship or port facility to which the Port Regulations do not apply in order to improve ship or port security. ⁴¹⁹

To ensure proper implementation of the ISPS Regulation and of the Directive 2005/65/EC, the Port Regulations establish an inter-ministerial Maritime Security Committee (the Committee). 420 The Committee is appointed by the Minister responsible for ports (the Minister) and composed of Permanent Secretary responsible for Ports, the Commander of the Armed forces of Malta, the Commissioner of Police and other heads of the government departments. 421 The Committee is an advisory body tasked to advise TM on the development, maintenance and promotion of an effective system of maritime security. 422 Furthermore, amongst other duties, the Committee is responsible for developing the necessary strategies and policies to reach all international and national obligations and relevant objectives related to maritime security in general. 423 Also, in cases of potential level three threat, the Committee shall develop the necessary

⁴¹³ Port Regulations (n407) Article 3.

⁴¹⁴ Ibid Article 3(1).

⁴¹⁵ Ibid Article 3(2).

⁴¹⁶ 'Authority for Transport in Malta Act: Arrangement of Act, XV. 2009.49'.

⁴¹⁷ Port Regulations (n406) Article 5.

⁴¹⁸ Ibid Article 6.

⁴¹⁹ Ibid Article 57.

⁴²⁰ Ibid Article 8.

⁴²¹ Ibid Article 10.

⁴²² Ibid Article 9(a).

⁴²³ Ibid Article 9(b).

directives for the effective response and support. 424 TM shall also ensure that port security plans are developed by the recognized security organizations. 425 Once the port facility security assessment and plans are developed, it may be approved by the TM after the consultation with the Committee took place. 426 When it comes to approving previously authorized assessments and plans, TM is under no duty to engage the Committee. 427

Port security officer's appointment also falls within the ambit of the TM and acts as a point of contact for port security related issues. 428 Unless the same individual, port security officer shall cooperate with port facility security officer. 429 The latter stakeholder is appointed by the port facility operator. 430 The duties of the port facility security officer include, but are not limited to, facilitating the development, implementation, revision and maintenance of the PFSP for port facility operator and performing duties and responsibilities set out in Part A of the ISPS code and PFSP. 431

According to the Port Regulations, the Minister may, if necessary to improve or enhance maritime security to enable Malta to be part of a concerted international response to a threat to maritime security, authorize screening of any person or any personal effect or object, authorize any search on board of ship or port facility. ⁴³² In such situations the Minister is under an obligation to consult the TM, the Committee and if necessary, other departments and entities. ⁴³³ Powers to permit the aforementioned screening and searches also apply to the TM if there is a reasonable suspicion of a security incident arising. ⁴³⁴

⁴²⁴ Ibid Article 9(c).

⁴²⁵ Ibid Article 27(4).

⁴²⁶ Ibid Article 27(5).

⁴²⁷ Ibid Article (39)

⁴²⁸ Ibid Article 28(1), Article 28(2). .

⁴²⁹ Ibid Article 28(3).

⁴³⁰ Ibid Article 31(1).

⁴³¹ Ibid Article 31(3)

⁴³² Ibid Article 42.

⁴³³ Ibid Article 42(3).

⁴³⁴ Ibid Article 43.

The Port Regulations are also enforced by establishing a number of offenses, in particular of any master or any port facility operator, who fails to comply with the Port Regulations' requirements. ⁴³⁵ Moreover, the offences committed by a body or association of persons are also subject to punitive measures. ⁴³⁶ In terms of offenses, the Port Regulations do not prohibit the imposition of harsher penalties under other laws. ⁴³⁷

Given the foregoing, port regulations enable the domestic application of the ISPS Regulation and Directive 2005/65/EC. The procedures for enforcement guarantee that international and EU rules are not only adopted but also effectively enforced.

4.4 Conclusion

Spain and Malta are the two MS that are heavily dependent on the maritime transport. Apart from EU's interest, safeguarding and securing ship and port facilities are also crucial for their national economies. The need to apply the ISPS Regulation and transpose the Directive 2005/65/EC is therefore critical for the security of ships and port facilities in Spain and Malta. Spain, after suffering the devastating incident in Madrid's underground transportation system, still failed to meet its obligations under EU law, that is to transpose the Directive 2005/65/EC by the required deadline. In contrast, Malta managed to avoid infringement proceedings by enacting comprehensive Port Regulations and forming the Committee to guarantee efficient application and enforcement of international norms. In Finally, by designating authorities, appointing port security officers, creating Committees, conducting security assessments, and drafting security plans the Spanish and Maltese legislation have met the standards required in the Directive 2005/65/EC.

⁴³⁵ Ibid Article 52-53.

⁴³⁶ Ibid Article 54.

⁴³⁷ Ibid Article 55.

⁴³⁸ See Section 4.2.

⁴³⁹ See Section 4.3.

Conclusion

Maritime security requires littoral and coastal States, landlocked States, flag States, and port States to collaborate with international organizations and the maritime industry to protect international trade. 440 In view that the internal market of the EU is the cornerstone of European integration, the Union has always worked to ensure that its maritime areas are secure and safe. The EU's ability to maintain the necessary level of security is contingent on its ability to legislate in the field of transportation. Transportation is a shared responsibility and thus, the EU has enacted a number of binding acts and ensures that they are implemented consistently. To this end, both port State's and flag State must ensure appropriate implementation of their obligations. Low cost of maritime transport makes it primary mode of international imports and exports for the EU, on which the single market relies. Sea-ports and shipping allow the EU to benefit from the rapid growth of international trade and to play a leading role in the global economy. In this respect, proper implementation and enforcement of the ship and port facility security is crucial for stakeholders. The objectives of the EU framework on maritime security, in particular, port and ship security are to prevent illegal activities that may jeopardize the lives of seafarers and port employees and also hamper maritime trade. The EU has implemented several measures to assist protect and accomplish these objectives. The EUMSS, for example, is a comprehensive maritime security strategy aimed to meet different security interests and threats from the global maritime domain that may have an impact on navigation, economy, persons, and territorial security of MS.. 441

Following the terrorist attacks of September 11 2001, the ISPS Code, one of the most far-reaching maritime security instruments adopted on an international plane. Its objective is to address security threats to ships and port infrastructure through both mandatory and recommended measures. Immediately following its adoption, the EU recognized the necessity for further regulation in the field of ship and port facility

_

⁴⁴⁰ See Section 'General Introduction'.

⁴⁴¹ See Section 1.

security on a regional level, particularly as maritime trade has become increasingly important as the EU's single market has developed. 442

In order to enable the MS to effectively implement the ISPS Code requirements, the EU adopted Regulation 275/2004 where it incorporates the ISPS Code and requires certain provisions of its Part B to be mandatory. After realizing that certain areas of the port are not covered by the ISPS Regulation, the Council adopts the Directive 2005/65/EC in order to regulate the whole port area. In order to ensure that MS comply with the ISPS Regulation and the Directive 2005/65/EC, the Regulation 324/2008 laying down revised procedures for conducting Commission inspections in the field of maritime security is adopted. It is an important mechanism that ensures proper implementation and enforcement of EU norms on ship and port facility security by 27 MS. 443 The contribution of the EU in the field of ship and port facility security adds value to the international legal framework on ship and port facility. The incorporation of IMO rules into the EU legal framework ensures harmonized application across the 27 MS.

The implementation of the ISPS Regulation and the EU Directive 2005/65/EC was rather difficult for certain MS. It was noted that both Spain and Malta had received reasoned opinions from the Commission for failure to transpose the Directive 2005/65/EC. Whilst Malta complied with the instructions set out in the reasoned opinion in a timely manner, Spain failed to do so and was subjected to an infringement procedure. Following a detailed examination of the transposition instruments of both MS, it transpires that Malta went beyond the minimum requirements set out in the Directive 2005/65/EC. To ensure proper implementation and enforcement of the EU legislation, Malta incorporated punitive measures for the stakeholders who fails to comply with the provisions of the Port Regulations.444

To enhance the European ship and port facility security system, a number of substantive and procedural reforms are required. In terms of substantive, criminalizing the intent to conduct an illegal act against a ship or port facility will allow MS to prevent the crime

⁴⁴² See Section 2.

⁴⁴³ See Section 3.

⁴⁴⁴ See Section 4.

before it is even attempted. In terms of procedural reforms, MS authorities should make it easier for witnesses to be examined promptly in order to preserve valuable evidence. Preventive measures and substantial sanctions for attempted crime would result in greater enforcement of European rules, benefiting the EU and international community as a whole. MS must join forces and criminalize any form of attempt under their national laws, as well as actively coordinate to enhance ship and port facility security.

While the maritime security threats that EU ships and port facilities face have not been eradicated, the implementation of specific EU legislation to counter these crimes has been a positive development. Nevertheless, this author argues that there is still room for improvement. The 27 MS should continue to collaborate to enhance political and economic conditions in order to deter persons from attempting to conduct crimes against any ship or port facility within the Union in order to protect the lives of maritime operators and ensure effective operation of maritime trade.

Bibliography

Books:

- Ali A. M., 'The International Labour Organization for Minimum Labour Standards:
 The Seafarers' Case' in David Lewin, Bruce E. Kaufman and Paul J. Gollan (eds.),

 Advances in Industrial and Labour Relations: Volume 18 (Emerald Publishing 2011)
- Aloupi N., 'The Conseil Constitutionnel's Jurisprudence on 'Limitations of Sovereignty' in Helmut Philipp Aust and Thomas Kleinlein (eds), Encounters between Foreign Relations Law and International Law: Bridges and Boundaries (Cambridge University Press 2021)
- Bateman S., 'Building Good Order at Sea in Southeast Asia: The Promise of International Regimes' in Kwa Kwa Chong Guan and John Skogan (eds.), Maritime Security in Southeast Asia (Routledge 2007)
- Bennett J. C. W., 'Maritime Security' in Clifford R. Bragdon (ed.), Transportation
 Security (Elsevier 2008)
- Blockmans W., Krom W., Wubs-Mrozewicz J., 'Maritime Trade Around Europe 1300-1600: Commercial Networks and Urban Autonomy' in Wim Blockmans and others (eds.), The Routlege Hadbook of Maritime Trade Around Europe 1300-1600 (Routlege 2017)
- Boisson P., 'Law of Maritime Safety' in David J. Attard and others (eds.), The IMLI
 Manual on International Maritime Law: Volume II Shipping Law (Oxford University
 press 2016)
- Boisson P., Safety at Sea: Policies, Regulations & International law (Bureau Veritas 1999)
- Branch A. and Stopford M., Maritime Economics (Routledge 1999)
- Bruwer C., 'Smuggling and Trafficking of Illicit Goods by Sea' in L. Otto (Ed), 'Global
 Challenges in Maritime Security' (Springer 2020)
- Burthoo-Barah S. B. and Tandrayen-Raghoobur V., 'Maritime Security and Piracy in Mauritius' in Khalid Bichou and others (eds), Maritime Transport Security: Issues, Challenges and National Policies (Edward Elgar Publishing Limited 2013)

- Cant D., 'Maritime and Supply Chain Security in Europe' in Eliza Shahbazian and Galina Rogova (eds.), Human Systems Integration to Enhance Maritime Domain Awareness for Port/Harbour Security (IOS Press 2008)
- Carmo Grault L. B. and Ferreira-Pereira L. C., 'Maritime Cooperation in the European Union-China Relations and the 21st Century Maritime Silk Road: What is at Stake?' in Francisco Jose B. S. Leandro and Paulo Afonso B. Duarte (eds.), The Belt and Road Initiative: An Old Archetype of a New Development Model (Palgrave Macmillan 2020)
- Carpenter A., 'Security and Europe's Sea Ports: threats and issues facing maritime gateways to Europe' in Maria O'Neill and others (eds), New Challenges for the EU Internal Security Strategy (Cambridge Scholars 2013)
- Casale D., 'EU Measures on Transportation Security Against Terrorism' in Mete Tahmisoglu and Cinar Ozen (eds.), Transportation Security Against Terrorism (IOS Press 2008)
- Christodolou-Varotsi I., Maritime Safety Law and Policies of the European Union and the United States of America: Antagonism or Synergy (Springer 2009)
- Christodoulou-Varotsi I. and Pentsov D. A, Maritime Work Law Fundamentals:
 Responsible Shipowners, Reliable Seafarers (Springer 2008)
- Christopher K., Port Security management (CRC Press 2015)
- Churchill R., 'The European Union and the Challenges of Marine Governance: From Sectoral Response to Integrated Policy?' in Davor Vidas and Peter Johan Schei (eds.), The World Ocean in Globalisation: Climate Change, Sustainable Fisheries, Biodiversity, Shipping, Regional Issues (Martinus Nijhoff Publishers 2011)
- Cinca S., 'EUMSS' in Dan Dungaciu (ed.), *The Geopolitical Black Sea Encyclopedia* (Cambridge Scholar Publishing 2020)
- Cinelli C., 'Law of the Sea Framework' in Ramses A. Wessel and Jed Odermatt (eds.),
 Research Handbook on the European Union and International Organizations
 (Edward Elgar Publishing 2019)
- Corbett J.J. and James J. Winebrake J.J., 'International Trade and Global Shipping' in Kevin Gallagher (eds.), Handbook on Trade and Environment (Edward Elgar 2008)

- Cremona M., 'EU External Relations: Unity and Conferal of Powers' in Loic Azoulai (eds.), The Question of Competence in the European Union (Oxford University Press 2014)
- Dalgaard J., 'ISPS Code Implementation: Overkill and Off-Target' in Angela Carpenter, Tafsir Johansson and Jon A. Skinner (eds.), Sustainability in the Maritime Domain: Towards Ocean Governance and Beyond (Springer Nature 2021)
- Delbruck J, Giegerich T. and Zimmermann A. (eds.), German Year Book of International Law (48 edn, Duncker and Humblot, 2006)
- Devrim Atauz A., Eight Thousand Years of Maltese Maritime History: Trade, Piracy, and Naval Warfare in the Central Mediterranean (University Press Florida 2008)
- DiMatteo L. A. and Hogg M., Comparative Contract Law: British and American Perspectives (Oxford University Press 2016)
- Dimitrova D. N. and Blanpai R., Seafarers' Rights in the Globalized Maritime Industry (Kluwer 2010)
- Dupuy R. J. and Vignes D., A Handbook on the New Law of the Sea (Martinus Nijhoff Publishers 1991)
- Eeckbout P., 'The Eu's Common Foreign and Security Policy after Lisbon: From Pillar
 Talk to Constitutionalism' in Andrea Biondini, Piet Eeckhout and Stefanie Ripley
 (eds.), EU Law After Lisbon (Oxford University Press 2012)
- Engel de Boer J., 'The IMO: Maritime Terrorism/Security and Global Ocean Governance' in David J. Attard and others (eds.), The IMLI Treatise on Global Ocean Governance, Volume III: IMO and Global Ocean Governance (Oxford University Press 2018)
- Epstein R. A. and Sedelmeier U., International Influence Beyond Conditionality:

 Postcommunist Europe after EU enlargement (Routledge 2013)
- Farthing B., International Shipping: An introduction to the policies, politics and institutions of the maritime world (Lloyd's of London Press 1987)
- Frank V., The European Community and Marine Environmental Protection in the International Law of the Sea: Implementing Global Obligations at the Regional Level (Martinus Nijhoff Publishers 2007)

- Fratini A. and Zauner M., 'Failure to Implement the Postal Directive in the EU and EEA: public and Private Enforcement of State Liability' in Michael A. Crew, Paul R. Kleindorfer (eds.), Multi-Modal Competition and the Future of Mail (Edward Elgar Publishing 2012)
- Frittelli J. F., 'Port and Maritime Security: Background and Issues for Congress' in Jonathon P. Vesky (eds), Port and Maritime Security (Nova Science Publishers 2008)
- Gaouette M., Cruising for Trouble: Cruise Ships as Soft Targets for Pirates, Terrorists,
 and Common Criminals (Greenwood Publishing 2010)
- Garsky S., 'Strong, Independent, and Effective: The European Union's Promotion of the International Criminal Court' in Astrid Boening and others (eds.), Global Power Europe -Vol. 2: Policies, Actions and Influence of the EU's External Relations (Springer 2013)
- Gerda Priestley G. and Llurdes J. C, 'Planning for Sustainable Development in Spanish Coastal Resorts' in Sheela Agarwal and Gareth Shaw (eds.), Managing Coastal Tourism Resorts: A Global Perspective (Channel View Publications 2007)
- Gianni M., Real and Present Danger: Flag State Failure and Maritime Security and Safety (WWF Oslo/London 2008)
- Great Britain. Royal Commission on Loss of Life at Sea, 'Final Report of the Royal Commission on Loss of Life at Sea: With Minutes of Evidence, Appendix, and Digest of the Evidence' (H.M. Stationery Office, 1887)
- Great Britain: Parliament: House of Commons: European Scrutiny Committee,
 Twenty-third Report of Session 2005-06: Documents Considered by the Committee on 29 March 2006, Including; Promotion of Clean Road Vehicles; Report, Together
 with Formal Minutes (The Stationary Office 2006)
- Grobel M., 'Haunted by History: Ghost and 'Ghosting' in Elfriede Jelineck's Stecken'
 in Matthias Piccolruaz Konzett and Margarette Lamb-Faffelberger (eds.), Elfriede
 Jelinek: Writing Woman, Nation, and Identity: A Critical Anthology (Rosemont
 Publishing 2007)
- Harrison J., Saving the Oceans Through Law: The International legal Framework for the Protection of the Marine Environment (Oxford University Press 2017)
- Hawkes K., Maritime Security (Cornell Maritime Press 1989)

- Herman Lelieveldt and Sebastiaan Princen, The Politics of the European Union (Cambridge University Press 2015)
- Hitchcock F. H., Spain's Foreign Trade (Washington Government Printing office 1898)
- Houck M. M. and Siegel J. A., Fundamentals of Forensic Science (Academic Press 2009)
- Hurlburt K., 'Somali Pirates and Turture' in Maximo Q. Mejia, Jr. and others (eds.),
 Piracy at Sea (Springer 2013)
- Jeong J., 'Progress and challenges: ten years after the ISPS code' (Master of Science dissertation, World Maritime University 2013)
- Jirasavetakul L. F. and Rahman J., Foreign Direct Investment in New Member State of the EU and Western Balkans: Taking Stock and Assessing Prospects (IMF 2018).
- Kenyon D. and Eng P., 'Australia and the EU: Partners in the New Trade Agenda' in Ann Marie Elijah and others (eds), Australia, the European Union and the New Trade Agenda (ANU Press 2017)
- Kermeliotis T., 'Somali Pirates Cost Global Economy '\$18 Billion a Year' in Debra A.
 Miller (eds.), Piracy on the High Seas (Greenhaven Press 2014)
- Kersten W. and others (eds.), Digitalization in Supply Chain Management and Logistics (Epubli 2017)
- Kim S.K., Global Maritime Safety & Security Issues and East Asia (Brill Nijhoff 2019).
- Klamert M., 'Common Provisions: Article 3-5' in Markus Klamert and others (eds.),
 Commentary on the EU: Treaties and the Charter of Fundamental Rights (Oxford University Press 2019)
- Klein N., Maritime Security and the Law of the Sea (Oxford University Press 2011).
- Klupfel H., 'Ship-Evacuation-Guidelines, Simulation, Validation and Acceptance Criteria' in Wolfram W. F. Klingsch and others (eds.), *Pedestrian and Evacuation Dynamics 2008* (Springer 2010)
- Knight A., 'Port State Control: An Important Concept in the Safety of Life at Sea, the
 Protection of the Marine Environment, and of Goods in Transit' in International law
 Institute Canada (eds.), The Future of Ocean Governance and Capacity Development
 (Brill Nijhoff 2018)

- Kraska J. and Pedrozo R, International Maritime Security Law (Martinus Nijhoff Publishers 2013)
- Kraska J., 'Ship and Port Security Facility' in David J. Attard and others (eds.), The
 IMLI Manual on International Maritime Law Volume III: Marine Environmental Law
 and Maritime Security Law (Oxford University Press 2016)
- Kraska J., 'Ship and Port Security Facility' in David J. Attard and others (eds.), The
 IMLI Manual on International Maritime Law Volume III: Marine Environmental Law
 and Maritime Security Law (Oxford University Press 2016)
- Kraska J., Contemporary Maritime Piracy, International Law, Strategy and Diplomacy at Sea (ABC-CLIO 2011)
- Kulyk M. Z., 'Piracy, Hijacking, and Armed Robbery Against Ships' in David J. Attard and others (eds.), The IMLI Manual on International Maritime Law Volume III: Marine Environmental Law and Maritime Security Law (Oxford University Press 2016)
- Kwiatkovska B. and Soons A. H. A., 'Transboundary Movements of Hazardous Wastes and Their Disposal: Emerging Global and Regional Regulation' in Lammers A. C. Kiss (eds.), Hague Yearbook of International Law: Volume 5 (Martinus Nijhoff 1992)
- Landman L., The EU Maritime Security Strategy Promoting or Absorbing European Defence Cooperation? (Clingendael 2015)
- Leal-Arcas R., EU Trade Law, (EE Publishing 2019)
- Leamer E.E., Christopher Thornberg, 'Ports, Trade, and Terrorism: Balancing the Catastrophic and the Chronic' in Jon D. Haveman and Howard J. Shatz (eds.), Protecting the Nation's Seaports: Balancing Security and Cost (PPIC 2006)
- Lehr P., 'Maritime Terrorism: Locations, Actors, and Capabilities' in Rupert Herbert-Burns and others (eds.), Lloyd's MIU Handbook of Maritime Security (Auerbach Publications 2009)
- Liu C., Maritime Transport Services in the Law of the Sea and the World Trade (Thomas Gottier (ed),14th edn, International Academic Publishers)
- Lorenzon F., 'Safety and Compliance' in Yvonne Baatz (ed.), Maritime Law (Informa Law from Routledge, 3 edn, 2014)

- Loveland I., Constitutional Law, Administrative Law, and Human Rights: A Critical Introduction (8th Edition, Oxford University Press 2018)
- Morrison A., Places of Refuge for Ships in Distress: Problems and Methods of Resolution (Nijhoff 2012)
- Moth P., ISPS Code: 2004 Update (Foreshore Publications 2004)
- Murphy M. N., Contemporary Piracy and Maritime Terrorism: The Threat to International Security (Routledge 2007)
- Narula K., The Maritime Dimension of Sustainable Energy Security, (Springer 2019)
- Ng K.Y. A. and Yang Z., 'Maritime security regulations and policies in Hong Kong: A
 Critical Review and the Development of a risk-Based Security Assessment Model' in
 Khalid Bichou and Joseph S. Szyllowicz (eds.), Maritime Transport Security: Issues,
 Challenges and National Policies (Edward Elgar 2013)
- Parameswaran B., The Liberalization of Maritime Transport Services: With Special Reference to the WTO/GATS Framework (Springer 2012)
- Parkinson B.J, 'Maritime Security: A Joint Responsibility' in Thomas C. Fitzhugh III (eds.), Internatioal Perspectives on Maritime Security (Maritime Security Council 1996)
- Pulido Begines J.L. and Puetz A., 'Maritime Safety and Security in Spain' in Justyna
 Nawrot and Zuzanna Peplowska-dabrowska (eds.), Maritime Safety in Europe: A
 Comparative Approach (Informa Law 2021)
- Richardson J, 'The Complexity of EU Maritime Security Policy' in Pedro Borges Graca (eds.), The Challenges of the Atlantic (ISPS University of Lisbon 2015)
- Richardson M., A Time Bomb for Global Trade: Maritime-related Terrorism in an Age of Weapons of Mass Destruction (ISEAS 2004)
- Riddervold M., The Maritime Turn in EU Foreign and Security Policies: Aims, Actors and Mechanisms of Integration (Palgrave Macmillan 2018)
- Ringbom H., The EU Maritime Safety Policy and International Law (Brill 2008)
- Robert Schutze R., 'EU Competences: Existence and Exercise' in Anthony Amull and others (eds), The Oxford Handbook of European Union Law (Oxford University Press 2015)

- Roy R., The European Union's Approach to Maritime Security' in Joachim Krause and Sebastian Bruns (eds.), Routledge Handbook of Naval Strategy and Security (Routledge 2016)
- S Sudalaimuthu and Anthony R. S., Logistics Management for International Businesses: Text and Cases (PHI Learning 2009)
- Seyoum B., Export-Import Theory (3rd edn, Routledge 2017)
- Shi Y., Climate Change and International Shipping: The Regulatory Framework for the Reduction of Greenhouse Gas Emissions (Brill 2916)
- Singh M., 'Maritime Counter-Terrorism: Navies of the World Unite' in Swati Parashar (eds.), Maritime Counter Terrorism: A Pan-Asian Perspective (Dorling Kindersley 2008)
- Smith J. and Soar C., 'Division of Competences in the European Union: Strategy Paper' (European Programme Royal Institute of International Affairs Ghatham House 2002)
- Soler C., 'The Global Prosecution of Core Crimes under International Law' (Springer 2019)
- Sweet K., Aviation and Airport Security: Terrorism and Safety Concerns, Second Edition (2nd edn, CRC Press 2008)
- Tanaka Y., The International Law of the Sea (Cambridge University Press, 2nd edn.
 2015)
- Tillotson J. and Foster N., Text, Cases and Materials on European Union Law (4th Edition, Cavendish Publishing Limited 2003)
- Trelawny C., 'The International Maritime Organization (IMO) and civil maritime security in ports' in Patrick Chaumette (eds), Economic challenge and new maritime risks management: What blue growth? (GOMILEX 2017)
- US Congress and others, Interim Final Regulations on Port Security: Hearing Before the Subcommittee on Coast Guard and Mar[i]time Transportation of the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, House of Representatives, One Hundred Eighth Congress, First Session, July 22, 2003 (US Government Printing Office 2003)
- Webley L. and Samuels H., Complete Public Law: Text, Cases, and Materials (Oxford University Press 2021)

- Wendel P., State Responsibility for Interferences with the Freedom of Navigation in Public International Law (Springer 2007)
- Winter G., 'Summary and Suggestions for Reform Towards a Legal Clinic for Fisheries
 Management' in Gerd Winter (ed.), 'Toward Sustainable Fisheries Law: A
 Comparative Analysis (IUCN 2009)
- Witt J.A., Obligations and Control of Flag States: Developments and Perspectives in International Law and EU Law (LIT Verlag Munster 2007)
- Witte B., Hanf D. and Vos E., The Many Faces of Differentiation in EU Law (Hart Publishing 2001)
- Zec D. and others, 'Port Security Organization and functionality Implementation
 of the ISPS Code in Medium and Small Countries' in Elisa Shahbazian and Galina
 Rogova (eds.), Human Systems Integration to Enhance Maritime Domain Awareness
 for Port/Harbour Security (IOS Press 2008)
- Żurek K., European Food Regulation after Enlargement: Facing the Challenges of Diversity (Martinus Nijhoff Publishers 2011)

Documents

EU

- Commission inspections in the field of maritime security (2008) OJ L98.
- Council of the European Union, 10494/18 'The Revision of the European Union Maritime Security Strategy (EUMSS) Action Plan' as adopted by the council General Affairs (Brussels, 26 June 2018).
- Council of the European Union, 11025/14 'European Union Maritime Security Strategy' as adopted by the council General Affairs (Brussels, 24 June 2014).
- European Commission, 'Communication from the commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions: EU Agenda and Action Plan on Drugs 2021-2025' (Brussels, 2020) 1.

- European Commission, 'Port security: Commission sends reasoned opinions to Estonia, Malta, Spain and the United Kingdom' (Brussels, 30 November 2007) IP07/1825.
- Internal Security Strategy for the European Union: Towards a European Security Model, 5842/2/10 REV 2 JAI 90, agreed by the Council on 25 March 2010.
- Marketa Pape, 'European Parliament Briefing: The IMO for 'safe, secure and efficient shipping on clean oceans' (February 2016).

UN

- UNGA, 'Report on the Oceans and the law of the sea: Report of the Secretary General' UN Doc. A/63/63 (2008) para 39.
- United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD), 'Port Marketing and the Third Generation Port' (United Nations 1992) 2.
- UNSC 'Piracy off Somali Coast Not Only Criminal, but Very Successful, Security Council Hears, Cautioned There Could Be No Peace at Sea without Stability on Land' (18 November 2009) Press Release SC 9793.

IMO

- IMO Assembly, 'Review of measures and procedures to prevent acts of terrorism which threaten the security of passengers and crews and the safety of ships' (19-29 November 1985) Res A. 924(22).
- IMO, 'Guidelines on Security-Related Training and Familiarization for Port facility Personnel' Ref. T2-MSS/2.11.1 (MSC.1/Circ. 1341, 27 May 2010) 1.
- Marios Meletiou, 'Improved Port Performance Through Training: The Contribution
 of the International Labour Organization' (ILO, 22nd International Port Conference "Human Resources and Sea Ports Performance" 12 14 March 2006, Alexandria,
 Egypt).
- UNCTAD, 'Maritime Piracy: Part I An Overview of Trends, Costs and Trade Related
 Implications' (United Nations, 2014) 11.

Journals

- Alavi H., 'The European Union external competencies and maritime industry' (2018)
 8 (SI) Judicial Tribune 128
- Asgary A, Ozdemir A. I., Özyürek H., 'Small and Medium Enterprises and Global Risks: Evidence from Manufacturing SMEs in Turkey' (2020) 11 Int J Disaster Risk Sci 59
- Attard F., 'IMO's Contribution to International Law Regulating Maritime Security'
 (2014) 45 (4) JMLC 479
- Bennet A., 'That Sinking Feeling: Stateless Ships, Universal Jurisdiction, and the Drug
 Trafficking Vessel Interdiction Act' (2012) 37 (1) The Yale Journal of International
 Law 433
- Berski A., 'Which Doctrine has had the Bigger Impact on EU law, Direct Effect or Supremacy?' (2016) Report prepared for School of Languages, Law and Social Sciences, Technological University Dublin, 1
- Bilbao-Ubillos J., Fernández-Sainz A. and Payán-Azkue R., 'State aid, EU maritime transport policies and competitiveness of EU country fleets' (2021) 13 (7)European Transport Research Review 1
- Brodarick A. M., 'High Seas, High Stakes: Jurisdiction Over Stateless Vessels And An Excess Of Congress Of Congressional Professional Power Under The Drug Tower Under The Drug Trafficking Vessel Interdiction Act' (2012) 67(1) University of Miami Law Review 255
- Bueger C. and Edmunds T., Beyond Sea blindness: A New Agenda for Maritime
 Security Studies (2017) 93 (6) International Affairs 1294
- Bulygin E., 'On Norms of Competence' (1992) 11(3) Law and Philosophy 201
- Cabrita C., 'Maritime security strategy and the EU global strategy: thinking globally?'
 (2017) 15 (1) LPIS 9
- Casaca A. C. P, 'Insights into the port training of the new European Union Member
 States', (2006) 33 (3) Maritime Policy & Management 203
- Çetin O., Köseoğlu M., 'A Study on the Classification of Maritime Security Threat Topics' (2020) 7 (3) IJEG 365

- Chalk P., 'The Maritime Dimension of International Security Terrorism, Piracy, and Challenges for the United States', (2008) Project Air Force xii.
- Chih-Hao Wen C. H., Hsu P. Y, Cheng M,, 'Applying intelligent methods in detecting maritime smuggling' (2017) 19 Maritime Economics & Logistics 573
- Conway G, 'Conflict of Competence Norms in EU Law and the Legal Reasoning of the ECJ' (2010) 11(9) German Law Journal 967
- Cook P., 'Comment: The emerging spectrum of maritime security' (2020) 1 (1) IJMCS
- D'Addario F., 'ISO/PAS 28001 enables Starbucks Coffee Company's supply chain strategy', (2006) 3 (7/8) ISO Focus 12
- Demirbas D., Helen Flint and David Bennett, 'Supply chain interfaces between a port utilizing organisation and port operator' (2014) 19 (1) Supply Chain Management 79
- Farrell R, 'Maritime Terrorism: Focusing on the Probable' (2007) 60 (3) NWCR 46
- Fouche H., 'Somali Pirates Take to the High Seas: Expediency or Long-Term Pirate Strategy?' 37 (2) Scientia Militaria: SAJMS 67
- Frontini A., 'The European Union Maritime Security Strategy: sailing uncharted waters?' (*European Policy Centre*, 26 June 2014)
- Garben S., 'Competence Creep Revisited' (2017) 57 (3-4) JCMS 1
- Germond B., 'The EU's security and the sea: defining a maritime security strategy' (2011) European Security 20 (4) 563
- Gormley L. W., 'Competition and Free Movement: Is the Internal Market the Same as a Common Market?' (2002) 13 (6) EBLR 517
- Goulielmos A. M. and Anastasakos A.A, 'Worldwide security measures for shipping, seafarers and ports: An impact assessment of ISPS Code' (2005) 14 (4) Disaster Prevention and Management 462
- Graham C. A. E., 'Maritime Security and Seafarers 'Welfare: Towards Harmonization' (2009) 8 (1) WMU Journal of Maritime Affairs 71
- Gripaios P. and Gripaios R., 'The impact of a port on its local economy. The case of Plymouth' (1995) 22(1) Maritime Policy Management 13

- Hamad B. H, The Roles of Flag States in Maritime Security Governance: A Case Study of the East African Community (2016) 6 (14) Research on Humanities and Social Sciences 95
- Hare J., 'Port State Control: Strong Medicine to Cure a Sick Industry' (1997) 26 (3)
 GJICL Special Admiralty Issue 571
- Hesse G. H., 'Maritime Security in a Multilateral Context: IMO Activities to Enhance
 Maritime Security' (2003) 18 (1) IJMCL 327
- Hohfeld W., 'Some Fundamental Legal Conceptions As Applied in Judicial Reasoning', (1913) 23 (16) Yale Law Journal 28
- Honniball A. N, 'The Exclusive Jurisdiction of Flag States: A Limitation on Pro-active Port States?' (2016) 31 (1) IJMCL 499
- Kamiel J. Mortelmans, 'The Common Market, the Internal Market, the Single Market, what's in a market?' (1998) 35 (1) CMLR 101
- Kaunert C and Giovanna M. D, 'Post-9/11 EU counter-terrorist financing cooperation: differentiating supranational policy entrepreneurship by the Commission and the Council Secretariat' (2010) 19 (2) Developing European Internal Security Policy 275
- Koka E, Veshi D., 'Irregular Migration by Sea: Interception and Rescue Interventions in Light of International Law and the EU Sea Borders Regulation' (2019) 21 (1) EJML
 26
- Leeuwen J. and Kern K., 'The External Dimension of European Union Marine Governance: Institutional Interplay between the EU and the International Maritime Organization' (2013) 13 (1) Global Environmental Politics 69
- Lim K., 'The Role of the International Maritime Organization in Preventing the Pollution of the World's Oceans from Ships and Shipping' (2017) UN Chronicle
- Mazaheri A., 'Impacts of the ISPS code on port activities-A case study on Swedish ports' (2009) 2 (4) WRITR 326
- McGee A, Weatherill S, 'The Evolution of the Single Market Harmonization and Liberalization' (1990) 53 (5) The Modern Law Review 578
- Metaparti P., 'Rhetoric, rationality and reality in post-9/11 maritime security' (2007)
 37 (7) Maritime Policy and Managemen, 723.

- Murphy M. N., 'Small Boats, Weak States, Dirty Money: Piracy and Maritime
 Terrorism in the Modern World' (2011) 4 2 Journal of Strategic Security 13
- Nagi A., Indorf M., Wolfgang Kersten, 'Bibliometric Analysis of Risk Management in Seaports' in Azhar Ahmad, 'Maritime security post-9/11' (2009) 29 (2/3) 81
- Nengye L., Maes F. 'The European Union and the International Maritime Organization: EU's External Influence on the Prevention of Vessel-Source Pollution' (2010) 41 (4) JMLC 1
- Novo-Corti I., Laxe F., 'Maritime Transport and Trade: The Impact of European Transport Policy. An Overview of Maritime Freight Transport Patterns' (2009) XII (1) ERS 132
- Nurul Huda Ahmad Razali and Wan Siti Adibah Wan Dahalan, 'The ISPS Code and Its
 Implementation in Malaysia' (2012) 5 (2) Arena Hukum 42
- Özçayir O., 'The Use Of Port State Control In Maritime Industry And The Application Of The Paris MOU' (2008) 14 (2) Ocean and Coastal Law Journal 201
- Phelan W., 'The Revolutionary Doctrines of European Law and the Legal Philosophy of Robert Lecourt' (2017) 28 (3) EJIL 935
- Piniella F., Walliser J., Martínez-Lozares A., 'Global Maritime Security: The role of Spain as a Port State' (2008) Journal of Maritime Research 15
- Rasmussen M., 'Revolutionizing European law: A history of the Van Gend en Loos judgment' (2014) 12 (1) International Journal of Constitutional Law 136, 155.
- Robson M., 'Maritime Security and the Law of the Seas' (2014) 14 (4) Defence
 Studies 414
- Rosalie Balkin, 'The International Maritime Organization and Maritime Security'
 (2006) 30 (1 & 2) Tulane Maritime Law Journal 1
- Schroeder W., 'Limits to European Harmonisation of Criminal Law' (2020) 20 (2)
 EUCRIM 144
- Sotiroski L., 'The EU and International legal Framework in Maritime Safety' (2016)
 25 (1), 297
- Stanslas P. T., 'Transborder Human Trafficking in Malaysian Waters: Addressing the Root Causes' (2010) 41 (4) JMLC 595

- Thompson F., 'Shipping industry slams EU's proposed new emissions charge as 'extraterritorial tax on trade', (*Global Trade Review* 21 July 2021).
- Tousley M., 'United States Seizure of Stateless Drug Smuggling Vessels on the High Seas: Is It Legal' (1990) 22 (2) CWRJIL 375

Online Sources

- ¹ European Union Maritime Security Strategy, 11205/14, adopted by the General Affairs Council on 24 June 2014.
- 'Merchant fleet: Building, ownership, registration and recycling of ships, 2019' (E-Handbook of Statistics 2020)
 https://stats.unctad.org/handbook/MaritimeTransport/MerchantFleet.html#ref_Unctad_2020a accessed on 11 August 2021.
- 'Pirates release MV Beluga Nomination', (*Oceanus Live* 13 April 2011) http://www.oceanuslive.org/main/viewnews.aspx?uid=00000258 accessed on 23 August 2021.
- 'Ports' Relationship in the Mediterranean region: future cooperation, competences and competitions' (*Docks the Future*, 11 November 2020)
 https://www.docksthefuture.eu/relationship-with-med-neighbouring-countries/ accessed on 18 August 2021.
- 'Seafarers' special status continues to be used against them it's time to stop'

 (European Transport Workers' Federation 26 April 2021) https://www.etf-europe.org/seafarers-special-status-continues-to-be-used-against-them-its-time-to-stop/# ftnref1> accessed on 21 August 2021.
- 'Top 10 Flag States 2020' (Lloyd's List 3 December 2020)
 https://lloydslist.maritimeintelligence.informa.com/LL1134965/top-10-flag-states-2020 accessed on 15 August 2021.
- Aaron Lukas, 'Protection Without Protectionism: Reconciling Trade and Homeland Security' (Cato Institute 8 April 2004) < https://www.cato.org/trade-policy-analysis/protection-without-protectionism-reconciling-trade-homeland-security?queryID=b6b0784f6e5914e544174d4fb948c3df> accessed on 24 August 2021.

- Ajay Menon, '8 Major Types of Cargo Transported Through the Shipping Industry'
 (Marine Inside 5 October 2020) https://www.marineinsight.com/types-of-ships/8-major-types-of-cargo-transported-through-the-shipping-industry/ accessed 10 August 2021.
- Albert Galea, '35 years on: The EgyptAir hijacking that descended into a bloodbath in Malta' (Malta Independent 22 November 2020) https://www.independent.com.mt/articles/2020-11-21/local-news/35-years-on-The-EgyptAir-hijacking-that-descended-into-a-bloodbath-in-Malta-6736228851 accessed on 12 September 2021.
- Anish Wankhede, 'The ISPS Code For Ships An Essential Quick Guide', (Marine Insight, 1 January 2021) https://www.marineinsight.com/maritime-law/the-isps-code-for-ships-a-quick-guide/ accessed on 3 September 2021.
- Anna Mestre and Carlos Górriz, 'The Shipping Law Review: Spain' (*The Law Reviews*,
 June 2021) https://thelawreviews.co.uk/title/the-shipping-law-review/spain#footnote-012-backlink> accessed on 10 September 2021.
- Commission of the European Communities, Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions: An Integrated Maritime Policy for the European Union of 10 October 2007, COM (2007) 575. < https://eurlex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:52007DC0575&from=BG > accessed on 8 September 2021.
- Department of Transport, Tourism and Sport, 'Port Security Assessment 2019-2024'
 (2019) 4 http://assets.gov.ie/80480/0cbfff79-9952-4f24-807a-5cb04f11c4d8.pdf
 accessed on 5 September 2021.
- Dimitrios Angelopoulos, 'German legislation regarding PMSCs: The accreditation process is not yet clear' (*Piracy Daily*, 4 June2013) https://www.maritime-executive.com/article/German-Legislation-Regarding-PMSCs-The-Accreditation-Process-Is-Not-Yet-Clear-2013-06-12 > accessed on 22 August 2021.
- EcoLex, 'International Convention for the Safety of Life at Sea'
 https://www.ecolex.org/details/treaty/international-convention-for-the-safety-of-life-at-sea-solas-tre-000115/> accessed on 26 August 2021.

- ECSA, 'Economic Value of the EU Shipping Industry, 2020' (Maritime Cyprus 1
 December 2020) https://maritimecyprus.com/2020/12/01/ecsa-economic-value-of-the-eu-shipping-industry/ accessed on 2 August 2021.
- ECSA, A Single Market for Shipping Time to Make it Happen (2017)
 <ps://www.ecsa.eu/news/single-market-shipping-time-make-it-happen> accessed on 29 June 2021.
- European Commission, 'Commission report: Report assessing the implementation
 of the Directive on enhancing port security' (20 January 2009) https://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=COM:2009:0002:FIN:EN:PDF
 accessed on 6 September 2021.
- European Commission, 'Commission report: Second Report Assessing the Implementation of the Directive on Enhancing Port Security' (18 November 2013) <
 https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/ALL/?uri=CELEX%3A52013DC0792 >
 accessed on 7 September 2021.
- European Commission, 'Commission report: Third Report Assessing the Implementation of the Directive on Enhancing Port Security' (25 April 2019) Article
 4.3 https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/GA/TXT/?uri=CELEX:52019DC0191 accessed on 7 September 2021.
- European Commission, 'Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament, the Council, the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions' (Brussels, 2007) 1 < https://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=COM:2007:0575:FIN:EN:PDF> accessed on 7 August 2021.
- European Commission, 'EU Security Guidance for the European Commercial Road
 Freight Transport Sector' (2019)
 <ps://ec.europa.eu/transport/sites/default/files/roadsec-abridged-version en.pdf> accessed on 26 June 2021.
- European Commission, 'Europe's Seaports 2030: Challenges Ahead' (Brussels, 2013)
 https://ec.europa.eu/commission/presscorner/detail/en/MEMO_13_448>
 accessed on 6 August 2021;

- European Commission, 'Fight against terrorism: Security of European maritime transport to be strengthened' (8 May 2003)
 https://ec.europa.eu/commission/presscorner/detail/lv/IP_03_651> accessed on 27 August 2021.
- European Commission, 'Report on the implementation of the revised EU Maritime Security Strategy Action Plan' (Brussels, 2020) 3 https://ec.europa.eu/oceans-and-fisheries/ocean/blue-economy/other-sectors/maritime-security-strategy_en
 accessed on 17 June 2021.
- European Commission, 'Second Report on the Implementation of the EU Maritime
 Security Strategy Action Plan' (Brussels, 2017) 3
 https://data.consilium.europa.eu/doc/document/ST-10398-2017-INIT/en/pdf
 accessed on 15 June 2021.
- European Council, 'Conclusions and Plan of Action of the Extraordinary European
 Council Meeting' (21 September 2001)
 https://www.consilium.europa.eu/ueDocs/cms_Data/docs/pressData/en/ec/140

 en.pdf> accessed on 3 September 2021.
- European Union Commission, 'Instrument of Accession of Spain and Portugal to the European Communities' (Brussels, 11 June 1985) MEMO 75/85, http://aei.pitt.edu/94538/1/instruments_of_accession.pdf accessed on 9
 September 2021.
- EUROSTAT, 'Maritime freight and vessels statistics' (2020) <
 <p>https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics explained/index.php?title=Maritime_ports_freight_and_passenger_statistics&oldid=218671 > accessed on 13 June 2021.
- EXUMA, 'Malta Flag becomes the 6th Largest Merchant Ship Register in the World,
 Consolidates its position as Largest in Europe' (*Transport Malta* 27 January 2015) <
 https://news.transport.gov.mt/malta-flag-becomes-the-6th-largest-merchant-ship-register-in-the-world-consolidates-its-position-as-largest-in-europe/
 accessed on 12 September 2021.
- Ferdinand Bous, EDN Analysis: The development of a European naval strategy
 (European Defence Network 2020) 7 https://e-d-n.eu/wp-

- content/uploads/2021/04/The-development-of-a-European-Naval-Strategy.pdf> accessed on 20 June 2021.
- Frederich Houbie, 'MARISA: Enhancing Maritime Security Across Europe' Maritime
 Logistic Professional, (16 April 2021)
 https://www.maritimeprofessional.com/news/marisa-enhancing-maritime-security-across-366864 accessed on 5 August 2021.
- Helen Bower, 'Terrorism: The European Union Response, October 2003' (European Sources Online 27 October 2003)
 https://www.europeansources.info/record/terrorism-the-european-union-response-october-2003/> accessed on 3 September 2021.
- IMO, 'Port State Control'
 https://www.imo.org/en/OurWork/MSAS/Pages/PortStateControl.aspx
 accessed on 14 August 2021.
- INSEC, 'AQUAPOL: The European Maritime Police Carried Out a Pilot Test in Four Spanish Ports Including Gijon' (6 August 2012) < http://www.insec-project.eu/en/noticias.2/year2012.aquapol-the-european-maritime-police-carried-out-a-pilot-test-in-4-spanish-ports-including-gijon.a40.html> accessed on 11 September 2021.
- Johan Bjerkem, Malcolm Harbour, Making the Single Market work: Launching a 2022 masterplan for Europe (EPC 2019) 6, https://www.epc.eu/content/PDF/2019/190828_MakingSingleMarketwork_JB.p df> accessed on 2 August 2021.
- Karan Chopra, 'What are Flag States in the Shipping Industry And What's Their Role?' (Marine insight, 13 October 2019)
 https://www.marineinsight.com/maritime-law/what-are-flag-states-in-the-shipping-industry-2/ accessed on 17 August 2021.
- Marine Study, 'ISPS Code 15: Port Facility Security Assessment'
 https://themarinestudy.com/topic/15-port-facility-security-assessment-2/?v=08ad08f64910 accessed on 1 September 2021.
- MaritimeMT, 'Malta Flag 6th Largest Ship Registrar' (29 May 2020) <
 https://www.maritimemt.edu.mt/insights/malta-flag-6th-largest-ship-

- registrar#:~:text=The%20Malta%20Flag%20is%20the,2500%20vessels%20were%2 Omerchant%20vessels> accessed on 12 September 2021.
- Myrto Kalouptsidi, 'The Role of Shipping in World Trade' (Econofact 9 June 2021)
 https://econofact.org/the-role-of-shipping-in-world-trade accessed on 25
 August 2021.
- Navsregs, 'What is a Declaration of Security under the ISPS Code' (19 June 2017)
 https://navsregs.wordpress.com/2017/06/19/declaration-of-security-under-the-isps-code/> accessed on 2 September 2021.
- Pankaj Bhargava, 'The Menace of Maritime Piracy and Somali Pirates Is There a
 Solution?' (Marine Insight 27 February 2021)
 https://www.marineinsight.com/marine-piracy-marine/the-evolution-from-ship-piracy/ accessed on 21 August 2021.
- Safety and Environment | Mobility and Transport (europa.eu)
 <://ec.europa.eu/transport/modes/maritime/safety_en> accessed on 27 June
 2021.
- Saurabh Sinha, '10 Major Ports in Europe' (Marine Insight, 5 July 2021)
 https://www.marineinsight.com/know-more/major-ports-in-europe/ last accessed on 3 August 2021.
- Shilavadra Bhattacharjee, 'Understanding Ship Security Plan On Board Ships'
 (Marine Insight, 5 February 2021) < https://www.marineinsight.com/marinesafety/understanding-ship-security-plan-board-ships/> accessed on 2 September
 2021.
- Spanish Shipowners Association, 'Merchant marine and maritime transport 2019/2020' (ANAVE 2020) 5
 https://www.anave.es/images/informes/marina_mercante/2020/MMTM2020_e
 ng webOK.pdf> accessed on 10 September 2021.
- UNCTAD, 'Report on Maritime Security: ISPS Code Implementation, Costs and Related Financing' (14 March 2007) UNCTAD/SDTE/TLB/2007/1, 12 https://unctad.org/system/files/official-document/sdtetlb20071_en.pdf
 accessed on 5 September 2021.

Resolutions

- IMO (Resolution of the Assembly) 'Measures to Prevent Unlawful Acts which
 Threaten the Safety of Ships and the Security of Their Passengers and Crews' (20
 November 1985) Res. A.584 (14).
- IMO (Resolution) 'The International Ship and Port Facility Security Code'
 (Amendment to the Annex of SOLAS, 17 December 2002) Conf Res 2 (ISPS Code).
 The ISPS Code is contained in 1974 SOLAS, Annex, Chapter XI-2 on special measures to enhance maritime security.

Thesis/Dissertations

- Arsham Mazaheri, 'How the ISPS code affects port and port activities' (Master of Science thesis, University of Boras 2008).
- Francesca Hili, 'An Analysis of the Evolution of the Concept of Genuine Link in Shipping Law through International Judgments' (LL.B. (Hons) term paper, University of Malta 2017) 35.
- Herkules E Haralambides, The Shipping Policy of the European Union (1997) Center for MEL Erasmus University Roterdam.
- Maria Imbrol, 'The Development of Port State Control Where are We Today' (LLD thesis, University of Malta 2015).
- Minh Duc Tran, 'An Introduction of Port State Control in Vietnam' (Master of Science dissertation, World Maritime University Sweden 1999).
- Nivedita M. Hosanee, 'A Critical Analysis of Flag State Duties as Laid Down Under Article 94 of the 1982 United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea' (UN-Nippon Foundation Fellowship Thesis 2010).