





















# Biosimilars are essential for sustainable healthcare systems; however, key challenges remain as seen with long-acting insulin analogues

Brian Godman<sup>1\*</sup> , Biljana Tubic<sup>2</sup>, Eleonora Allocati<sup>3</sup>, Magdalene Wladysiuk<sup>4</sup>, Stuart McTaggart<sup>5</sup>, Amanj Kurdi<sup>1,6,7</sup> , Mainul Haque<sup>8\*</sup> , Sean MacBride-Stewart<sup>9</sup> , Francis Kalemeera<sup>10</sup> , Amos Masele<sup>11</sup>, Iris Hoxha<sup>12</sup>, Vanda Markovic Pekovic<sup>13</sup> , Guenka Petrova<sup>14</sup> , Konstantin Tachkov<sup>14</sup> , Ott Laius<sup>15</sup>, András Harsanyi<sup>16</sup>, András Inotai<sup>17</sup>, Arianit Jakupi<sup>18</sup> , Svens Henkuzens<sup>19</sup>, Kristina Garuolienė<sup>20</sup>, Patricia Vella Bonanno<sup>1,21</sup>, Jakub Rutkowski<sup>22</sup>, Ileana Mardare<sup>23</sup> , Jurij Fürst<sup>24</sup>, Caridad Pontes<sup>25,26</sup> , Corinne Zara<sup>25</sup> , Marta Turu Pedrola<sup>25</sup> , Farhana Akter<sup>27</sup> , Hye-Young Kwon<sup>28</sup> , Antony P. Martin<sup>29,30</sup> , Rita Banzi<sup>3</sup> , Janney Wale<sup>31</sup>, Jolanta Gulbinovič<sup>20</sup> 

- <sup>1</sup>Department of Pharmacoepidemiology Strathclyde Institute of Pharmacy and Biomedical Sciences, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, United Kingdom.  
<sup>2</sup>Health Regulatory Policy Centre, Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Faculty of Medicine, University of Banja Luka, Banja Luka, Bosnia and Herzegovina.  
<sup>3</sup>Istituto di Ricerche Farmacologiche Mario Negri IRCCS, Milan, Italy.  
<sup>4</sup>Chair of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine, Medical College, Jagiellonian University, Krakow, Poland.  
<sup>5</sup>Public Health Scotland, Edinburgh, UK.  
<sup>6</sup>Division of Public Health Pharmacy and Management, School of Pharmacy, Sefako Makgatho Health Sciences University, Pretoria, South Africa.  
<sup>7</sup>Department of Pharmacology, College of Pharmacy, Hawler Medical University, Erbil, Iraq.  
<sup>8</sup>Faculty of Medicine and Defence Health, Universiti Pertahanan Nasional Malaysia National Defence University of Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.  
<sup>9</sup>Pharmacy Services, Greater Glasgow and Clyde NHS GGC, Glasgow, UK.  
<sup>10</sup>Department of Pharmacy Practice and Policy, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Namibia, Windhoek, Namibia  
<sup>11</sup>Hurubert Kairuki Memorial University, Dar Es Salaam, Tanzania.  
<sup>12</sup>Department of Pharmacy, Faculty of Medicine, University of Medicine, Tirana, Albania.  
<sup>13</sup>Department of Social Pharmacy, Faculty of Medicine, University of Banja Luka, Banja Luka, Bosnia and Herzegovina.  
<sup>14</sup>Department of Social Pharmacy and Pharmacoeconomics, Faculty of Pharmacy, Medical University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria.  
<sup>15</sup>State Agency of Medicines, Tartu, Estonia.  
<sup>16</sup>Department of Health Policy and Health Economics, Eotvos Lorand University, Budapest, Hungary.  
<sup>17</sup>Syreon Research Institute and Semmelweis University, Center of Health Technology Assessment, Budapest, Hungary.  
<sup>18</sup>Faculty of Pharmacy, UBT Higher Education Institute, Pristina, Kosovo.  
<sup>19</sup>Independent Consultant, Riga, Latvia.  
<sup>20</sup>Department of Pathology, Forensic Medicine and Pharmacology, Institute of Biomedical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Vilnius University, Vilnius, Lithuania.  
<sup>21</sup>Department of Management, New Humanities Building, Faculty of Economics, Management and Accountancy, University of Malta, Msida, Malta.  
<sup>22</sup>HTA Consulting, Cracow, Poland.  
<sup>23</sup>Public Health and Management Department, Faculty of Medicine, Carol Davila University of Medicine and Pharmacy Bucharest, Bucharest, Romania.  
<sup>24</sup>Health Insurance Institute, Miklosiceva 24, SI-1507 Ljubljana, Slovenia.  
<sup>25</sup>Drug Department, Catalan Health Service, Gran Via de les Corts Catalanes, 08007 Barcelona, Spain.  
<sup>26</sup>Department of Pharmacology, Therapeutics and Toxicology, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain;  
<sup>27</sup>Department of Endocrinology, Chittagong Medical College, Chattogram 4203, Bangladesh.  
<sup>28</sup>Division of Biology and Public Health, Mokwon University, Daejeon, Korea.  
<sup>29</sup>Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, Brownlow Hill, Liverpool L69 3BX, UK.  
<sup>30</sup>QC Medica, York YO23 2BD, United Kingdom;  
<sup>31</sup>Independent consumer advocate, 11a Lydia Street, Brunswick, Victoria 3056, Australia.

## \*Corresponding Authors

Brian Godman, Department of Pharmacoepidemiology, Strathclyde Institute of Pharmacy and Biomedical Sciences, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow G4 0RE, United Kingdom. Email: [Brian.Godman@strath.ac.uk](mailto:Brian.Godman@strath.ac.uk).

Mainul Haque, Faculty of Medicine and Defence Health, Universiti Pertahanan Nasional Malaysia National Defence University of Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. E-mail: [runurono@gmail.com](mailto:runurono@gmail.com)

---

**ARTICLE INFO**

Received on: 17/11/2021

Accepted on: 25/01/2022

Available Online: 05/03/2022

**Key words:**

Biosimilars, insulin glargine, prices, health policies, demand-side measures, Europe.

---

**ABSTRACT**

Sales of medicines continue to grow world-wide driven in part by increasing expenditures on biological medicines leading to concerns with the long-term sustainability of European healthcare systems. The increasing use of biosimilars at lower costs can potentially address this. However, there can be concerns with their prescribing. There are also concerns with biosimilar insulin glargine including concerns with different devices despite increasing sales of long-acting insulin analogues worldwide. Consequently, a need to appraise the European biosimilar market incorporating measures to enhance their use including potential ways to increase the prescribing of biosimilars of insulin glargine at lower costs. Multiple approaches were used to undertake the study including researching measures to enhance biosimilar use and their impact across Europe. In addition, undertake cross national research on the utilization and expenditure of long-acting insulin analogues, which includes biosimilars, principally among Central and Eastern European countries. Multiple demand-side measures across the countries have enhanced the use of biosimilars. There was variable use of biosimilar insulin glargine 100 IU/ml driven by increasing use of patented 300 IU/ml formulations and small price differences between the originator and biosimilars in practice. Overall, multiple demand-side initiatives can appreciably increase biosimilar use benefiting all groups. Additional measures are needed to enhance future prescribing of long-acting biosimilar insulin analogues.

---

**INTRODUCTION**

Global expenditure on medicines has risen in recent years. Expenditure of medicines is expected to reach US\$1.5 trillion by 2023, which represents an annual compounded growth rate of 3%–6% (IQVIA, 2019a). This increase in expenditure is driven by a number of factors. These include increasing expenditure on biologicals for orphan diseases and cancer, a growing prevalence of non-communicable diseases (NCDs) and changes in clinical practice (Godman *et al.*, 2018a, 2021a). We are aware that approximately one fifth of health care spending in Europe is out-of-pocket, with the proportion greater among those patients with low income (OECD, 2020; Thomson *et al.*, 2019).

High patient co-payments and reimbursement issues with biologic medicines have resulted in many Central and Eastern European (CEE) countries struggling to fund originator biologic medicines (Baumgart *et al.*, 2019; Tubic *et al.*, 2021). Rencz *et al.* (2015) found only 0.25% of all patients diagnosed with psoriasis were treated with biologics among six researched CEE countries, with a 14.6-fold difference in utilization rates between them (Rencz *et al.*, 2015). There have also been similar disparities with medicines to treat patients with orphan diseases and cancer across Europe (EURODIS, 2018; Hofmarcher *et al.*, 2019). This urgently needs addressing to ensure equitable healthcare for all across Europe, especially with rising rates of NCDs and concerns with unequal funding for priority disease areas such as diabetes (Godman *et al.*, 2018a, 2021b; Mardare *et al.*, 2022).

Biosimilars should be of interest to improve access, affordability, and subsequent care of patients (Cozijnsen *et al.*, 2018; Dutta *et al.*, 2020; Godman, 2021; Jang *et al.*, 2021). Davio (2018) estimated accumulated savings from the increasing use of biosimilar etanercept, infliximab, and rituximab biosimilars in the UK was US\$275 million during the 2017 to 2018 fiscal year (Davio, 2018). However, there are concerns with biosimilar use in some countries, which includes issues with their effectiveness and safety (Godman, 2021; Sagonowsky, 2019; Vandenplas *et al.*, 2021). This is reflected by the usage of anti-TNF biosimilars for rheumatology patients ranging from 90% of all anti-TNFs in Denmark by the end of 2016 to just 5% in Belgium and Ireland and 2% in Switzerland (Araújo *et al.*, 2019). Similarly, in another study, their utilization ranged from 0% of total anti-TNFs in Hungary up to 81% in Norway and 96% in Denmark (IQVIA, 2019b).

There have also been issues with administering biosimilars of long-acting insulin analogues among European countries

(Chapman *et al.*, 2017; Greener, 2019; Godman *et al.*, 2021c). This is a concern with the costs of diabetes and associated complications reaching up to 2.2% of Gross Domestic Product by 2030 (Bommer *et al.*, 2018). Long-acting insulin analogues were developed to reduce hypoglycemia, including nocturnal hypoglycemia, among patients with diabetes requiring insulin (Rys *et al.*, 2015; Tricco *et al.*, 2021). There have though been concerns regarding their additional costs compared with insulins such as NPH insulins (Caires de Souza *et al.*, 2014; Ewen *et al.*, 2019). However, these extra costs can be offset by savings elsewhere (Godman *et al.*, 2021c; Lee *et al.*, 2020). As a result of these potential cost offsets, coupled with their perceived patient benefits, long-acting insulin analogues have become the most utilized insulin in upper-middle and high-income countries (Ewen *et al.*, 2019). The costs of long-acting insulin analogues can be reduced by the availability of biosimilars (Haque *et al.*, 2021a). However, the originator company has been promoting the patented high concentration 300 IU/ml formulation of insulin glargine (Gla-300) to protect their overall insulin glargine sales as well as reduce the price of 100 IU/ml formulation to reduce the attractiveness of this market for biosimilar manufacturers (Godman *et al.*, 2021b; Tubic *et al.*, 2021).

Consequently, we believe there is a need to consolidate current knowledge regarding measures to enhance biosimilar use and their impact across Europe. In addition, look more closely at the situation with insulin glargine across Europe as the first in class biosimilar given concerns with its initial uptake coupled with the need to conserve resources. The combined findings can be used to guide key stakeholder groups on potential ways in the future to enhance biosimilar utilization, including insulin glargine biosimilars, across Europe and wider. This is seen as vital to encourage greater competition among biosimilar manufacturers to benefit all key stakeholder groups given rising expenditure on medicines and concerns with the need to preserve universal healthcare among European countries. This includes patients with diabetes requiring long-acting insulin analogues where costs and available resources are a concern. We believe these findings can also be of benefit to low- and middle-income countries struggling to finance healthcare for all their citizens in the public sector including patients with diabetes (Godman *et al.*, 2020a, 2020b; Haque *et al.*, 2021b; Mardare *et al.*, 2022).

**METHODS**

A mixed-method approach was adopted. This included initially a narrative review of published studies regarding

biosimilars, including initiatives that have been used among countries to enhance their use. This was combined with cross national quantitative and qualitative research among European countries, especially CEE countries, regarding their utilization and expenditure on long-acting insulin analogues with a particular focus in insulin glargine and its biosimilars.

### **Narrative literature review**

We undertook a narrative review of published studies. This included documenting the impact of demand-side measures that had been instigated among European countries, including CEE countries, to enhance uptake and prescribing of biosimilars. In addition, studies where there had been limited use of biosimilars and the rationale. We did not undertake a systematic review as there have been previous studies exploring these issues. This includes documenting the efficacy, safety, and immunogenicity of switching between biosimilars and originators, coupled with the key benefits of biosimilars, and we wanted to build on this (Barbier *et al.*, 2020; Bertolani and Jommi, 2020; Dutta *et al.*, 2020; Godman 2021; Godman *et al.*, 2021a, 2021d; Kim *et al.*, 2020). The documented examples are based on the considerable knowledge of the senior level co-authors and subsequently contextualized.

The demand-side measures will be collated under the Education, Engineering, Economics, and Enforcement (4Es) to aid comparisons (Wettermark *et al.*, 2009a). Table 1 provides definitions and examples of the 4Es.

This is similar to approaches that have been used when debating key areas principally from a health authority perspective (Bochenek *et al.*, 2017; Godman *et al.*, 2018a, 2021a, 2021e, 2021f, 2021g; MacBride-Stewart *et al.*, 2021).

### **Cross national drug utilization and expenditure study**

This study principally concentrated on CEE countries given concerns with the utilization and funding of higher price biological medicines in these countries (Baumgart *et al.*, 2019).

The CEE countries chosen for this study provide an extensive range based on their population size, geography and economic power (Godman *et al.*, 2019a). We also included three high income Western European countries and regions for comparison purposes. These were Italy, Spain (Catalonia), and the UK (Scotland); chosen as the health authorities in these countries have instigated multiple measures to enhance the prescribing of biosimilars (discussed in Table 2). We limited Western European countries to these three as the main focus of this paper was on CEE countries.

Health insurance company and health authority databases were principally interrogated from 2014 or later until 2020 to determine pricing, expenditure, and utilization patterns principally for the different insulin glargine preparations. These databases were chosen as they are seen as robust and are audited regularly (Godman *et al.*, 2019a, 2019b, 2021b; Vogler and Schneider, 2019). The findings were used to determine changes in utilization patterns for long-acting insulin analogues during the study period as well as changes in the utilization of biosimilars of insulin glargine during the study period. In addition, price changes for both originator and biosimilar insulin glargine over time.

Defined daily doses (DDD) were used where possible for utilization to enhance the comparisons between countries,

with DDDs recognized as a robust measure for undertaking cross-country utilization research (Godman *et al.*, 2014, 2021f, 2021h; Tubic *et al.*, 2021).

Principally reimbursed pricing and expenditure data was used as the perspective of this paper is that of health authorities. This approach has been used before to provide comparisons between European countries (Godman *et al.*, 2014, 2019a). Expenditure data remained in the local currency where relevant in order to avoid potential biases due to currency fluctuations. This is because we were principally interested in percentage differences over time for both the biosimilars and originators, as well as any price reductions for both of them over time, rather than absolute pricing levels.

### **Qualitative research regarding insulin utilization patterns**

The senior-level co-authors from each of the studied countries were approached to provide feedback regarding the possible rationale for the insulin utilization and expenditure patterns seen. They were also approached to provide guidance on possible next steps that could be instigated among European countries to enhance savings from the increased use of biosimilars. We have used similar approaches in the previous publications (Bochenek *et al.*, 2017; Godman *et al.*, 2019a, 2021a, 2021e, 2021f, 2021g, 2021h; Haque *et al.*, 2021b).

We did not seek ethical approval for this study as we were not dealing directly with patients. This is in agreement with national legislation and institutional guidelines. In addition, endorsed in previous publications which have involved the co-authors (Godman *et al.*, 2019a, 2021b; Hesse *et al.*, 2013; Tubic *et al.*, 2021).

## **RESULTS**

We will first document initiatives regarding biosimilars and their impact before discussing the patterns seen regarding utilization and expenditure for long-acting insulins, including biosimilars, during the study period.

### **Initiatives to enhance the utilization of biosimilars and their impact**

Table 2 documents the wide range of demand-side measures that have introduced by different European countries and their influence collated under the 4Es.

### **Long-acting insulin analogues including insulin glargine**

#### ***Utilization and expenditure patterns***

There has typically been growing expenditure and utilization of long-acting insulin analogues as a percentage of total insulins among the European countries included in this study (Figs. 1 and 2). The greatest increase in utilization among CEE countries was seen in Poland; however, this was from a low base. By 2020, the highest percentage utilization of long-acting insulin analogues among the studied European countries was seen in Estonia (56.5% of total insulins) followed by B & H (35.5%). There was also considerable usage in Catalonia at 55.2% of total utilization.

There were similar findings when comparing the change in percentage expenditure on long-acting insulin analogues versus total expenditure on insulins during the study period (Fig. 2). However, typically a greater percentage reflecting higher acquisition costs for insulin analogues despite price reductions.

**Table 1.** Explanation and examples of health authority activities to influence medicine utilization—4Es.

Activity and explanation	Examples
Educational activities—includes formularies and prescribing guidance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>National and regional formularies including the “Wise List” in Stockholm County Council and the WHO Essential Medicine List (Gustafsson <i>et al.</i>, 2011; Perumal-Pillay and Suleman, 2017; Matlala <i>et al.</i>, 2020)</li> <li>Prescribing guidance (national, regional, or local) for physicians in hospitals and ambulatory care including activities by Drug and Therapeutic Committees as well as community pharmacists (Lima-Dellamora Eda <i>et al.</i>, 2014; Marković-Peković <i>et al.</i>, 2017; Mashaba <i>et al.</i>, 2019)</li> <li>Education of key stakeholder groups concerning all aspects of biosimilars including regulations for their marketing authorisation to help dispel myths (Godman <i>et al.</i>, 2021a; Moorkens <i>et al.</i>, 2017)</li> <li>Prescribing targets, e.g., % of biosimilars prescribed (Godman <i>et al.</i>, 2021a; MacBride-Stewart <i>et al.</i>, 2021; NHS Scotland, 2018)</li> <li>Percentage (%) adherence to agreed guidelines (Matsitse <i>et al.</i>, 2017; Niaz <i>et al.</i>, 2019; Sefah <i>et al.</i>, 2021)</li> </ul>
Engineering activities—includes managerial interventions such as quality targets for prescribing as well as price: volume agreements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Price: volume agreements in ambulatory care to keep expenditures for medicines within agreed spending limits (Adamski <i>et al.</i>, 2010)</li> <li>WHO criteria for assessing the quality of prescribing in ambulatory care, especially among low- and middle-income countries (Niaz <i>et al.</i>, 2019; Nyabuti <i>et al.</i>, 2020)</li> <li>Introduction of formalized processes to improve the managed entry of new medicines to help maximize available resources as well as improve the quality of care provided (Eriksson <i>et al.</i>, 2017; Godman <i>et al.</i>, 2015, 2021a)</li> <li>Financial incentives for hospitals and physicians with prescribing an agreed list of medicines and/ or reaching agreed prescribing targets (Wettermark <i>et al.</i>, 2009b; Martin <i>et al.</i>, 2014; MacBride-Stewart <i>et al.</i>, 2021)</li> </ul>
Economic interventions—includes financial incentives for physicians and higher co-payments for more expensive products than the current reference molecules	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Economic incentives for GPs in the UK to meet agreed quality targets (Quality and Outcomes Framework) (Sutcliffe <i>et al.</i>, 2012; Leporowski <i>et al.</i>, 2018)</li> <li>Higher co-payments for patients wishing originators versus generics where applicable or patented medicines when multiple sourced medicines are available in the class (Brill, 2020; Godman <i>et al.</i>, 2013; Hesse <i>et al.</i>, 2013)</li> <li>Potential for shared savings with increasing use of biosimilars</li> <li>Delisting of medicines from reimbursement lists due to concerns with their effectiveness and/ or value (Hesse <i>et al.</i>, 2013; Parkinson <i>et al.</i>, 2015)</li> </ul>
Enforcement—includes regulations by law such as prescribing restrictions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Compulsory prescribing restrictions for medicines when concerns with their value (Godman <i>et al.</i>, 2013, Voncina <i>et al.</i>, 2011). These include prescribing restrictions for originator biological medicines versus biosimilars for patients with rheumatoid arthritis in Denmark and Norway (Araújo <i>et al.</i>, 2019)</li> <li>Compulsory INN prescribing or compulsory generic substitution apart from a minority of identified medicines and situations including GPs writing no substitution on prescriptions (Garuoliene <i>et al.</i>, 2011; Godman <i>et al.</i>, 2009, 2021a; South Africa Pharmacy Council, 2020)</li> <li>Introducing laws for pharmacists to reduce or negate the selling antibiotics without a prescription (Godman <i>et al.</i>, 2021a; Jacobs <i>et al.</i>, 2019)</li> </ul>

NB: INN = International Non-proprietary Name; WHO = World Health Organization.

We also saw a considerable variation in the prescribing of biosimilar insulin glargine compared with total insulin glargine across Europe (Fig. 3).

#### **Rationale for differences in utilization and expenditure patterns**

The differences in the utilization patterns for biosimilar insulin glargine (Fig. 2) have in part been driven by the originator company promoting patented Gla-300 as well as lowering the price of originator 100 IU/ml (Table 3) to compete. These combined activities alongside limited demand-side measures (Table 4) have been successful with no biosimilar 100 IU/ml insulin glargine launched to date in Albania or Latvia as well as limited use in Bulgaria, B & H, Estonia, Romania, and Slovenia.

These findings of limited or no use of biosimilars to date contrast with growing utilization of biosimilar insulin glargine in the other studied European countries, i.e., Lithuania, Hungary, Poland, and Scotland, with the greatest utilization seen in Poland.

Table 3 documents price changes over time of the different insulin glargine preparations (100 IU/ml) among the studied countries.

Table 4 provides a summary of the rationale for the changes seen in Figures 1–3 as well as Table 3.

#### **DISCUSSION AND THE IMPLICATIONS**

We will break the discussion down into the implications of the findings for the biosimilar market in general and subsequently



**Table 2.** The extent of demand-side measures that have been instigated influence biosimilar use among European countries and their impact where known [adapted from (Godman *et al.*, 2021a, 2020c; Godman, 2021; Kim *et al.*, 2020)]

Country/ Region	Details of the initiatives	Influence/ outcome where known
Belgium—various biosimilars (Vandenplas <i>et al.</i> , 2021)	<p><i>Education and Economics</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Limited educational initiatives from the health authorities, e.g., no counter-action to increased promotion and use of still patented biologicals where possible including 300 IU/ml versus 100 IU/ml insulin glargine</li> <li>Mandated price reductions for both the originator and biosimilars following patent loss—resulting in similar prices for the biosimilars and originators in practice and limited further price reductions of biosimilars in ambulatory care without volume guarantees</li> <li>Lack of transparency of price reductions in practice especially in hospitals</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Market share of biosimilar adalimumab and etanercept in 2019 was 5.2% and 12.5%, respectively, of the reference product—greater for infliximab (43.7%)</li> <li>The market share of biosimilar trastuzumab and rituximab were 8.5% to 12.7% of their respective IV formulations in 2019</li> <li>The market share of biosimilar insulin glargine (100 IU/ml) was only 5.0% of total insulin glargine (100 IU/ml) utilization in 2019 with the 300 IU/ml insulin glargine (patented) formulation accounting for 47.0% of total insulin glargine in 2019</li> </ul>
Bosnia and Herzegovina (B & H) (Tubic <i>et al.</i> , 2021)	<p><i>Education</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Currently a lack of educational initiatives among the authorities in B &amp; H to address concerns and trust among physicians and patients with biosimilars alongside a general lack of demand-side measures instigated by the authorities to enhance the preferential prescribing of biosimilars versus the originators</li> <li>Key issues including substitutability and interchangeability have currently not been clearly defined by the State regulatory authority, the Agency for Medicinal Products and Medicinal Devices of B &amp; H</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Very limited use of biosimilar adalimumab, infliximab, rituximab and trastuzumab in recent years exacerbated by limited attractiveness of the biosimilar market</li> <li>This is despite a reduction of 64% in the price of biosimilar infliximab versus the originator in recent years</li> </ul>
Catalonia—Spain	<p><i>Education:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Workshops, meetings, and materials prepared by the Catalan Health System including the rationale for biosimilars, key concepts and recommendations, and distributed to healthcare professionals and patients</li> <li>Prioritization of biosimilars where feasible in guidelines and formularies</li> </ul> <p><i>Engineering:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sharing data on biosimilar use in order that each healthcare provider could benchmark their performance with similar healthcare providers at both the ambulatory and hospital care level to stimulate competition</li> <li>Agreed indicators including the ratio of biosimilars versus non-biosimilars and % of new patients started on a biosimilar</li> </ul> <p><i>Economic incentives:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Variable bonuses to physicians linked to indicators</li> <li>Potential penalties for exceeding budget goals especially if key indicators are missed</li> <li>Increasingly aggressive contracting with companies to enhance savings from biosimilar availability</li> </ul>	<p>% biosimilar use in 2019 as a % of total biological utilization per molecule:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Rituximab—37.3%</li> <li>Etanercept—35.2%</li> <li>Adalimumab—26%</li> </ul> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The overall utilization of the different biosimilars was influenced by the extent and nature of contracts with originator companies as seen with adalimumab</li> </ul>
Denmark—Adalimumab (Jensen <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	<p><i>Engineering</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No automatic substitution for biosimilars (initially)</li> <li>However, recommendations from the Danish Medicines Council were changed to adalimumab biosimilars following the patent loss of the originator</li> </ul> <p><i>Engineering/ Economics</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Single purchaser of medicines for all hospitals in Denmark (achieving economies of scale)</li> <li>Choice of biological prescribed depending on the outcome of national tenders</li> </ul> <p><i>Enforcement</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Typically only biosimilars can be dispensed if they win the contract</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>3 biosimilars won the adalimumab tender among the hospitals in Denmark—resulting in one biosimilar being prescribed for children and two biosimilars for adults depending on the Region in Denmark</li> <li>The proportion of adalimumab biosimilars versus total adalimumab increased from 71.6% in November 2018 to 95.1% by December 2018</li> <li>Expenditure on adalimumab decreased by 82.8% from September 2018 to December 2018 among hospitals in Denmark</li> </ul>

Continued

Country/ Region	Details of the initiatives	Influence/ outcome where known
France— various biosimilars (Kim <i>et al.</i> , 2020)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <i>Education</i>—Materials from the French authorities providing guidance on biosimilars</li> <li>• <i>Economics</i>—Additional remuneration for hospitals reaching biosimilar targets</li> <li>• <i>Engineering/ enforcement</i>—In 2017, ministerial instruction that 70% of naive patients must be treated with a biosimilar when pertinent</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Utilization of infliximab biosimilar increased substantially in recent years reaching 48% by March 2018 and growing, with lower rates before this</li> <li>• It is envisaged that ongoing demand-side measures including those in hospitals in France will further accelerate uptake of biosimilars given NHS goals for 2022 (Ministry of Health France, 2019)</li> </ul>
Italy—various biosimilars (Bertolani and Jommi, 2020)	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Education</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• National and regional guidance on biosimilars and regional educational activities</li> <li>• Dissemination of studies documenting the safety of biosimilars</li> <li>• Periodic publication of reports regarding current utilization and expenditure patterns</li> <li>• <i>Engineering</i>: Biosimilar prescribing targets including targets for new patients</li> <li>• <i>Economics</i>: Financial incentives for reaching agreed prescribing targets</li> <li>• <i>Enforcement</i>: Potential for and automatic substitution when pertinent</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Variable usage in 2019 depending on the biosimilar (in DDDs/1000 inhabitants/day):</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Infliximab</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Originator—0.0</li> <li>• Biosimilar—0.3</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Etanercept</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Originator—0.1</li> <li>• Biosimilar—0.2</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Adalimumab</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Originator—0.3</li> <li>• Biosimilar—0.2</li> </ul>
Norway— Infliximab and Etanercept (Dörner <i>et al.</i> , 2016; IQVIA, 2020; Matusewicz <i>et al.</i> , 2015)	<p style="text-align: center;"><i>Engineering and Economics</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The hospitals in Norway combine together with an annual bidding process with normally one winner covering a 12-month period</li> <li>• This includes biosimilars for infliximab and etanercept when first available</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In 2014, biosimilar infliximab was initially priced between 33% and 39% lower than the reference originator. As a result, winning the tender and enhancing the use of biosimilars</li> <li>• In 2015, the prices of biosimilars were further reduced to 51% to 69% lower than the reference product—making biosimilar infliximab the preferred bDMARD for all prescribed indications. This enhanced its market share to over 50% of total infliximab utilization</li> <li>• In 2016, biosimilar infliximab was still the cheapest alternative—60% lower than the originator price</li> <li>• In 2016, biosimilar etanercept was offered at 47% lower than the regular price of the originator again enhancing its use</li> </ul>
United Kingdom (England)—various biosimilars (NHS England, 2017; NHS England, 2019; NHS England, 2020; NHS England and NHS Improvement, 2019; Moorkens <i>et al.</i> , 2021)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Education—Variety of educational and other booklets discussing biosimilars were produced and disseminated</li> </ul> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>Engineering</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A target of 90% was set for new patients to be prescribed the best-value biological medicine within 3 months of its launch as a biosimilar</li> <li>• Local health authorities actively encouraging switching to meet the goal of 80% biosimilar prescription rates within one year of launch where possible</li> <li>• Local health authority biosimilar adoption rates closely monitored and benchmarked through regional teams to enhance biosimilar uptake</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In 2017—estimated savings were: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Infliximab—GB£99.4 million</li> <li>• Etanercept—GB£60.3 million</li> <li>• Rituximab—GB£50.4 million</li> </ul> </li> <li>• The uptake of biosimilars has increased with multiple activities: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Biosimilar infliximab took 28 months to reach 80% penetration and after 12 months biosimilar etanercept reached 50% of eligible patients</li> <li>• Biosimilar rituximab took 10 months to reach 80% of total rituximab and biosimilar trastuzumab only 8 months</li> </ul> </li> <li>• In their study, Kim <i>et al.</i> (2020) found that infliximab biosimilar accounted for 89% of total infliximab by March 2018 through multiple activities (Kim <i>et al.</i>, 2020)</li> <li>• Expenditure on adalimumab is envisaged to fall by 75% following the availability of biosimilars and aggressive contracting with multiple manufacturers (Davio, 2018)</li> </ul>

Continued

Country/ Region	Details of the initiatives	Influence/ outcome where known
United Kingdom—Scotland—various biosimilars (Godman <i>et al.</i> , 2020c; Health Improvement Scotland, 2018; Moorkens <i>et al.</i> , 2021; NHS Scotland, 2018; NHS Scotland, 2019.)	<p>Education, Engineering and Economics:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Multiple educational initiatives among all key stakeholder groups including publications addressing safety and effectiveness concerns with biosimilars</li> <li>Prescribing targets for biosimilar use (new and existing patients)</li> <li>Health Boards (Regions) regularly benchmarked against each other</li> <li>Emphasizing pressure on budgets coupled with the continued desire to treat more patients with biological medicines</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Etanercept and infliximab biosimilars reached 84% and 94% of total utilization of these biologicals by December 2017</li> <li>The prescribing of rituximab biosimilar had also risen to 74% of all rituximab by December 2017—its first year of availability</li> <li>By December 2019, biosimilars for trastuzumab had accounted for 92% of all trastuzumab, and biosimilars for adalimumab 87% of all adalimumab and growing</li> </ul>




Figure 1. Utilisation of long-acting insulin analogues over time among selected European countries and Regions as a percentage of total insulins (DDD based)





Figure 2. Expenditure on long-acting insulin analogues over time as a percentage of total insulin expenditure among selected European countries and Regions



**Figure 3.** Utilisation of insulin glargine biosimilar (100IU/ml) as a % of total insulin glargine 100IU/ml (DDD basis) among selected European Countries and Regions

**Table 3.** Price differences for both the originator and biosimilar 100 IU/ml over time among the different European countries.

	Albania	B & H	Bulgaria	Catalonia (in Spain)	Estonia	Hungary
<b>% difference in the originator versus biosimilar prices</b>						
Time of the launch of the biosimilar	Not applicable as no launch	No difference seen	4.7%	30.0%	16.4%	28.2%
Latest difference	Not applicable	7.9%	5.7%	Similar	7.2%	1.6%
<b>% change in prices over time (from 2014/ 2015 to 2020)</b>						
The originator	-32.0%	-11.3%	-10.8%	-23.1%	-24.9%	-21.2%
Biosimilars	Not applicable as no launch	-17.1%	-11.7%	No change seen	Stable	1.2%
	Italy	Latvia	Lithuania	Poland	Scotland	Slovenia
<b>% difference in the originator versus biosimilar prices</b>						
Time of the launch of the biosimilar	Not recorded	Not applicable as not launched	12.3%	24.7%	18.1%	22.9%
Latest difference	31.6%	Not applicable as not launched	Similar price	0.2%	7.5%	9.9%
<b>% change in prices over time (from 2014/ 2015 to 2020)</b>						
Originator	52.3%	-14.4%	-21.1%	-31.1%	-9.0%	-20.3%
Biosimilar	Not recorded	Not applicable	-6.8%	-6.5%	No change	No change

NB: B & H = Bosnia and Herzegovina.

for the biosimilars for long-acting insulin analogues in particular, with the aim of benefiting of all key stakeholder groups in the future.

### Biosimilar market (General)

Among European countries, there have been considerable disparities in the prescribing of biosimilars in recent years (Table 2) as well as among Asian countries. These differences have been driven by concerns with their safety and effectiveness, limited price differences in practice between originators and

biosimilars in a number of countries alongside limited instigation of demand-side measures to increase their prescribing (Godman *et al.*, 2021a, 2021e; Kim *et al.*, 2020; Moorkens *et al.*, 2017; Tubic *et al.*, 2021).

The instigation of multiple demand-side measures, along with greater price differentials, can appreciably enhance the uptake and use of biosimilars (Table 2). This mirrors the situation that has been seen with multiple-sourced oral medicines where the instigation of multiple demand-side measures has appreciably enhanced their preferential prescribing versus patented medicines



**Table 4.** Potential rationale for the expenditure and utilization patterns seen for the different insulin glargine preparations seen among the studied European countries.

Country	Potential explanation for current utilization and expenditure patterns
Albania	<p>A number of activities have potentially limited the attractiveness of 100 IU/ml strength insulin glargine for biosimilars in Albania. These include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Utilization (DDDs) of insulin glargine as a % of total long-acting analogues dropped by 51.4% from 2014 to early 2020 with expenditure falling by 47.2% during the same period although overall utilization of insulin glargine grew by 9.0% between 2014 and 2019</li> <li>• In contrast, the utilization of Gla-300 grew from 3.4% of total insulin glargine dispensed in 2016 (DDDs) up to 56.3% in 2019, and is still growing</li> <li>• The cost/ DDD for insulin glargine 100 IU/ml was 32% lower between 2014 and early 2020 enhanced by price reductions by the originator company, with the cost/ DDD Gla-300 also falling by 16.1% between 2016 and 2020</li> </ul> <p>These factors may explain why biosimilar insulin glargine preparations have not currently been launched in Albania</p>
Bosnia and Herzegovina (B & H)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Currently there is no automatic substitution for biosimilars in B &amp; H. New government policies are needed in the different entities before such activities can take place, which need to be encouraged by clear guidance regarding their interchangeability and substitutability defined by the Agency for Medicinal Products and Medicinal Devices of B &amp; H. Whilst the different State Agencies in B &amp; H do encourage physicians to prescribe biosimilars rather than originators for new patients where this is possible, this does not always happen in practice exacerbated by the limited introduction of additional demand-side measures (Table 2)</li> <li>• Currently limited demand-side measures in B &amp; H to date (Tubic <i>et al.</i>, 2021) have resulted in: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Utilization of Gla-300 rising from 4.2% of total insulin glargine in 2016 to 52.1% in 2019 enhanced by continued price reductions for Gla-300 (43%) helping maintain its appreciably lower cost/DDD versus 100 IU/ml formulation</li> <li>• The company reducing its price originator 100 IU/ml over time thereby limiting price differences in practice between the originator and biosimilar (Table 3) further reducing the attractiveness of the biosimilar insulin glargine market</li> <li>• Currently insufficient experience among physicians with switching from an originator biologic analogue to an appropriate biosimilar further reducing the attractiveness of the biosimilar</li> </ul> </li> <li>• These combined activities have limited the utilization of biosimilar 100 IU/ml insulin glargine in practice in B &amp; H</li> </ul>
Bulgaria	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Whilst there has been growth in expenditure and utilization regarding long-acting insulin analogues in Bulgaria as a percentage of total insulin (Figs. 1 and 2) in recent years, the growth in the utilization of biosimilar insulin glargine as a percentage of total insulin glargine utilization has been more limited during the study period. Utilization of biosimilar insulin glargine rose from 9.3% of total insulin glargine in 2018 to 11% in 2020</li> <li>• This limited increase could be explained by continuing marketing activities of the originator company, the lack of demand-side measures including physician incentives to preferentially prescribe biosimilars over originators and limited differences prices between the originator and the biosimilars in practice over time with both the originator and biosimilars reducing their prices with the lowest price/DDD reimbursed (Table 3) with the originator typically matching biosimilar prices (Tachkov <i>et al.</i>, 2021)</li> </ul>
Estonia	<p>There was limited utilization of 100 IU/ml biosimilars in Estonia during the study period despite appreciable utilization of long-acting insulin analogues. This could be explained by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The promotional activities of the originator company switched to patented Gla-300 alongside LANTUS SOLOSTAR pens to reduce competition, with utilization of Gla-300 growing from 4.7% of total insulin glargine in 2015 to 55.4% in 2020</li> <li>• The price of originator insulin glargine (100 IU/ml) dropped by 24.9% over time (Table 3). This resulted in limited price differences between the originator and biosimilars in practice in recent years (2.1%—7.1%)</li> <li>• Currently limited demand-side measures in Estonia directing physician prescribing</li> </ul>
Hungary	<p>Ongoing policies to enhance the use of biosimilar insulin glargine in Hungary include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A reference pricing system. This means that patients are required to fund themselves any difference in prices between the originator and any biosimilar</li> <li>• Ongoing initiatives to encourage physicians to start new patients on a less expensive biosimilar</li> </ul> <p>However, the utilization of biosimilar insulin glargine 100 IU/ml has been moderated by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Increasing utilization of Gla-300 enhanced by promotional activities of the originator company with Gla-300 reaching 58.0% of total insulin glargine utilization by 2020</li> <li>• The originator company lowering its price over time leading to just a 1.6% price difference in 2020 (Table 2)</li> </ul>
Italy	<p>In Italy, there have been ongoing policies to increase physicians prescribing of biosimilars. However, the limited prescribing of biosimilar insulin glargine 100 IU/ml has been influenced by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Growth in the prescribing of Gla-300 (48.8% in recent years)</li> <li>• Appreciable price reduction of the originator over time (Table 3)</li> </ul>

Continued

Country	Potential explanation for current utilization and expenditure patterns
Kosovo	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The increased prescribing of long-acting insulin analogues in recent years in Kosovo reflects physician perceptions of their benefit to patients in terms of additional convenience and improved outcomes</li> <li>• However, there are concerns with the effectiveness and safety of biosimilar insulin glargine among physicians, which has resulted in no importation to date</li> <li>• Since 2015, biosimilars can only be registered and imported into Kosovo if they possess the necessary marketing authorization following a centralized procedure from the EMA or an approval from the FDA</li> </ul>
Latvia	<p>No biosimilar insulin glargine 100 IU/ml has been launched to date in Latvia probably due to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A 29.0% reduction in the utilization of glargine 100 IU/ml between 2014 and early 2020, with the prescribing of insulin glargine reducing by 7.9% as a percentage of overall insulin due to a growth in the utilization of other long-acting insulin analogues with ongoing promotional activities</li> <li>• Utilization of Gla-300 has grown from 27.7% of total insulin glargine in 2016 (DDD basis) to 51.4% in early 2020 through commercial and other activities</li> <li>• The originator company has decreased the price of insulin glargine 100 IU/ml by 14.4% in recent years (Table 3), and Gla-300 by 9.6%, further reducing the attractiveness of the biosimilar 100 IU/ml market</li> </ul>
Lithuania	<p>In Lithuania, there has been appreciably higher use of biosimilar insulin glargine compared with other Baltic Countries (Estonia and Latvia) in recent years—Figure 3. This may be due to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Trends toward INN name prescribing in Lithuania coupled with the instigation of reference pricing which results in patients having to cover the additional costs themselves for a more expensive medicine</li> <li>• However, utilization of the 100 IU/ml formulation has been moderated by growing utilization of Gla-300 rising from 2.95% of total insulin glargine in 2015 to 39.03% in early 2020, enhanced by price reductions (13.7% between 2015 and 2020)</li> <li>• Similar reimbursed prices between 100 IU/ml originator and biosimilars—reflecting ongoing reference pricing in Lithuania with prices of medicines displayed in community pharmacies to enhance competition where there are co-payments</li> </ul>
Poland	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The growth in the prescribing of long-acting insulin analogues in Poland in recent years, although from a lower base, may reflect a more cautious attitudes toward insulin long-acting insulin analogues generally coupled with issues of affordability</li> <li>• The appreciably higher utilization of biosimilar insulin glargine in recent years in Poland versus the originator compared with that seen among the other studied CEE countries (Fig. 3) may have been facilitated by a flat reimbursement rate. This means, similar to Lithuania, that patients must pay the price difference for a more expensive form of insulin glargine than the current reference priced product. In addition, the Ministry of Health in Poland is introducing measures to grow the prescribing of biosimilars in Poland to save resources, helped by Poland being a producer of biosimilars</li> <li>• However, the promotion of Gla-300 by the originator company has limited the prescribing of biosimilar 100 IU/ml with the utilization of Gla-300 reaching 37.1% of total insulin glargine by early 2020. This has been helped by prices of Gla-300 falling by 5.8% between 2017 and 2020</li> </ul>
Romania	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• In recent years in Romania, the high expenditure on long-acting insulin analogues is a reflection of successful marketing by the originator companies. This is reflected by insulin glargine becoming one of the top selling medicines in recent years in Romania, and more recently the top selling medicine</li> <li>• In addition, in the fourth quarter of 2015, insulin detemir also became one of the top 10 medicines by value in Romania. Both helped to maintain high expenditures on long-acting insulin analogues in Romania in recent years</li> <li>• In Romania, an insulin glargine biosimilar has recently been reimbursed (Abasaglar® 100). However, to date there has been very limited uptake due to ongoing pricing and reimbursement policies alongside limited physician incentives or constraints (demand-side measures) to preferentially prescribing biosimilars coupled with no co-payment issues for patients</li> <li>• The current international reference pricing system in Romania may also discourage the launching biosimilars in Romania with fears of parallel exportation</li> </ul>
Slovenia	<p>Whilst there is greater utilization of biosimilar insulin glargine in Slovenia in recent years as a percentage of total insulin glargine compared with other CEE countries, its prescribing has been affected by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Overall in practice, there has been limited differences in prices between the originator and biosimilars with the originator company appreciably reducing its prices</li> <li>• Limited demand-side measures being instigated in practice by the health authority to encourage the preferential prescribing of biosimilars including biosimilar insulin glargine</li> </ul>

Continued

Country	Potential explanation for current utilization and expenditure patterns
Spain (Catalonia)	<p>The utilization of biosimilar insulin glargine has been growing in Catalonia from 2.6% of total insulin glargine in 2015 to 12.4% in 2020 and 18.2% when considering just 100 IU/ml formulations. However, its usage has been limited due to a number of issues. These include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Growing usage of Gla-300, now accounting for 28.1% of total insulin glargine (DDD basis)</li> <li>• Current pricing arrangements with no differences in reimbursed prices between the biosimilars and the originator (Table 3)</li> <li>• Concerns among physicians with regular switching of patients between the originator and biosimilars</li> </ul>
United Kingdom—Scotland	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Expenditure and utilization on long-acting insulin analogues in Scotland has remained relatively stable in recent years, with limited use of patented insulin glargine (300 IU/ml) since its launch—reaching only 9.1% of total insulin glargine utilization by the end of 2020 and 9.2% in expenditure due to ongoing prescribing restrictions (Scottish Medicines Consortium, 2015)</li> <li>• Usage of long-acting insulin analogues including insulin glargine has been further affected by advice from NHS Scotland that patients should be started on human intermediate acting insulins, with long-acting insulin analogues only considered based on a patient's hypoglycemic risk</li> <li>• The Health Boards in Scotland (health authorities) regularly monitor the % of patients prescribed long-acting insulin analogues versus all long - and intermediate-acting insulins excluding bi-phasic insulins to enhance compliance</li> <li>• These combined activities appear to have moderated the utilization of long-acting insulin analogues and biosimilars in Scotland in recent years versus a number of CEE countries (Fig. 1)</li> <li>• Recommendations that long-acting insulins should be prescribed by brand name only to reduce the potential for hypoglycemia coupled with concerns with switching—although biosimilars should be considered for new patients, has further limited the prescribing of biosimilar insulin glargine in practice in Scotland</li> <li>• The situation with biosimilar insulin glargine is different to that seen with biosimilars for infliximab, etanercept, trastuzumab, and rituximab where there was rapid uptake of these biosimilars in Scotland following the instigation of multiple demand-side measures—including quality indicators surrounding biosimilar use, e.g., by December 2019, biosimilars for trastuzumab had accounted for 92% of all trastuzumab and biosimilars for adalimumab 87% of all adalimumab and growing (Table 2)</li> </ul>

in a class where this did not compromise care (Godman *et al.*, 2014, 2018b, 2019b, 2021a, 2021d; Leporowski *et al.*, 2018; Martin *et al.*, 2014). In addition, the situation seen with antimicrobials where sustained implementation of multiple demand-side measures enhanced their appropriate prescribing among former Soviet Union Republics including CEE countries compared to continually increased utilization without such measures (Godman *et al.*, 2021a, 2021g).

Table 5 discusses potential measures that could be introduced among all key stakeholder groups across countries, broken down by the 4Es where pertinent (Table 1), to enhance the prescribing of biosimilars where issues and concerns still exist. This builds on the findings in Tables 2 and 4 alongside the considerable experience and expertise of the senior level co-authors. It is recognized by all key stakeholders that it is important to increase the prescribing of biosimilars, especially in countries with universal healthcare. This is because attempts to reduce their prescribing will make the biosimilar market unattractive and compromise the future funding of medicines (Godman *et al.*, 2021a, 2021d). This will be detrimental to all key stakeholder groups in the coming years especially given the appreciable number of biologic medicines that are likely to soon lose their patents coupled with the need to fund new high priced medicines that address current unmet needs (Godman *et al.*, 2021a, 2021e).

### Biosimilar long-acting insulin analogues

Insulin glargine is a different situation to the biosimilars of anti-TNF alphas, as well as adalimumab, rituximab, and trastuzumab in terms of increasing expenditure and use of long-acting insulin analogues among CEE countries (Figs. 1 and 2).

This contrasts with previous low use of biological medicines to treat patients with immunological and oncological diseases in these countries (Baumgart *et al.*, 2019; Godman *et al.*, 2021a). This growing use reflects increasing recognition of the value and role of long-acting insulin analogues in managing patients with diabetes across countries although there can still be issues with affordability in some countries (Ewen *et al.*, 2019; Godman *et al.*, 2021g; Haque *et al.*, 2021a, 2021b; Tricco *et al.*, 2021).

There are though concerns with the low use of biosimilar insulin glargine in practice (Fig. 3) in a number of European countries versus the high use of biosimilars generally (Table 2).

The low use of biosimilar insulin glargine in a number of European countries (Fig. 3) may be due to a number of factors. These include the originator company lowering the price of 100 IU/ml insulin glargine and switching promotional efforts to Gla-300 (Tables 3 and 4). The situation with the manufacturer of originator insulin glargine switching promotion to the higher strength patented 300 IU/ml formulation is similar to previous evergreening activities by companies (Alkhafaji *et al.*, 2012; Vernaz *et al.*, 2013). Examples include switching promotion from omeprazole to esomeprazole and citalopram to escitalopram, increasing costs without necessarily improving patient care (Godman *et al.*, 2018b, 2019b; Vernaz *et al.*, 2013). Table 6 builds on Table 5 to review a number of activities that can be undertaken by key stakeholder groups across Europe to enhance future utilization of biosimilar insulin glargine 100 IU/ml. The instigation of such activities should encourage more companies and formulations of biosimilar long-acting insulin to launch new biosimilars. As a result, helping to lower prices, which should benefit all key stakeholders. It is also important for European

**Table 5.** Potential strategies among all key stakeholder groups to enhance the prescribing of biosimilars across Europe where issues and concerns still exist.

Stakeholder	Suggested activities
Health authority	<b>Education</b>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Undertake comprehensive educational programmes where concerns with biosimilars still exist among key stakeholder groups driven in part by originator companies. As part of this:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Actively disseminate the findings from landmark studies that demonstrate similar safety and effectiveness between a biosimilar and an originator, e.g., the Norwegian NOR-SWITCH study (Jorgensen <i>et al.</i>, 2017)</li> <li>• Remind key stakeholders that originator companies have themselves sometimes quite frequently changed their manufacturing processes without currently needing to instigate additional studies demonstrating similar effectiveness and safety to the original compounds (Godman <i>et al.</i>, 2020c; Jimenez-Pichardo <i>et al.</i>, 2018; Vezer <i>et al.</i>, 2016). This is despite becoming “biosimilars” themselves (Godman <i>et al.</i>, 2020c, Godman, 2021)</li> <li>• Utilise key physician groups and societies to dispel existing misinformation regarding the safety and effectiveness of biosimilars versus originators that have been approved by regulatory agencies such as the EMA</li> </ul> </li> <li>• Similarly use patient organizations and their representatives to:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Dispel misinformation regarding biosimilars</li> <li>• Broadcast the potential benefits of biosimilars in terms of reducing patient co-pays (where pertinent) as well as potentially enhancing funding for additional healthcare professions to treat more patients with cancer, diabetes and immunological diseases, where resources can be made available through increasing use of biosimilars</li> <li>• Communicate messages of similar effectiveness and safety between originators and biosimilars to reduce any nocebo affects that could negatively impact on biosimilar prescribing in routine clinical care (Colloca <i>et al.</i>, 2019)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
	<b>Engineering</b>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Instigate robust prescribing indicators to enhance the prescribing and dispensing of biosimilars arising from ongoing moves by originator companies to lower their prices in an attempt to dissuade biosimilar companies from entering the market/ continuing to compete—seen for both insulin glargine (Table 4) and recently with adalimumab in some countries (Moorkens <i>et al.</i>, 2021)</li> <li>• Re-look at taxes and rebates from originator companies if they appreciably reduce the price of their originator just prior to/ following biosimilar entry that reduces the differential between prices of the biosimilar and originator in practice and/ or reduces the attractiveness of the market for biosimilars in the first place (Table 4) (Moorkens <i>et al.</i>, 2021). Alongside this, re-evaluate tendering process for off-patent biologicals and biosimilars building on current concerns and potential ways forward discussed by Barbier <i>et al.</i> (2021)</li> <li>• Benchmark rates of biosimilar prescribing between physicians in a region/ nationally and regularly broadcasting the findings to enhance future prescribing of biosimilars</li> <li>• Include prescribing of biosimilars as part of drug and therapeutic committee strategies in hospitals/ ambulatory care</li> <li>• Ensure that any national treatment guidelines include preferential prescribing for biosimilars where pertinent, and ensure where possible there is no disagreement between entries in guidelines and reimbursement lists which can cause confusion (Kibuule <i>et al.</i>, 2017)</li> </ul>
	<b>Economics</b>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Financially incentivise physicians to preferentially prescribe biosimilars for both their existing and new patients</li> <li>• Incentivise research into demonstrating similar effectiveness and safety of biosimilars versus originators as well as the extent of potential savings with increased use of biosimilars where such information is lacking. This also includes funding research into the cost-effectiveness of biosimilars where there are still concerns—building on existing studies (Dutta <i>et al.</i>, 2020; Huang <i>et al.</i>, 2020; Jang <i>et al.</i>, 2021)</li> <li>• Instigate greater co-payments for patients if they still wish originators when effective and safe biosimilars are available</li> <li>• Consider value-added services from manufacturers of biosimilars to further enhance their value and utilization as part of procurement and other activities—especially if funding is needed to educate patients about any differences in devices between originators and biosimilars</li> <li>• Instigate fines to pharmaceutical companies for any disinformation regarding biosimilars similar to the situation seen with the authorities in France regarding the level of disinformation with generic clopidogrel (Editorial, 2013)</li> </ul>
	<b>Enforcement</b>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Potentially de-list or restrict the prescribing of higher priced originators from reimbursement lists/ lists of available medicines in hospitals where robust studies have demonstrated similar effectiveness and safety unless good reason—building on examples with angiotensin receptor blockers in Denmark (Hesse <i>et al.</i>, 2013) as well as the current procurement systems for biological medicines in hospitals in Denmark and Norway (Jensen <i>et al.</i>, 2020; Matusiewicz <i>et al.</i>, 2015)</li> <li>• Restrict the prescribing of more expensive patented biologicals that increase costs without improving care as seen with angiotensin receptor blockers in Austria and Croatia as well as duloxetine in Sweden (Godman <i>et al.</i>, 2013; Voncina <i>et al.</i>, 2011)</li> <li>• Only reimburse/ procure biosimilars instead of originators once they become available to encourage greater competition among biosimilar manufacturers building on initiatives such as pre-qualification initiatives by the WHO for rituximab (WHO, 2020)</li> </ul>

Continued

Stakeholder	Suggested activities
Physicians and pharmacists (mainly education/engineering)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Help with conducting future studies confirming no difference in effectiveness and safety between biosimilars (meeting standard quality requirements) and originators where there are continued concerns, and disseminating the findings in peer-reviewed journals. Similarly with any budget impact/ cost-effectiveness analyses</li> <li>• Include the findings in future society guidelines and actively disseminate these in peer-reviewed journals and other media</li> <li>• Help with educating patients where pertinent regarding biosimilars and reducing any possible nocebo effect to limit any negative connotations associated with biosimilars (Colloca <i>et al.</i>, 2019)</li> <li>• Help with the development of pertinent quality indicators and their measurement/ dissemination as part of activities in the community/ drug and therapeutic committees in hospitals to enhance biosimilar use</li> <li>• Work with health authorities to translate some of the savings from increased use of biosimilars into increased staffing levels where pertinent (particularly higher income European countries), as well as communicate with key stakeholder groups that more patients with immune diseases and cancer can be effectively treated with low cost biosimilars to enhance their access/ acceptability and use</li> <li>• Help with disseminating studies that demonstrate similar effectiveness and safety between originators and biosimilars to reduce current misinformation</li> </ul>
Patient organizations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Help with enlisting patients into pertinent studies where there is still uncertainty regarding the effectiveness and safety of biosimilars</li> <li>• Work with health authorities, physicians, pharmacists and others to translate potential savings from biosimilars into increasing staff levels/ access to biological therapies especially where continuing concerns/ sub-optimal staffing levels exist</li> </ul>

**Table 6.** Potential activities among European health authorities to enhance the prescribing and dispensing of insulin glargine biosimilars.

Educational initiatives	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Instigate programs to educate physicians and patients where necessary regarding similar safety and effectiveness between the originator and biosimilar insulin glargine. This includes actively broadcasting and disseminating the findings from ongoing real-world and other studies</li> <li>• Track any ongoing research regarding the potential savings/ cost-effectiveness from biosimilar insulin glargine. Potential savings can subsequently be used to enhance the availability and prescribing of long-acting insulin analogues in potential patients where there are still concerns</li> <li>• Work with physicians and others to ensure patients are familiar with the different pens/ devices where this exists between originators and biosimilars when there is switching between devices. As a result, minimize any potential for hypoglycemia</li> <li>• Alongside this, health authorities and others to work with patient organizations to facilitate greater use of biosimilar insulin glargine. This especially where there are resources/ co-payment issues. Increased competition should help in lowering the prices of biosimilars benefitting all key stakeholder groups</li> </ul>
Economics/ Engineering	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Instigate greater procurement and other measures to encourage greater discounts from companies. As a result, enhance the use of biosimilar insulin glargine at lower costs, which builds on examples with oral generics (Godman <i>et al.</i>, 2021a, 2021d). This includes covering the costs of pertinent educational activities that will be needed to enhance familiarity between different devices to minimize potential hypoglycemia</li> <li>• Re-look at procurement practices for biosimilars to encourage greater competition and lower prices (Barbier <i>et al.</i>, 2021)</li> <li>• Demand-side measures to increase the prescribing of biosimilars (and hence competition) could include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Instigating preference to biosimilars as part of annual procurement practices</li> <li>• Potentially delisting originator insulin glargine 100 IU/ml from reimbursement and formulary lists/ only authorizing reimbursement for biosimilar insulin glargines</li> <li>• Introduce prescribing goals for biosimilars for both new and existing diabetes patients alongside additional educational support where needed</li> <li>• Introduce prescribing restrictions for still patented Gla-300 IU/ml to further enhance the market attractiveness for 100 IU/ml formulations—similar to the situation in Scotland (Scottish Medicines Consortium, 2015). This builds on the successful introduction of prescribing restrictions in other disease areas across Europe (Godman <i>et al.</i>, 2021a)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

health authorities to encourage companies to develop biosimilars for insulin glargine as this will help them justify investment to develop biosimilars for still patented long-acting insulin analogues. This will again benefit all key stakeholder groups across countries with growing prevalence rates for patients with diabetes. We will continue to monitor this.

## CONCLUSION

Increased prescribing of low cost biosimilars is essential across Europe, as well as other countries seeking to attain or retain universal healthcare, given the continual

resource pressures. These include pressures to fund new high-priced medicines to address unmet need despite at times limited health gain versus current standards. Increasing availability of biosimilars, and their use, will generate further confidence in their prescribing and lower costs without compromising care. However, a number of co-ordinated activities are needed to fully realize this.

There are also a number of issues with biosimilar insulin glargine which need to be overcome and addressed to enhance biosimilar use. These are in addition to potential activities to enhance biosimilar use generally. Key issues include addressing



the evergreening activities of the originator company toward Gla-300 as well as addressing the limited price differences in practice between the biosimilars and the originator. Additional activities include enhancing physician and patient education where needed, increasing familiarity with the biosimilars as well as lowering prices, alongside multiple demand-side measures. Such coordinated measures should enhance the utilization of long-acting biosimilar analogues, which should benefit all key stakeholder groups going forward. This includes encouraging biosimilar manufacturers to develop biosimilars for other long-acting insulin analogues as they lose their patents.

#### AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors made substantial contributions to conception and design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data; took part in drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content; agreed to submit to the current journal; gave final approval of the version to be published; and agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work. All the authors are eligible to be an author as per the international committee of medical journal editors (ICMJE) requirements/guidelines.

#### FUNDING

There is no funding to report.

#### CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors report no financial or any other conflicts of interest in this work.

#### ETHICAL APPROVALS

We did not seek ethical approval for this study as we were not dealing directly with patients. This is in agreement with national legislation and institutional guidelines.

#### DATA AVAILABILITY

All data generated and analyzed are included within this research article.

#### PUBLISHER'S NOTE

This journal remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published institutional affiliation.

#### REFERENCES

Adamski J, Godman B, Ofierska-Sujkowska G, Osinska B, Herholz H, Wendkowska K, Laius O, Jan S, Sermet C, Zara C, Kalaba M, Gustafsson R, Garuoliene K, Haycox A, Garattini S, Gustafsson LL. Risk sharing arrangements for pharmaceuticals: potential considerations and recommendations for European payers. *BMC Health Serv Res*, 2010; 10:153.

Alkhafaji AA, Trinquart L, Baron G, Desvarieux M, Ravaud P. Impact of evergreening on patients and health insurance: a meta analysis and reimbursement cost analysis of citalopram/escitalopram antidepressants. *BMC Med*, 2012; 10:142.

Araújo FC, Gonçalves J, Fonseca JE. Biosimilars in rheumatology. *Pharmacol Res*, 2019; 149:104467.

Barbier L, Ebbers HC, Declerck P, Simoens S, Vulto AG, Huys I. The efficacy, safety, and immunogenicity of switching between reference biopharmaceuticals and biosimilars: a systematic review. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*, 2020; 108(4):734–55

Barbier L, Simoens S, Soontjens C, Claus B, Vulto AG, Huys I. Off-patent biologicals and biosimilars tendering in europe-a proposal toward more sustainable practices. *Pharmaceuticals*, 2021; 14(6):499.

Baumgart DC, Misery L, Naeyaert S, Taylor PC. Biological therapies in immune-mediated inflammatory diseases: can biosimilars reduce access inequities? *Front Pharmacol*, 2019; 10:279.

Bertolani A, Jommi C. Local policies on biosimilars: are they designed to optimize use of liberated resources? *GaBI J*, 2020; 9(4):163–70.

Bochenek T, Abilova V, Alkan A, Asanin B, de Miguel Beriain I, Besovic Z, Vella Bonanno P, Bucsecs A, Davidescu M, De Weerd E, Duborija-Kovacevic N, Fürst J, Gaga M, Gailite E, Gulbinovič J, Gürpınar EU, Hankó B, Hargaden V, Hotvedt TA, Hoxha I, Huys I, Inotai A, Jakupi A, Jenzer H, Joppi R, Laius O, Lenormand MC, Makridaki D, Malaj A, Margus K, Marković-Peković V, Miljković N, de Miranda JL, Primožič S, Rajinac D, Schwartz DG, Šebesta R, Simoens S, Slaby J, Sović-Brkičić L, Tesar T, Tzimis L, Warمیńska E, Godman B. Systemic measures and legislative and organizational frameworks aimed at preventing or mitigating drug shortages in 28 european and western asian countries. *Front Pharmacol*, 2017; 8:942.

Bommer C, Sagalova V, Heesemann E, Manne-Goehler J, Atun R, Bärnighausen T, Davies J, Vollmer S. Global economic burden of diabetes in adults: projections from 2015 to 2030. *Diabetes Care*, 2018; 41(5):963–70.

Brill A. Shared savings demonstration for biosimilars in medicare: an opportunity to promote biologic drug competition, 2020. Available via [http://www.getmga.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/05/Biosimilar\\_Shared\\_Savings.pdf](http://www.getmga.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/05/Biosimilar_Shared_Savings.pdf)

Caires de Souza AL, de Assis Acurcio F, Guerra Júnior AA, Rezende Macedo do Nascimento RC, Godman B, Diniz LM. Insulin glargine in a Brazilian state: should the government disinvest? An assessment based on a systematic review. *Appl Health Econ Health Policy*, 2014; 12(1):19–32.

Chapman SR, Fitzpatrick RW, Aladul MI. Knowledge, attitude and practice of healthcare professionals towards infliximab and insulin glargine biosimilars: result of a UK web-based survey. *BMJ Open*, 2017; 7(6):e016730.

Colloca L, Panaccione R, Murphy TK. The clinical implications of nocebo effects for biosimilar therapy. *Front Pharmacol*, 2019; 10:1372.

Cozijnsen MA, Samsom JN, de Ridder L. Anti-tumour necrosis factor therapy for paediatric crohn's disease: improved benefits through treatment optimisation, deeper understanding of its risks, and reduced costs due to biosimilar availability. *Paediatr Drugs*, 2018; 20(1):19–28.

Davio K. After biosimilar deals, UK spending on adalimumab will drop by 75%, 2018. Available via <https://www.centerforbiosimilars.com/news/after-biosimilar-deals-uk-spending-on-adalimumab-will-drop-by-75>.

Dörner T, Strand V, Comes P, Gonçalves J, Gulácsi L, Kay J, Kvien TK, Smolen J, Tanaka Y, Burmester GR. The changing landscape of biosimilars in rheumatology. *Annals of the rheumatic diseases*, 2016; 75(6):974–82

Dutta B, Huys I, Vulto AG, Simoens S. Identifying key benefits in European off-patent biologicals and biosimilar markets: it is not only about price! *BioDrugs*, 2020; 34(2):159–70.

Editorial. Generic bashing: effective but illegal. *Rev Prescrire*, 2013; 33:773.

Eriksson I, Wettermark B, Persson M, Edström M, Godman B, Lindhé A, Malmström RE, Ramström H, von Euler M, Bergkvist Christensen A. The early awareness and alert system in Sweden: history and current status. *Front Pharmacol*, 2017; 8:674.

EURODIS. Breaking the access deadlock to leave no one behind. In: A contribution by EURORDIS and its Members on possibilities for patients' full and equitable access to rare disease therapies in Europe, 2018. Available via [http://download2.eurordis.org.s3.amazonaws.com/positionpapers/eurordis\\_access\\_position\\_paper\\_final\\_4122017.pdf](http://download2.eurordis.org.s3.amazonaws.com/positionpapers/eurordis_access_position_paper_final_4122017.pdf)

Ewen M, Joosse HJ, Beran D, Laing R. Insulin prices, availability and affordability in 13 low-income and middle-income countries. *BMJ Glob Health*, 2019; 4(3):e001410.

Garuoliene K, Godman B, Gulbinovič J, Wettermark B, Haycox A. European countries with small populations can obtain low prices for drugs: Lithuania as a case history. *Expert Rev Pharmacoecon Outcomes Res*, 2011; 11(3):343–9.

Godman B. Biosimilars are becoming indispensable in the management of multiple diseases although concerns still exist. *Bangladesh J Med Sci*, 2021; 20(1):5–10.

Godman B, Wettermark B, Hoffmann M, Andersson K, Haycox A, Gustafsson LL. Multifaceted national and regional drug reforms and initiatives in ambulatory care in Sweden: global relevance. *Expert Rev Pharmacoecon Outcomes Res*, 2009; 9(1):65–83.

Godman B, Persson M, Miranda J, Skiöld P, Wettermark B, Barbui C, Gustafsson LL. Changes in the utilization of venlafaxine after the introduction of generics in Sweden. *Appl Health Econ Health Policy*, 2013; 11(4):383–93.

Godman B, Wettermark B, van Woerkom M, Fraeyman J, Alvarez-Madrazo S, Berg C, Bishop I, Bucsics A, Campbell S, Finlayson AE, Fürst J, Garuoliene K, Herholz H, Kalaba M, Laius O, Piessnegger J, Sermet C, Schwabe U, Vlahović-Palčevski VV, Markovic-Pekovic V, Vončina L, Malinowska K, Zara C, Gustafsson LL. Multiple policies to enhance prescribing efficiency for established medicines in Europe with a particular focus on demand-side measures: findings and future implications. *Front Pharmacol*, 2014; 5:106.

Godman B, Malmström RE, Diogene E, Gray A, Jayathissa S, Timoney A, Acurcio F, Alkan A, Brzezinska A, Bucsics A, Campbell SM, Czezcot J, de Bruyn W, Eriksson I, Yusuf FA, Finlayson AE, Fürst J, Garuoliene K, Guerra Júnior A, Gulbinovič J, Jan S, Joppi R, Kalaba M, Magnusson E, McCullagh L, Miikkulainen K, Ofierska-Sujkowska G, Pedersen HB, Selke G, Sermet C, Spillane S, Supian A, Truter I, Vlahović-Palčevski V, Vien LE, Vural EH, Wale J, Władysiuk M, Zeng W, Gustafsson LL. Are new models needed to optimize the utilization of new medicines to sustain healthcare systems? *Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol*, 2015; 8(1):77–94.

Godman B, Bucsics A, Vella Bonanno P, Oortwijn W, Rothe CC, Ferrario A, Bosselli S, Hill A, Martin AP, Simoens S, Kurdi A, Gad M, Gulbinovič J, Timoney A, Bochenek T, Salem A, Hoxha I, Sauermann R, Masele A, Guerra AA Jr, Petrova G, Mitkova Z, Achiotou G, Laius O, Sermet C, Selke G, Kourafalos V, Yfantopoulos J, Magnusson E, Joppi R, Oluka M, Kwon HY, Jakupi A, Kalemeera F, Fadare JO, Melien O, Pomorski M, Władysiuk M, Marković-Peković V, Mardare I, Meshkov D, Novakovic T, Fürst J, Tomek D, Zara C, Diogene E, Meyer JC, Malmström R, Wettermark B, Matsebula Z, Campbell S, Haycox A. Barriers for access to new medicines: searching for the balance between rising costs and limited budgets. *Front Public Health*, 2018a; 6:328.

Godman B, Kurdi A, McCabe H, MacBride-Stewart S, Leporowski A, Hurding S, Bennie M, Morton A. Ongoing activities to influence the prescribing of proton pump inhibitors within the Scottish National Health Service: their effect and implications. *GaBI J*, 2018b; 7(4):142–51.

Godman B, Hill A, Simoens S, Kurdi A, Gulbinovič J, Martin AP, Timoney A, Gotham D, Wale J, Bochenek T, Rothe C, Hoxha I, Malaj A, Hierländer C, Sauermann S, Hamelinck W, Mitkova Z, Petrova G, Laius O, Sermet S, Langer I, Selke G, Yfantopoulos J, Joppi R, Jakupi A, Poplavska E, Greiciute-Kuprijanov I, Vella Bonanno P, Piepenbrink JF, de Valk V, Hagen C, Ringerud AM, Plisko R, Władysiuk M, Marković-Peković V, Grubiša N, Ponorac T, Mardare I, Novakovic T, Parker M, Fürst J, Tomek D, Cortadellas MO, Zara C, Juhasz-Haverinen M, Skiöld P, McTaggart S, Haycox A. Pricing of oral generic cancer medicines in 25 European countries; findings and implications. *GaBI J*, 2019a; 8(2):49–70.

Godman B, Kurdi A, McCabe H, Johnson CF, Barbui C, MacBride-Stewart S, Hurding S, Leporowski A, Bennie M, Morton A. Ongoing initiatives within the Scottish National Health Service to affect the prescribing of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors and their influence. *J Comp Eff Res*, 2019b; 8(7):535–47.

Godman B, Basu D, Pillay Y, Almeida PHRF, Mwita JC, Rwegerera GM, Anand Paramadhas BD, Tiroyakgosi C, Patrick O, Niba LL, Sefah J, Ogunleye OO, Rampamba EM, Wing J, Mueller D, Alfadl A, Amu AA, Matsebula Z, Kalungia AC, Zaranyika T, Masuka N, Wale J, Hill R, Kurdi A, Timoney A, Campbell S, Meyer JC. Ongoing and planned activities to improve the management of patients with Type 1 diabetes across Africa; implications for the future. *Hosp Pract*, 2020a; 48(2):51–67.

Godman B, Basu D, Pillay Y, Mwita JC, Rwegerera GM, Anand Paramadhas BD, Tiroyakgosi C, Okwen PM, Niba LL, Nonvignon J, Sefah I, Oluka M, Guantai AN, Kibuule D, Kalemeera F, Mubita M, Fadare J,

Ogunleye OO, Distiller LA, Rampamba EM, Wing J, Mueller D, Alfadl A, Amu AA, Matsebula Z, Kalungia A, Zaranyika T, Masuka N, Wale J, Hill R, Kurdi A, Timoney A, Campbell S, Meyer JC. Review of Ongoing Activities and Challenges to Improve the Care of Patients With Type 2 Diabetes Across Africa and the Implications for the Future. *Front Pharmacol*, 2020b; 11:108.

Godman B, Allocati E, Moorkens E, Kwon HY. Can local policies on biosimilars optimize the use of freed resources—experiences from Italy. *GaBI J*, 2020c; 9(4).

Godman B, Fadare J, Kwon HY, Dias CZ, Kurdi A, Dias Godói IP, Kibuule D, Hoxha I, Opanga S, Saleem Z, Bochenek T, Marković-Peković V, Mardare I, Kalungia AC, Campbell S, Allocati E, Pisana A, Martin AP, Meyer JC. Evidence-based public policy making for medicines across countries: findings and implications for the future. *J Comp Eff Res*, 2021a; 10(12):1019–52.

Godman B, Haque M, Leong T, Allocati E, Kumar S, Islam S, Charan J, Akter F, Kurdi A, Vassalo C, Bakar MA, Rahim SA, Sultana N, Deeba F, Khan MAH, Alam ABMM, Jahan I, Kamal ZM, Hasin H, Munzur-E-Murshid, Nahar S, Haque M, Dutta S, Abhayanand JP, Kaur RJ, Rwegerera GM, do Nascimento RCRM, Dias Godói IP, Irfan M, Amu AA, Matowa P, Acolatse J, Incoom R, Sefah IA, Acharya J, Opanga S, Njeri LW, Kimonge D, Kwon HY, Bae S, Khuan KKP, Abubakar AR, Sani IH, Khan TA, Hussain S, Saleem Z, Malande OO, Piloya-Were T, Gambogi R, Hernandez Ortiz C, Alutuli L, Kalungia AC, Hoxha I, Marković-Peković V, Tubic B, Petrova G, Tachkov K, Laius O, Harsanyi A, Inotai A, Jakupi A, Henkuzens S, Garuoliene K, Gulbinovič J, Władysiuk M, Rutkowski J, Mardare I, Fürst J, McTaggart S, MacBride-Stewart S, Pontes C, Zara C, Tagoe ET, Banzi R, Wale J, Jakovljevic M. The Current Situation Regarding Long-Acting Insulin Analogues Including Biosimilars Among African, Asian, European, and South American Countries; Findings and Implications for the Future. *Front Public Health*, 2021b; 9:671961.

Godman B, Władysiuk M, McTaggart S, Kurdi A, Allocati E, Jakovljevic M, Kalemeera F, Hoxha I, Nachtnebel A, Sauermann R, Hinteregger M, Marković-Peković V, Tubic B, Petrova G, Tachkov K, Slabý J, Nejezchlebova R, Krulichová IS, Laius O, Selke G, Langner I, Harsanyi A, Inotai A, Jakupi A, Henkuzens S, Garuoliene K, Gulbinovič J, Bonanno PV, Rutkowski J, Ingeberg S, Melien Ø, Mardare I, Fürst J, MacBride-Stewart S, Holmes C, Pontes C, Zara C, Pedrola MT, Hoffmann M, Kourafalos V, Pisana A, Banzi R, Campbell S, Wettermark B. Utilisation Trend of Long-Acting Insulin Analogues including Biosimilars across Europe: Findings and Implications. *Biomed Res Int*, 2021c; 2021:9996193.

Godman B, Masele A, Fadare J, Kwon H-Y, Kurdi A, Kalemeera F, Hussain S, Pisana A, Meyer JC. Generic drugs—essential for the sustainability of healthcare systems with numerous strategies to enhance their use. *Pharm Sci Biomed Anal J*, 2021d; 4(1):126.

Godman B, Hill A, Simoens S, Selke G, Selke Krulichová I, Zampiroli Dias C, Martin AP, Oortwijn W, Timoney A, Gustafsson LL, Voncina L, Kwon HY, Gulbinovic J, Gotham D, Wale J, Cristina Da Silva V, Bochenek T, Allocati E, Kurdi A, Ogunleye OO, Meyer JC, Hoxha I, Malaj A, Hierländer C, Sauermann R, Hamelinck W, Petrova G, Laius O, Langner I, Yfantopoulos J, Joppi R, Jakupi A, Greiciute-Kuprijanov I, Vella Bonanno P, Piepenbrink JH, de Valk V, Władysiuk M, Marković-Peković V, Mardare I, Fürst J, Tomek D, Obach Cortadellas M, Zara C, Pontes C, McTaggart S, Laba TL, Melien Ø, Wong-Rieger D, Bae S, Hill R. Potential approaches for the pricing of cancer medicines across Europe to enhance the sustainability of healthcare systems and the implications. *Expert Rev Pharmacoecon Outcomes Res*, 2021e; 21(4):527–40.

Godman B, Haque M, Kumar S, Islam S, Charan J, Akter F, Kurdi A, Allocati E, Bakar MA, Rahim SA, Sultana N, Deeba F, Halim Khan MA, Alam ABMM, Jahan I, Kamal ZM, Hasin H, Munzur-E-Murshid, Nahar S, Haque M, Dutta S, Abhayanand JP, Kaur RJ, Acharya J, Sugahara T, Kwon HY, Bae S, Khuan KKP, Khan TA, Hussain S, Saleem Z, Pisana A, Wale J, Jakovljevic M. Current utilization patterns for long-acting insulin analogues including biosimilars among selected Asian countries and the implications for the future. *Curr Med Res Opin*, 2021f; 37(9):1529–45.

Godman B, Egwuenu A, Haque M, Malande OO, Schellack N, Kumar S, Saleem Z, Sneddon J, Hoxha I, Islam S, Mwita J, do Nascimento RCRM, Dias Godói IP, Niba LL, Amu AA, Acolatse J, Incoom R, Sefah

- IA, Opanga S, Kurdi A, Chikowe I, Khuluzi F, Kibuule D, Ogunleye OO, Olalekan A, Markovic-Pekovic V, Meyer JC, Alfiadl A, Phuong TNT, Kalungia AC, Campbell S, Pisana A, Wale J, Seaton RA. Strategies to Improve Antimicrobial Utilization with a Special Focus on Developing Countries. *Life*, 2021g; 11(6).
- Godman B, Leong T, Abubakar AR, Kurdi A, Kalemeera F, Rwegerera GM, Patrick O, Lum Niba L, Ibrahim K, Adefolarin AA, Matowa P, Acolatse A, Incoom R, Sefah IA, Opanga O, Njeri LW, Kimonge D, Oluka, M, Chikowe I, Khuluzi F, Phiri H, Kibuule D, Hango E, Sani IH, Malande OO, Piloya-Were T, Alutuli L, Kalungia AC, Chaibva BV, Zaranika T, Haque M, Allocati E, Campbell S, Adwubi ET, Ogunleye OO. Availability and use of long-acting insulin analogues including their biosimilars across Africa: findings and implications. *Intern Med*, 2021h; 11:343.
- Greener M. Why isn't the NHS making the most of biosimilar insulin? *Prescriber*, 2019; 21–24.
- Gustafsson LL, Wettermark B, Godman B, Andersén-Karlsson E, Bergman U, Hasselström J, Hensjö LO, Hjemdahl P, Jägre I, Julander M, Ringertz B, Schmidt D, Sjöberg S, Sjöqvist F, Stiller CO, Törnqvist E, Tryselius R, Vitols S, von Bahr C; Regional Drug Expert Consortium. The 'wise list' - a comprehensive concept to select, communicate and achieve adherence to recommendations of essential drugs in ambulatory care in Stockholm. *Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol*, 2011; 108(4):224–33.
- Haque M, Islam S, Kamal ZM, Akter F, Jahan I, Rahim MSA, Sultana N, Alam AM, Munzur-E-Murshid, Halim-Khan MA, Deeba F, Bakar MA, Nahar S, Mozaffar M, Urmi UL, Saikat TR, Islam MZ, Haque M, Iqbal S, Hossain MM, Naher N, Allocati E, Godman B. Ongoing efforts to improve the management of patients with diabetes in Bangladesh and the implications. *Hosp Pract*, 2021a; 49(4):266–72.
- Haque M, Islam S, Abubakar AR, Sani IH, Opanga S, Kamal ZM, Akter F, Godman B. Utilization and expenditure on long-acting insulin analogs among selected middle-income countries with high patient co-payment levels: findings and implications for the future. *Sch Acad J Pharm*, 2021b; 10(4): 63–70.
- Health Improvement Scotland. *Biosimilar Medicines: A National Prescribing Framework*, 2018. Available at URL: [https://www.healthcareimprovementscotland.org/our\\_work/technologies\\_and\\_medicines/programme\\_resources/biosimilar\\_medicines\\_framework.aspx](https://www.healthcareimprovementscotland.org/our_work/technologies_and_medicines/programme_resources/biosimilar_medicines_framework.aspx), 11(07):172–82.
- Hesse U, Godman B, Petzold M, Martin A, Malmström RE. Impact of delisting ARBs, apart from losartan, on ARB utilisation patterns in Denmark: implications for other countries. *Appl Health Econ Health Policy*, 2013; 11(6):677–85.
- Hofmarcher T, Brådvik G, Svedman C, Lindgren P, Jönsson B, Wilking N. *Comparator report on cancer in Europe 2019—disease burden, costs and access to medicines*. IHE Report, 7 p, 2019. Available via <https://www.efpia.eu/media/413449/comparator-report-on-cancer-in-europe-2019.pdf>
- Huang HY, Liu CC, Yu Y, Wang L, Wu DW, Guo LW, Wang SH, Fang H, Bai Y, Fang Y, Fan Q, Sun C, Wu Y, Shi JF, Ma F, Tang Y, Dai M, Li N. Pharmaco-economic evaluation of cancer biosimilars worldwide: a systematic review. *Front Pharmacol*, 2020; 11:572569.
- IQVIA. *The global use of medicine in 2019 and outlook to 2023—forecasts and areas to watch*, 2019a. Available via <https://www.iqvia.com/-/media/iqvia/pdfs/institute-reports/the-global-use-of-medicine-in-2019-and-outlook-to-2023.pdf>.
- IQVIA. *The impact of biosimilar competition in Europe*, 2019b. IQVIA. *Country Scorecards for Biosimilar Sustainability*, 2020. Available at URL: <https://www.iqvia.com/-/media/iqvia/pdfs/institute-reports/country-scorecards-for-biosimilar-sustainability/iqvia-institute-scorecards-appendix-orb2520.pdf?la=en>, Available via <https://ec.europa.eu/docsroom/documents/38461>.
- Jacobs TG, Robertson J, van den Ham HA, Iwamoto K, Bak Pedersen H, Mantel-Teeuwisse AK. Assessing the impact of law enforcement to reduce over-the-counter (OTC) sales of antibiotics in low- and middle-income countries; a systematic literature review. *BMC Health Serv Res*, 2019; 19(1):536.
- Jang M, Simoens S, Kwon T. Budget impact analysis of the introduction of rituximab and trastuzumab intravenous biosimilars to eu-5 markets. *BioDrugs*, 2021; 35(1):89–101.
- Jensen TB, Kim SC, Jimenez-Solem E, Bartels D, Christensen HR, Andersen JT. Shift from adalimumab originator to biosimilars in Denmark. *JAMA Intern Med*, 2020; 180(6):902–3.
- Jiménez-Pichardo L, Gázquez-Pérez R, Sierra-Sánchez JF. Degree of prescriber's knowledge about variability in biological drugs "innovators" in manufacturing process. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol*, 2018; 74(4):505–1.
- Jørgensen KK, Olsen IC, Goll GL, Lorentzen M, Bolstad N, Haavardsholm EA, Lundin KEA, Mørk C, Jahnsen J, Kvien TK; NOR-SWITCH study group. Switching from originator infliximab to biosimilar CT-P13 compared with maintained treatment with originator infliximab (NOR-SWITCH): a 52-week, randomised, double-blind, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet*, 2017; 389(10086):2304–1.
- Kibuule D, Mubita M, Naikaku E, Kalemeera F, Godman BB, Sagwa E. An analysis of policies for cotrimoxazole, amoxicillin and azithromycin use in Namibia's public sector: Findings and therapeutic implications. *Int J Clin Pract*, 2017; 71(2).
- Kim Y, Kwon HY, Godman B, Moorkens E, Simoens S, Bae S. Uptake of biosimilar infliximab in the UK, France, Japan, and Korea: budget savings or market expansion across countries? *Front Pharmacol*, 2020; 11:97.
- Lee TY, Kuo S, Yang CY, Ou HT. Cost-effectiveness of long-acting insulin analogues vs intermediate/long-acting human insulin for type 1 diabetes: a population-based cohort followed over 10 years. *Br J Clin Pharmacol*, 2020; 86(5):852–60.
- Leporowski A, Godman B, Kurdi A, MacBride-Stewart S, Ryan M, Hurding S, Do Nascimento RC, Bennie M, Morton A. Ongoing activities to optimize the quality and efficiency of lipid-lowering agents in the Scottish national health service: influence and implications. *Expert Rev Pharmacoecon Outcomes Res*, 2018; 18(6):655–66.
- Lima-Dellamora Eda C, Caetano R, Gustafsson LL, Godman BB, Patterson K, Osorio-de-Castro CG. An analytical framework for assessing drug and therapeutics committee structure and work processes in tertiary Brazilian hospitals. *Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol*, 2014; 115(3):268–76.
- MacBride-Stewart S MS, Kurdi A, Sneddon J, McBurney S, do Nascimento RCRM, Mueller T, Kwon HY, Morton A, Seaton RA, Timoney A, Bennie M, Sefah IA, Pisana A, Meyer JC, Godman B. Initiatives and reforms across Scotland in recent years to improve prescribing; findings and global implications of drug prescriptions. *Int J Clin Exp Med*, 2021; 14(12):2563–86.
- Mardare I, Campbell SM, Meyer JC, Sefah IA, Masseur A, Godman B. Enhancing choices regarding the administration of insulin among patients with diabetes requiring insulin across countries and implications for future care. *Front Pharmacol*, 2022; 12:794363.
- Marković-Peković V, Grubiša N, Burger J, Bojanić L, Godman B. Initiatives to reduce nonprescription sales and dispensing of antibiotics: findings and implications. *J Res Pharm Pract*, 2017; 6(2):120–5.
- Martin A, Godman B, Miranda J, Tilstone J, Saleem N, Olsson E, Acosta A, Restrepo L, Bennie M. Measures to improve angiotensin receptor blocker prescribing efficiency in the UK: findings and implications. *J Comp Eff Res*, 2014; 3(1):41–51.
- Mashaba TP, Matlala M, Godman B, Meyer JC. Implementation and monitoring of decisions by pharmacy and therapeutics committees in South African public sector hospitals. *Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol*, 2019; 12(2):159–68.
- Matlala M, Gous AGS, Meyer JC, Godman B. Formulary management activities and practice implications among public sector hospital pharmaceutical and therapeutics committees in a South African province. *Front Pharmacol*, 2020; 11:1267.
- Matsitse TB, Helberg E, Meyer JC, Godman B, Masseur A, Schellack N. Compliance with the primary health care treatment guidelines and the essential medicines list in the management of sexually transmitted infections in correctional centres in South Africa: findings and implications. *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther*, 2017; 15(10):963–72.



- Matuszewicz W, Godman B, Pedersen HB, Fürst J, Gulbinović J, Mack A, Selke G, Timoney A, Warmańska E, Malmström RE. Improving the managed introduction of new medicines: sharing experiences to aid authorities across Europe. *Expert Rev Pharmacoecon Outcomes Res*, 2015; 15(5):755–8.
- Ministry of Health, France. Expérimentation pour l'incitation à la prescription hospitalière de médicaments biologiques similaires délivrés en ville. Ministry of Health, 2019. Available via <https://solidarites-sante.gouv.fr/systeme-de-sante-et-medico-social/parcours-des-patients-et-des-usagers/article-51-lfss-2018-innovations-organisationnelles-pour-la-transformation-du/article/experimentation-pour-l-encouragement-a-la-prescription-hospitaliere-de-medicaments>.
- Moorkens E, Vulto AG, Huys I, Dylst P, Godman B, Keuerleber S, Claus B, Dimitrova M, Petrova G, Sović-Brkičić L, Slabý J, Šebesta R, Laius O, Karr A, Beck M, Martikainen JE, Selke GW, Spillane S, McCullagh L, Trifirò G, Vella Bonanno P, Mack A, Fogele A, Viksna A, Władysiuk M, Mota-Filipe H, Meshkov D, Kalaba M, Mencej Bedrač S, Fürst J, Zara C, Skiöld P, Magnússon E, Simoens S. Policies for biosimilar uptake in Europe: an overview. *PLoS One*, 2017; 12(12):e0190147.
- Moorkens E, Godman B, Huys I, Hoxha I, Malaj A, Keuerleber S, Stockinger S, Mörtenhuber S, Dimitrova M, Tachkov K, Vončina L, Pačevski VV, Achiotou G, Slabý J, Popelková L, Kohoutová K, Bartels D, Laius O, Martikainen JE, Selke GW, Kourafalos V, Magnússon E, Einarsdóttir R, Adams R, Joppi R, Allocati E, Jakupi A, Viksna A, Greičiūtė-Kuprijanov I, Vella Bonanno P, Suttrop V, Melien Ø, Plisko R, Mardare I, Meshkov D, Novakovic T, Fürst J, Zara C, Marković-Peković V, Grubiša N, Befris G, Puckett R, Vulto AG. The expiry of Humira® market exclusivity and the entry of adalimumab biosimilars in Europe: an overview of pricing and national policy measures. *Front Pharmacol*, 2021; 11:591134.
- NHS England. Commissioning framework for biological medicines (including biosimilar medicines), 2017. Available at URL: <https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2017/09/biosimilar-medicines-commissioning-framework.pdf>
- NHS England. Regional Medicines Optimisation Committees Operating Model, 2019. Available from: <https://www.england.nhs.uk/publication/regional-medicines-optimisation-committee-operating-guidance-and-recruitment-information/>
- NHS England. Biosimilar medicines, 2020. Available at URL: <https://www.england.nhs.uk/medicines-2/biosimilar-medicines/>
- NHS England and NHS Improvement. What is a Biosimilar Medicine? 2019. Available at URL: <https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2019/05/what-is-a-biosimilar-medicine-guide-v2.pdf>
- NHS Scotland. Secondary Care National Therapeutic Indicators 2018/19, 2018. Available via <https://www.therapeutics.scot.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2018/08/Secondary-Care-National-Therapeutic-Indicators-Version-1.0.pdf>.
- NHS Scotland. Secondary Care National Therapeutic Indicators 2019/20, 2019. Available at URL: <https://www.therapeutics.scot.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/Secondary-care-NTIs-2019-20-final.pdf>
- Niaz Q, Godman B, Masseur A, Campbell S, Kurdi A, Kagoya HR, Kibuule D. Validity of World Health Organisation prescribing indicators in Namibia's primary healthcare: findings and implications. *Int J Qual Health Care*, 2019; 31(5):338–45.
- Nyabuti AO, Okalebo FA, Guantai EM. Examination of WHO/INRUD core drug use indicators at Public Primary Healthcare Centers in Kisii County, Kenya. *Adv Pharmacol Pharm Sci*, 2020; 2020:3173847.
- OECD. Health at a glance: Europe 2020 STATE OF HEALTH IN THE EU CYCLE, 2020. Available via <https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/docserver/82129230-en.pdf?expires=1612384979&id=id&accname=guest&checksum=E9AD44E432E325AC9EEBEB236EAE5851>
- Parkinson B, Sermet C, Clement F, Crausaz S, Godman B, Garner S, Choudhury M, Pearson SA, Viney R, Lopert R, Elshaug AG. Disinvestment and value-based purchasing strategies for pharmaceuticals: an international review. *Pharmacoeconomics*, 2015; 33(9):905–24.
- Perumal-Pillay VA, Suleman F. Selection of essential medicines for South Africa - an analysis of in-depth interviews with national essential medicines list committee members. *BMC Health Serv Res*, 2017; 17(1):17.
- Rencz F, Kemény L, Gajdáczi JZ, Owczarek W, Arenberger P, Tiplica GS, Stanimirović A, Niewada M, Petrova G, Marinov LT, Kazandhieva J, Péntek M, Brodsky V, Gulácsi L. Use of biologics for psoriasis in Central and Eastern European countries. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol*, 2015; 29(11):2222–30.
- Rys P, Wojciechowski P, Rogoz-Sitek A, Nieszczyński G, Lis J, Syta A, Malecki MT. Systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials comparing efficacy and safety outcomes of insulin glargine with NPH insulin, premixed insulin preparations or with insulin detemir in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Acta Diabetol*, 2015; 52(4):649–62.
- Sagonowsky E. AbbVie's massive Humira discounts are stifling Netherlands biosimilars: report, 2019. Available via <https://www.fiercepharma.com/pharma/abbvie-stifling-humira-biosim-competition-massive-discounting-dutch-report>
- Scottish Medicines Consortium. Insulin glargine 300 units/ml solution for injection in a pre-filled pen (Toujeo®). Scottish Medicines Consortium, 2015. Available via [https://www.scottishmedicines.org.uk/media/1860/insulin\\_glargine\\_toujeo\\_solostar\\_abbreviated\\_final\\_july\\_2015\\_for\\_website.pdf](https://www.scottishmedicines.org.uk/media/1860/insulin_glargine_toujeo_solostar_abbreviated_final_july_2015_for_website.pdf)
- Sefah IA, Essah DO, Kurdi A, Sneddon J, Alalbila TM, Kordorwu H, Godman B. Assessment of adherence to pneumonia guidelines and its determinants in an ambulatory care clinic in Ghana: findings and implications for the future. *JAC Antimicrob Resist*, 2021; 3(2):dlab080.
- South African Pharmacy Council. Good pharmacy practice in South Africa. 4th edition, South African Pharmacy Council, 2020. Available via <https://www.pharmcouncil.co.za/Media/Default/Documents/Rules%20published%20in%20terms%20of%20section%2035A%20of%20the%20Pharmacy%20Act%2053%20of%201974.pdf>
- Sutcliffe D, Lester H, Hutton J, Stokes T. NICE and the quality and outcomes framework (QOF) 2009-2011. *Qual Prim Care*, 2012; 20(1):47–55.
- Tachkov K, Mitkova Z, Boyadzieva V, Petrova G. Did the introduction of biosimilars influence their prices and utilization? The case of biologic disease modifying antirheumatic drugs (bDMARD) in Bulgaria. *Pharmaceuticals*, 2021; 14(1):64.
- Thomson S, Cylus J, Evetovits T. Can people afford to pay for health care? WHO Europe Regional report, 2019. Available via <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/311654/9789289054058eng.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y>.
- Tricco AC, Ashoor HM, Antony J, Bouck Z, Rodrigues M, Pham B, Khan PA, Nincic V, Darvesh N, Yazdi F, Ghassemi M, Ivory JD, Veroniki AA, Yu CH, Moja L, Straus SE. Comparative efficacy and safety of ultra-long-acting, long-acting, intermediate-acting, and biosimilar insulins for type 1 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *J Gen Intern Med*, 2021; 36(8):2414–26.
- Tubic B, Marković-Peković V, Jungić S, Allocati E, Godman B. Availability and accessibility of monoclonal antibodies in Bosnia and Herzegovina: findings and implications. *Medicine Access @ Point of Care*, 2021; 5:1–7.
- Vandenplas Y, Simoens S, Van Wilder P, Vulto AG, Huys I. Off-patent biological and biosimilar medicines in Belgium: a market landscape analysis. *Front Pharmacol*, 2021; 12:644187.
- Vernaz N, Haller G, Girardin F, Huttner B, Combesse C, Dayer P, Muscionico D, Salomon JL, Bonnabry P. Patented drug extension strategies on healthcare spending: a cost-evaluation analysis. *PLoS Med*, 2013; 10(6):e1001460.
- Vežer B, Buzás Z, Sebeszta M, Zrubka Z. Authorized manufacturing changes for therapeutic monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) in European Public Assessment Report (EPAR) documents. *Curr Med Res Opin*, 2016; 32(5):829–34.
- Vogler S, Schneider P. Assessing data sources for medicine price studies. *Int J Technol Assess Health Care*, 2019; 35(2):106–15.
- Vončina L, Strizrep T, Godman B, Bennie M, Bishop I, Campbell S, Vlahović-Palčevski V, Gustafsson LL. Influence of demand-side measures to enhance renin-angiotensin prescribing efficiency in Europe:

implications for the future. *Expert Rev Pharmacoecon Outcomes Res*, 2011; 11(4):469–79.

Wettermark B, Godman B, Jacobsson B, Haaijer-Ruskamp FM. Soft regulations in pharmaceutical policy making: an overview of current approaches and their consequences. *Appl Health Econ Health Policy*, 2009a; 7(3):137–47.

Wettermark B, Pehrsson A, Juhasz-Haverinen M, Veg A, Edlert M, Törnwall-Bergendahl G, Almkvist H, Godman B, Granath F, Bergman U. Financial incentives linked to self-assessment of prescribing patterns: a new approach for quality improvement of drug prescribing in primary care. *Qual Prim Care*, 2009b; 17(3):179–89.

WHO. First rituximab similar biotherapeutic products prequalified. WHO, 2020. Available via <https://www.who.int/news/item/28-05-2020-first-rituximab-similar-biotherapeutic-products-prequalified>

**How to cite this article:**

Godman B, Tubic B, Allocati E, Wladysiuk M, McTaggart S, Kurdi A, Haque M, MacBride-Stewart S, Kalemeera F, Massele A, Hoxha I, Markovic Pekovic V, Petrova G, Tachkov K, Lajus O, Harsanyi A, Inotai A, Jakupi A, Henkuzens S, Garuolienė K, Vella Bonanno P, Rutkowski J, Mardare I, Füst J, Pontes C, Zara C, Pedrola MT, Akter F, Kwon HY, Martin AP, Banzi R, Wale J, Gulbinovič J. Biosimilars are essential for sustainable healthcare systems; however, key challenges remain as seen with long-acting insulin analogues. *J Appl Pharm Sci*, 2022; 12(03):055–072.