

Mechanising your proofs in Coq

From zero to Cut-Admissibility in less than three months

Marco Carbone
IT University of Copenhagen, Denmark

Goal of this talk...

- Promote proof mechanisation for our community
- Talk about my (short) experience
- Not about
 - How to prove Cut Admissibility
 - How to prove Cut Admissibility in Coq
 - Showing Off my Coq skills

My Coding Background

- Not much coding experience (Basic, Pascal, C, **Java, Haskell**)
- Almost null experience with Theorem Provers/Proof Assistants:
 - Pre-historic Coq (undergraduate, meaningless exercises, 1999)
 - HLF/TWELF (failed attempt, nothing proven, 2013)

The Ravara's challenge

- @POPL 2019, Cascais (Portugal)
- It's time for our community to start mechanising
- Start with known proofs
- Meet again in Prague (Czech Rep.)

Initial Objective

- Pi-calculus with Binary Sessions + Types
 - \Rightarrow Multiparty Sessions + Types

Why Coq?

- Considered possibilities: Coq, Isabelle, Agda, Twelf.
- Most popular (perception)
- Interest from industry
- Talked to Jesper Begtson (Psi Calculi)

Learning Phase

Benjamin Pierce's book(s)

softwarefoundations.cis.upenn.edu

- Brilliant (me)
- Not right structures (others)



Learning Phase

Functional Programming in Coq (*Basics*)

Proof by Induction (*Induction*)

Working with Structured Data (*Lists*)

Polymorphism and Higher-Order Functions (*Poly*)

More Basic Tactics (*Tactics*)

Logic in Coq (*Logic*)

Inductively Defined Propositions (*IndProp*)

Total and Partial Maps (*Maps*)

The Curry-Howard Correspondence (*ProofObjects*)

Induction Principles (*IndPrinciples*)

Properties of Relations (*Rel*)

Simple Imperative Programs (*Imp*)

Lexing and Parsing in Coq (*ImpParser*)

An Evaluation Function for Imp (*ImpCEvalFun*)

Extracting ML from Coq (*Extraction*)

PUMPING LEMMA!!!!

Intuitionistic Linear Logic

Sequent Calculus

$$\frac{}{A \vdash A} \text{ax} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A \quad \Delta, A \vdash C}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash C} \text{cut}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \quad \Delta \vdash B}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash A \otimes B} \otimes R \quad \frac{\Gamma, A, B \vdash C}{\Gamma, A \otimes B \vdash C} \otimes L \quad \frac{}{\vdash 1} 1R \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash C}{\Gamma, 1 \vdash C} 1L$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, A \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash A \multimap B} \multimap R \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash A \quad \Delta, B \vdash C}{\Gamma, \Delta, A \multimap B \vdash C} \multimap L$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A \quad \Gamma \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash A \& B} \& R \quad \frac{\Gamma, A \vdash C}{\Gamma, A \& B \vdash C} \&_1 L \quad \frac{\Gamma, B \vdash C}{\Gamma, A \& B \vdash C} \&_2 L \quad \overline{}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash A}{\Gamma \vdash A \oplus B} \oplus_1 R \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash B}{\Gamma \vdash A \oplus B} \oplus_2 R \quad \frac{\Gamma, A \vdash C \quad \Gamma, B \vdash C}{\Gamma, A \oplus B \vdash C} \oplus L \quad \overline{}$$

Sequent Calculus in Coq

Cut Admissibility

Theorem. If $\Gamma \vdash A$ and $\Delta, A \vdash C$ then $\Gamma, \Delta \vdash C$.

My proof idea before Coq:

- consider cut as a rule
- permute rules (commuting conversions/structural congruence)
- reduce cuts to cuts on smaller formulas (reduction semantics)

==> very intuitive reasoning.

Commuting Conversion

$$\frac{\displaystyle \frac{\mathcal{D}_1}{\Delta_1, B_1, B_2 \Rightarrow A} \otimes L \quad \Delta', A \Rightarrow C}{\Delta_1, B_1 \otimes B_2 \Rightarrow A \quad \Delta', A \Rightarrow C} (\text{cut}_A)$$

$$\frac{\displaystyle \frac{\mathcal{D}_1 \quad \Delta', A \Rightarrow C}{\Delta_1, B_1, B_2 \Rightarrow A \quad \Delta', A \Rightarrow C} (\text{cut}_A)}{\Delta_1, B_1, B_2, \Delta' \Rightarrow C} \otimes L$$

Cut Reductions

$$\frac{\frac{\Delta, A \vdash B}{\Delta \vdash A \multimap B} \multimap R \quad \frac{\Delta_1 \vdash A \quad \Delta_2, B \vdash C}{\Delta_1, \Delta_2, A \multimap B \vdash C} \multimap L}{\Delta, \Delta_1, \Delta_2 \vdash C} \text{cut}$$

\longrightarrow

$$\frac{\frac{\Delta_1 \vdash A \quad \Delta, A \vdash B}{\Delta, \Delta_1 \vdash B} \text{cut} \quad \Delta_2, B \vdash C}{\Delta, \Delta_1, \Delta_2 \vdash C} \text{cut}$$

Cut Admissibility

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{D} \\ \Delta \Rightarrow A \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{E} \\ \Delta', A \Rightarrow C \end{array}}{\Delta, \Delta' \Rightarrow C}$$

Proof: By a nested induction, first on the structure of A and second simultaneously on the structures of \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{E} . This means we can appeal to the induction hypothesis

1. when the cut formula A becomes smaller, or
2. the cut formula A stays the same and
 - (a) either \mathcal{D} becomes smaller and \mathcal{E} stays the same, or
 - (b) \mathcal{D} stays the same and \mathcal{E} becomes smaller.

Cut Admissibility in Coq

Current/Future Work

- Restriction of Linear Logic for modelling forwarders (BehAPI - started at CMU - now with C. Schurmann)
 - Formalised (proved): 7 different cut theorems on top of this formalisation
- Subject Reduction for Binary Session Types (*see you in Leicester*)
- Subject Reduction for Multiparty Session Types
- **Subject Reduction for Asynch. Multiparty Session Types**